1 2	CHAPTER 803. SKILLS DEVELOPMENT FUND
3	ADOPTED RULES WITH PREAMBLE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE TEXAS
4	REGISTER. THIS DOCUMENT WILL HAVE NO SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES BUT
5	IS SUBJECT TO FORMATTING CHANGES AS REQUIRED BY THE TEXAS
6	REGISTER.
7	
8	The Texas Workforce Commission (Commission) adopts amendments to rules
9	concerning the Skills Development Fund. Texas Government Code §2001.039 requires
10	that each state agency review and consider for readoption each rule adopted by that
11	agency. The Commission has reviewed Chapter 803 and determined that reasons for
12	adopting the chapter exist; however, amendments to the rules are needed in order to
13	update terminology and reflect recent changes in state law.
14	
15	The Commission adopts the repeal of the following sections of Chapter 803 relating to
16	the Skills Development Fund without changes to the proposed text as published in the
17	October 7, 2005, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> .
18	
19	Subchapter C. Program Administration After Award of Contract,
20	§§803.31 - 803.36
21	
22	The Commission adopts the following new sections to Chapter 803 relating to the Skills
23	Development Fund without changes to the proposed text as published in the October 7,
24	2005, issue of the <i>Texas Register:</i>
25	
26	Subchapter C. Program Administration After Award of Contract, §803.31 and
27	§803.32
28	
29	The Commission adopts amendments to the following sections of Chapter 803 relating to
30	the Skills Development Fund without changes to the proposed text as published in the
31	October 7, 2005, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> :
32	
33	Subchapter A. General Provisions Regarding the Skills Development Fund,
34	§803.1 and §803.3
35	0.1.1
36	Subchapter B. Program Administration, §§803.12, 803.13, and 803.15
37	
38	The Commission adopts amendments to the following sections of Chapter 803 relating to
39	the Skills Development Fund with changes to the proposed text as published in the
40	October 7, 2005, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> .
41	Coloborator A. Consul Duradicione Describing the Chille Describe and Found
42	Subchapter A. General Provisions Regarding the Skills Development Fund,
43	§803.2
44 45	Subabantar D. Dragram Administration \$902.11 and \$902.14
45 46	Subchapter B. Program Administration, §803.11 and §803.14
40	

1	PART I. PURPOSE, BACKGROUND, AND AUTHORITY
2	PART II. EXPLANATION OF INDIVIDUAL PROVISIONS WITH COMMENTS
3	AND RESPONSES
4	PART III. COORDINATION ACTIVITIES
5	
6	PART I. PURPOSE, BACKGROUND, AND AUTHORITY
7	
8	The purpose of the adopted Chapter 803 rule change is to:
9	(1) address additional priorities in awarding Skills Development Fund grants as directed
10	by House Bill (HB) 2421, enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature, Regular
11	Session;
12	(2) eliminate certain rule language also found in statute;
13	(3) remove administrative processes and procedures that are unnecessary in rules; and
14	(4) incorporate minor technical edits throughout the rules for improved clarity and
15	consistency.
16	
17	The additional requirements for Skills Development Fund grants include providing
18	notification of concurrent participation with the Skills Development Fund and the Texas
19	Enterprise Fund with the Office of the Governor Economic Development and Tourism
20	division; training incentives for small businesses; and the availability of funds for
21	incumbent worker training and training focused on economic development.
22 23	
	Effective June 18, 2005, HB 2421 amended Texas Labor Code §303.005 to prohibit an
24	employer from applying for both a Skills Development Fund grant [in conjunction with a
25	community or technical college or the Texas Engineering Extension Service (TEEX)] and
26	a Texas Enterprise Fund grant, unless the employer and the college file an application for
27	concurrent participation.
28	
29	Additionally, HB 2421 directs the Commission to consider giving priority to training
30	incentives for small businesses. Pursuant to Texas Labor Code §303.003(b)(2), one of
31	the purposes of the Skills Development Fund is to sponsor small businesses, which is
32	addressed in the current rules under Uses of the Fund. The adopted rules add a cross-
33	reference to this existing section under Procedures for Proposal Evaluation to ensure that
34	the purposes of the Skills Development Fund grants are included in the evaluation of
35	proposals.
36	DADE IL EVDI ANATION OF INDIVIDUAL DEGVICIONS WITH COMMENTS
37	PART II. EXPLANATION OF INDIVIDUAL PROVISIONS WITH COMMENTS
38	AND RESPONSES
39	(Note: Minor, nonsubstantive, editorial changes are made throughout Chapter 803 that
10	do not change the meaning of the rules and, therefore, are not discussed in the
11 12	Explanation of Individual Provisions.)
12	CHDCHADTED A CENEDAL DDAVICIONIC DECADDING THE CVILLO
13	SUBCHAPTER A. GENERAL PROVISIONS REGARDING THE SKILLS DEVELOPMENT FUND
14 15	<u>DEVELOPMENT FUND</u>

§803.2. Definitions

1 2

3

The Commission adopts the removal of §803.2(1), the definition of "assessment," and §803.2(2), the definition of "community-based organization," because both terms are defined in Texas Labor Code §303.001(b)(1) and §303.001(b)(2), respectively.

4 5 6

7

8

9

Current §803.2(3), the definition of "customized training project," is reorganized and renumbered as §803.2(1). The Commission amends the definition to state that not only a private business, but also a business consortium, or a community-based organization only in partnership with a public community college, a technical college, or TEEX, may participate in designing the customized training project.

10 11 12

Comment: One commenter expressed support of the clarification that a business consortium may participate in designing the customized training project.

13 14

Response: The Commission appreciates the commenter's support.

15 16 17

18

Comment: One commenter recommended clarifying the definition of customized training project by specifying that the training offered is not part of the training provider's regular curriculum.

19 20 21

22

23 24 **Response:** The Commission agrees that customized training must be specific to an employer's needs, and clarifies the definition by adding that a customized training project provides workforce training that is "specifically designed to meet the needs and special requirements of employers" and employees or prospective employees of the private business or business consortium, or members of the trade union.

25 26 27

28 29

30

31 32 However, the Commission disagrees that customized training does not include standard courses available through the provider. While customized training extends beyond the course curriculum, it may also encompass standard courses. The overall unique design and development of a training project creates customization. A truly customized training project meets an employer's specific training requirements, such as customizing the curriculum, classes scheduled around the employees' schedules, application to specific company examples, as well as addressing needs in real time with real situations.

33 34 35

36

37

38

The Commission adopts the deletion of §803.2(4), the definition of "director," because it is defined in Chapter 800.2 of this title; therefore, it is unnecessary to redefine the term in this chapter. Further, the Commission adopts the proposal to update references to director throughout Chapter 803 to "executive director," to correctly reflect the Chapter 800 definition.

39 40 41

Current §803.2(5), the definition of "grant recipient," is reorganized and renumbered as §803.2(2).

42 43 44

45

46

Comment: One commenter recommended allowing the Local Workforce Development Boards (Boards) to be included as grant recipients with a private business or consortium of businesses.

1 2

Response: The commenter's recommendation is not within the purview of the Commission's authority. The definition of grant recipient mirrors Texas Labor Code §303.003(b), which directs that the Skills Development Fund be used by public community and technical colleges, community-based organizations, and TEEX as start-up or emergency funds for job training purposes.

 Current §803.2(12), the definition of "training provider," is reorganized and renumbered as §803.2(9). The Commission adopts the amended definition to include a community-based organization only in partnership with a public community college, technical college, or TEEX as a training provider.

§803.3. Uses of the Fund

The Commission adopts the amendment of §803.3(a) by specifying that a grant recipient may use the Skills Development Fund as start-up or emergency funds, as specified. Additionally, the Commission adopts the amendment of §803.3(a)(2), which clarifies that the sponsorship of small and medium-sized business networks and consortiums is for the purpose of developing customized training.

SUBCHAPTER B. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

§803.11. Grant Administration

The Commission adopts the removal of §803.11(a) regarding the director's responsibility for grant administration because this information is set forth in Texas Labor Code §303.003(d). Additionally, the Commission adopts the removal of §803.11(b) because this information is an established grants administration principle not necessary in rule.

The Commission adopts new §803.11, which states that grant recipients must enter into an agreement with the Agency to comply with contract requirements, which include, but are not limited to, regulations listed in these paragraphs. The Commission also amends this section by specifying in §803.11(4)(A) that final payment is contingent upon the determination by the executive director, or designee, that a project has met the training objectives, outcomes, and requirements (an attrition rate of up to 15% of the total number of trainees in the contract is allowed). Additionally, the Commission adds in §803.11(4)(B) that the contract's final payment will be withheld for 60 days after the completion of training and after the Agency's receipt of verification from the employer that the trainees are employed.

§803.13. Program Objectives

The Commission adopts the amendment of §803.13(2) by referring to "local workforce development areas" as "workforce areas," as set forth in the definition in §800.2 of this title. Further, the Commission adopts changing references from local workforce

development area to workforce area throughout Chapter 803.

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

The Commission adopts the amendment of §803.13 by including an additional program objective §803.13(6), which allows the Agency, to the greatest extent practicable, to award Skills Development Fund grants as follows: (1) approximately 60% of the funds may be for job retention training; and (2) the remaining funds may be for training for job creation. With the exception of the Skills Development Fund, limited resources have been available to upgrade the skills of existing workers to assist with job retention. Because the Legislature has recognized that the Skills Development Fund is available for training the existing workforce, as well as training to create new jobs, the Commission is establishing a flexible goal to meet the economic needs of the state and the skills' needs of employers.

12 13 14

Comment: A majority of the commenters supported the use of 60% of the funds for job retention training. Many of these commenters specifically stated that 40% of the funds should be used for new job creation.

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

15

Response: The Commission believes the commenters' intent is to support a funding split that ensures incumbent workers, as well as new workers, receive critically needed Skills Development Fund training. While the Commission appreciates the commenters' suggestion of a 60/40 split, the Commission believes that the rule language provides the greatest flexibility to make adjustments, as warranted, to maximize these funds. The increased flexibility allows the Agency to be as responsive as possible to employers, while providing employers the ability to retain and upgrade the skills of current employees, as well as meeting the need for job creation.

26 27 28

29

30

Comment: One commenter suggested using 60% of the funds or more as needed to meet the demand of local businesses for job retention training, as well as for the training of new hires, with the remaining funds to be used toward job creation training of new businesses and expansion of existing businesses.

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

Response: The Commission believes the commenter's suggestion will dilute the impact of the proposed 60/40 split by making each of these categories of funds available for both job retention training, as well as the training of new hires. Further, the commentor may be concerned that a single training project cannot contain both training for job retention and training for job creation and, therefore, is attempting to dilute the funding distinctions by including both activities in both categories. The Commission maintains that the addition of this program objective to §803.13 does not change the proposal submission process or burden entities with applying for separate funds. Rather, the entities are applying for funding that provides them with flexibility because this particular funding mechanism will be monitored and managed at the state level.

43 44 45

46

Comment: One commenter stated that if job retention projects are to become the main priority of the Skills Development Fund, the Agency should expand proposal eligibility to other job retention efforts, including workplace literacy, adult education, and employee asset development programs. The commenter also stated that the Agency should evaluate the proposed rule change to determine if it limits access to customized training for unemployed or underemployed Texans.

4 5 6

7

8

9

1

2

3

Response: Although the Chapter 803 rules do not specify literacy and adult education as part of the information contained in the proposal, employers still have the ability to incorporate these services for those individuals who need it. The Skills Development Fund does not preclude the use of funds for literacy and adult education, if an employer identifies this to be a need.

10 11 12

13 14

15

The Commission disagrees with the commenter's assertion that proposal eligibility should include employee asset development programs (aka Individual Development Accounts [IDAs]). In its January 1, 2005, Report to the Legislature entitled "Status of the Texas Individual Development Account Pilot Project," the Commission determined that:

16 17 18

19 20 Given the cost of administration and program services, the lack of data concerning long-term benefits and the low rate of asset purchases, it would be difficult to recommend implementing IDA programs statewide without further research and outcome data supportive of such an effort.

21 22 23

24

25 26

27

28 29

Additionally, the Commission believes that the rule does not limit access to customized training for unemployed or underemployed Texans. The Commission's intent is, to the greatest extent practicable, to award approximately 60% of the funds for job retention training, allowing the remaining funds to be used for job creation. The Commission believes that training for job creation benefits unemployed individuals by developing skills that may lead to a job. The Commission also believes that underemployed individuals benefit by receiving skills enhancement and occupational advancement training, thereby helping them climb the career ladder.

30 31 32

The Commission adopts the removal of §803.13(b) because it duplicates information found in §803.13(4).

33 34 35

§803.14. Procedure for Requesting Funding

36 37

> 38 39

> 40

41

42

43

44 45 For consistency with the definition of "private partner," renumbered as §803.2(4), the Commission adopts the amendment of current §803.14(a) and §803.14(c) to remove the term "prospective." Additionally, references to a "joint" proposal in §803.14(a) and §803.14(b) are removed to eliminate confusion with references to "concurrent" proposals. Further, in §803.14(a) regarding applicable Boards reviewing and commenting on Skills Development Fund proposals, it is the Commission's intent that "applicable" refer only to the workforce areas where there would be a significant impact on job creation or incumbent worker training. To ensure consistency with §803.14(a), which sets forth that private partners or trade unions may partner with community colleges to receive grants

from the Skills Development Fund, a technical amendment is made to add the phrase "or trade union" in \$803.14(c), \$803.14(f)(6), and \$803.14(f)(9).

In addition, §803.14(c) is amended and streamlined to state that a training proposal shall not duplicate a training project available in the workforce area in which the private partner is located.

 The Commission adopts new §803.14(d), which requires that proposals disclose other grant funds sought or awarded from the Agency or other state or federal entities. This information does not prevent or hinder consideration of applicants' proposals for Skills Development Fund grants; rather it allows the Agency to provide technical assistance to the applicants for locating additional funding sources that might be available, and to better understand the total amount of funding for all training impacting the project.

Comment: One commenter stated that the purpose and administration of the Skills Development Fund is strengthened by requiring applicants to disclose other potential grant funds that might subsidize training projects.

Response: The Commission appreciates the commenter's support.

The Commission adopts new §803.14(e), which states that applicants shall indicate whether they are submitting concurrent proposals for the Skills Development Fund and the Texas Enterprise Fund. Concurrent proposal is defined in this section as (1) a proposal for the Skills Development Fund that has been filed and is pending at the time the applicant submits a proposal for the Texas Enterprise Fund, or (2) a proposal for the Texas Enterprise Fund at the time the applicant submits a proposal for the Skills Development Fund. The addition of this new subsection reflects the direction in HB 2421, which does not allow an applicant to apply for both the Skills Development Fund and the Texas Enterprise Fund unless the applicant files for concurrent participation in both programs.

Comment: One commenter supported the proposed rule changes requiring applicants to disclose whether they are submitting concurrent applications for the Texas Enterprise Fund. The commenter also stated that because many companies receiving Texas Enterprise Fund grants may not be contributing to the Unemployment Insurance (UI) Fund—the main source of funding for the Skills Development Fund—the Texas Enterprise Fund should compensate the Skills Development Fund for training costs associated with companies that become dual recipients.

Response: The Commission appreciates the commenter's support for the submission of concurrent applications. HB 2421 amends Texas Labor Code §303.005 by directing the Commission to require an applicant to disclose concurrent participation in the Skills Development Fund and the Texas Enterprise Fund.

Payment into the UI Trust Fund is not an eligibility issue for the Skills Development Fund. HB 2421 sets forth the funding strategies that encompass the Training Stabilization Fund, the set-aside holding fund, the Skills Development Fund, and the Texas Enterprise Fund. The new statute establishes certain percentage amounts to be transferred to the Skills Development Fund and the Texas Enterprise Fund. The training provided by the Skills Development Fund grants for new or existing jobs. which encourage long-term employment opportunities, will generate new or increased contributions to the UI Trust Fund.

8 9 10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

> The Commission adopts the amendment of §803.14 to add new §803.14(f)(11), which requires that proposals include an indication of a concurrent proposal as set forth in §803.14(e). Current §803.14(d)(11) is renumbered as §803.14(f)(12).

12 13 14

Comment: One commenter suggested the following revisions to the proposal submission form criteria:

15 16 17

1. Delineate more clearly the current pay for each position and any anticipated increases in wages.

18 19 20

21

Response: The Commission notes that current pay and wage increase data is contained in §803.14(f)(4), which requires the occupation and wages for participants who complete the customized training project.

22 23 24

2. Describe how the employer is involved in the planning and design of the proposed project.

25 26 27

Response: The Commission notes that this information is contained in §803.2(1), which defines customized training project. To further clarify, however, the Commission adds to §803.14(f)(2) that the employer's involvement in the planning and design of the proposed training project be outlined in the proposal submission form.

31 32

28 29

30

3. Describe the employer's financial commitment.

33 34 35

36

37

Response: The Commission notes that this information is contained in $\S 803.14(f)(5)$, which requires a budget summary, disclosing anticipated project costs and resource contributions, including the dollar amount the private partner is willing to commit to the project.

38 39 40

4. Describe how the project will be delivered and staffed, including the roles of each partner.

41 42 43

Response: The Commission notes that this information is contained in §803.14(f)(6), which requires each entity's roles and responsibilities to be outlined if a grant is awarded.

45 46

5. Include how trainees' progress will be measured and evaluated.

Response: The Commission notes that this information is contained in §803.14(f)(3), which requires that proposals include a brief description of the measurable training objectives and outcomes. However, the Commission amends §803.11 to clarify that trainees' progress will be measured and evaluated prior to final payment at contract closeout.

6. Include a section on sustainability and administration.

Response: The Commission believes that the intent of the commenter's suggestion is to sustain the efforts of the training project once funding has ended. The Skills Development Fund grants provide training to enhance the skills of employers' existing workforce or attract individuals for new jobs. The Commission encourages colleges and employers to utilize their own or other resources as needed to continue to build the skill levels of their workforce to enhance the area's economic competitiveness. While employers are not limited to one grant from the Skills Development Fund, the grants are approved based on merit and other factors set forth in statute and rule, including geographic distribution, and additional grants may not be available.

7. Describe how the Board and workforce centers were involved in the project design and how it will be coordinated locally.

Response: The Commission notes that this information is contained in §803.13(2), which requires that projects be developed in collaboration with the Boards.

§803.15. Procedure for Proposal Evaluation

 The Commission adopts §803.15(a) by adding a cross-reference to the uses of the funds set forth in §803.3(a) to ensure that small businesses are a factor considered in the proposal evaluation procedure. Additionally, for consistency with the definition of private partner at §803.2(4) of this chapter, the Commission adopts §803.15(a) to remove the term "prospective."

General Comments on Subchapter B

Comment: One commenter recommended the elimination of the additional 5% administrative funds for projects that include more than one employer. The commenter stated that this is inconsistent with other Commission-funded programs in which the allowed administrative percentage remains constant regardless of the number of entities involved.

Response: The Commission believes it is necessary to maintain its flexibility to allow additional funds for overseeing the additional entities in a business consortium. Management of these types of grants requires greater oversight on the part of the

1 training institution, including additional monitoring, technical assistance, site visits, and coordination and tracking for performance. 2 3 4 5 SUBCHAPTER C. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION AFTER AWARD OF 6 **CONTRACT** 7 8 §803.31. Grant Recipient Responsibilities 9 10 The Commission adopts the repeal of §803.31 in order to remove redundant administrative processes and procedures from rule that are set forth in the Skills 11 12 Development Fund contracts. 13 14 §803.32. Contract Completion Reports 15 16 The Commission adopts the repeal of §803.32 in order to remove redundant 17 administrative processes and procedures from rule that are set forth in the Skills 18 Development Fund contracts. 19 20 §803.33. Contract Payment 21 22 The Commission adopts the repeal of §803.33 to remove redundant administrative 23 processes and procedures from rule that are set forth in the Skills Development Fund 24 contracts. 25 26 §803.34. Notice to Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board 27 28 The Commission adopts the repeal of §803.34 to remove redundant administrative 29 processes and procedures from rule that are set forth in Texas Labor Code §303.34 and in 30 the memorandum of understanding between the Agency and the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board regarding the Skills Development Fund. 31 32 33 34 35 36 §803.35. Notice to Local Workforce Development Board 37 38 The Commission adopts the repeal of §803.35 and adopts it as new §803.31, which 39 clarifies that the Agency shall inform a Board in the applicable workforce area of final 40 decisions concerning Skills Development Fund grants in the workforce area. 41 42 **Comment:** One commenter supported the requirement to inform the Board in the 43 applicable workforce area of final decisions concerning Skills Development Fund 44 grants. The commenter stated that Boards may benefit from being notified when a 45 Skills Development Fund application is pending or under review within or near

the applicable workforce area.

1

2 **Response:** The Commission appreciates the commenter's support. As under the 3 prior rule, the Commission will notify a Board that has an approved Skills 4 Development Fund project in its workforce area. However, the Commission does not 5 intend to notify Boards in workforce areas near a funded project. Skills Development 6 Fund projects are for specific customized projects, and only Boards that are directly 7 impacted will be notified.

§803.36. Waivers

9 10 11

12

13

14

8

The Commission adopts the repeal of §803.36 and adopts it as new §803.32 to clarify that in addition to the executive director, the executive director's designee also has the authority to suspend or waive a section of this chapter that is not statutorily imposed, if there is a showing of good cause and a finding that the public interest would be served by such a suspension or waiver.

15 16 17

General Comments on Chapter 803

18 19

20

21

Comment: Two commenters expressed strong support for the proposed rules. One commenter stated the amendments provide clarity and reduce unnecessary language and the second commenter thanked the Commission for its support of the Skills Development Fund.

22 23 24

Response: The Commission appreciates the commenters' support.

25 26

PART III. COORDINATION ACTIVITIES

27 28 29

30

31

32

In the development of these rules for publication and public comment, the Commission sought the involvement of Texas' twenty-eight Boards and the Texas Association of Workforce Boards (TAWB). During the development of the proposed rules, the Commission considered the information gathered in order to develop rules that provide clear and concise direction to the parties involved.

33 34 35

The Commission received public comments from:

- 38 State Representative Norma Chavez, Chair, House Committee on Border and 39 **International Affairs**
- 40 Bonnie Gonzales, ED, Lower Rio Grande Workforce Development Board
- 41 Mary Ross, ED, West Central Texas Workforce Development Board
- 42 Edelmiro Alaniz, GM, Worth McAllen Bolt & Screw Co.
- 43 Roy Arterbury, Call Center Director, Hotels.com
- 44 Al Beck, Vice President and General Manager, King's Prosperity, L.P.
- 45 Mario Bermudez, General Manager, Textape, Inc.
- Boyd Cockrill, Owner, AEF Plating, LLC 46

- 1 Dean Conner, Owner, SGS Industrial
- 2 Ron Coronado, Operations Manager, Panasonic Corporation
- 3 Norma Diaz, President, Promos, Etc., Inc.
- 4 Paul Fielder, Operations, Sapphire Custom Manufacturing
- 5 Steven Frank, Area General Manager, Weyerhaeuser
- Roberto Garcia, General Manager, HI-TEK Automation Supply 6
- 7 Mark Gibbs, Co-Owner, Rio Grande Container, Inc.
- 8 Frank Gomez, Senior Manager, Human Resources, Convergys
- 9 Ernesto Gonzales, President, ILP Label Printers
- 10 Steve Greer, Senior Vice President, TallyGenicom
- 11 Kyle Griffiths, General Manager, General Electric
- 12 Adriana Guerrero, Owner, Alpha XL Mold and Tool
- Felix Guerrero, Moldmaker/Specialist, Alpha XL Mold and Tool 13
- 14 Julio Guerrero, Owner, Alpha XL Mold and Tool
- 15 James R. Hatton, President, Semco Manufacturing
- 16 Neal R. Heikkinen, Executive Vice President, Border Comm
- 17 Juan Hernandez, Branch Manager, Acetylene Oxygen Company
- 18 Liborio Hinojosa, CEO, H&H Foods
- 19 Ricardo Hinojosa, Vice President, D&R Precision Manufacturing, Inc.
- 20 Dan Ingersoll, PRC Manager, JVC Company of America, Product Return Center
- 21 Jeff Jones, Manager, Millard Refrigerated Services
- 22 Frank King, Vice President, U.S. Ops and Marketing, Am-Mex
- 23 Lorraine Kolenda, Vice President, K-10 Enterprizes, Inc.
- 24 Don Kurth, Operations Manager, ALPS Automotive, Inc.
- 25 Don Kurth, Operations Manager, Alpine Electronics of America
- 26 Kevin LaPorte, General Manager, Titan Plastics Group
- 27 Ted C. Link, President/CEO, Link & Associates, Inc.
- 28 Ronald Loidl, Senior Manager, Human Resources, Symbol Technologies
- 29 Erasmo P. Lozano, Quality Manager, Atlantis Plastics, Inc.
- 30 Felipe Marcio, President, DynaCal
- 31 Angela Miller, Business Analyst, Seagate Technology
- 32 Paula Moore, President, Reynolds International Equipment, L.P.
- 33 Sam Olivarez, President, Barrera's Supply Company, Inc.
- 34 Maria Patterson, Plant Manager, Gerber Manufacturing, Inc.
- 35 Judy Rodriguez, President/CEO, Texas Citrus Exchange
- 36 Henry Sanchez, Plant Manager, Hi-Tech Plastics Rio Grande
- 37 Stan J. Sawko, General Manager, Action Coil Spring Co., Inc.
- 38 Heriberto Solis, Vice President of Operations, Plastron Industries
- 39 Steve Stauffer, Director of Operations, Pennero Associates, Inc.
- 40 Gerald Stinson, Plant Manager, King's Prosperity L.P.
- 41 Lawrence R. Thompson, Jr., Sales Manager, Quality Screw and Nut Logistics
- 42 Noe Trevino, Plant Manager, Regency Plastics
- 43 Richard Vaughan, President, Burton Auto Supply
- 44 Michael Weaver, Director of Operations, Black and Decker
- 45 Mike Willis, Executive Director, South Texas Manufacturers Association
- Stephen Wolf, Director, Global Operations, TI Automotive 46

- Carlos Zambito, Marketing Director, McAllen Produce Terminal Market 1
- 2 Luis Zeledon, Plant Manager, Humanetics
- 3 Mike Allen, President & CEO, McAllen Economic Development Corporation
- 4 Don Baylor, Center for Public Policy Priorities
- Thomas N. Applegate, Executive Dean, Austin Community College 5
- Jerry Cash, Director of Economic Development, City of Cleburne 6
- 7 Paul J. Curtin, Project Manger, Hunt Valley Development I, LLC
- 8 Patty Ford, Training Coordinator, Kilgore College Workforce Development
- Ramiro Garza, Jr., Executive Director, Edinburg Economic Development Corporation 9
- 10 Wanda Garza, South Texas College
- 11 Juan Gonzalez, Economic Development Director, City of Del Rio
- 12 Steve Hardy, Associate Vice President, Continuing Education and Workforce Development, Collin County Community College District 13
- 14 Dr. Richard C. Jolly, Executive Vice President, Midland College
- Andrew C. Jones, Ed.D., Vice Chancellor of Educational Affairs, The Dallas County 15 16 Community Colleges
- 17 Marie McDermott, President, Harlingen Area Chamber of Commerce
- 18 Mike Midgley, Vice President, Workforce Education and Business Development, Austin 19 Community College
- 20 Olivia Rodriguez, South Texas College
- Pat Townsend, Jr., President/CEO, Mission Economic Development Authority, Inc. 21
- 22 Danny Uptmore, Corporate & Professional Training, McLennan Community College
- 23 Antonio Zavaleta, for U.T. Brownsville and Texas Southmost College
- 24 Dr. Frederico Zaragoza, Vice Chancellor, Professional Technical and Workforce 25 Education, Alamo Community College District

27 The rules are adopted under Texas Labor Code §301.0015 and §302.002(d), which

- 28 provide the Texas Workforce Commission with the authority to adopt, amend, or repeal
- 29 such rules as it deems necessary for the effective administration of Agency services and 30 activities.
- 31

34

- 32 The adopted rules affect Title 4, Texas Labor Code, particularly Chapters 301 and 302, as
- 33 well as Texas Labor Code, Chapter 303, regarding the Skills Development Fund.

1	CHA	APTER 803. SKILLS DEVELOPMENT FUND
2 3		GENERAL PROVISIONS REGARDING THE SKILLS
4 5	DEVELOPMENT F	UND
3 6 7	§803.1. Scope an	d Purpose.
8	(a) Purnose '	The purpose of the Skills Development Fund is to enhance the
9		public community and technical colleges and the Texas
10		ng Extension Service (TEEX) to respond to industry and workforce
11		eeds and to develop incentives for public community and technical
12	_	ΓΕΕΧ, or community-based organizations only in partnership with
13		community and technical colleges or TEEX to provide customized
14		nt and training in a timely and efficient manner.
15		
16	(b) Goal. The	goal of the Skills Development Fund is to increase the skills level
17		s of the Texas workforce.
18		
19	§803.2. Definition	ns.
20		
21	In addition to	the definitions contained in §800.2 of this title, the following words
22	and terms, wh	en used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings unless
23	the context cle	early indicates otherwise.
24		
25	(1) Cus	tomized training project A project that:
26		
27	(A)	1
28		the workforce or preventing a reduction in the workforce, and is
29		specifically designed to meet the needs and special requirements
30		of:
31		
32		(i) employers and employees or prospective employees of the
33		private business or business consortium; or
34		
35		(ii) members of the trade union; and
36	(D)	
37	(B)	is designed by a private business or business consortium, or trade
38		union in partnership with:
39		(1)
40		(i) a public community college;
41		(ii) a tachnical callege:
42		(ii) a technical college;
43		(iii) TEEV. on
44		(iii) TEEX; or
45		

1 2		(iv) a community-based organization only in partnership with the public community and technical colleges or TEEX.
3		
4	(2)	Grant recipient A recipient of a Skills Development Fund grant that
5		is:
6		
7		(A) a public community college;
8		(D) (1 1 1 11
9		(B) a technical college;
10		(C) TEEX; or
11		(C) TEEX; or
12 13		(D) a community based organization only in partnership with the
13		(D) a community-based organization only in partnership with the public community and technical colleges or TEEX.
15		public community and technical coneges of TEEA.
16	(3)	Non-local public community and technical college A public
17	(3)	community college or technical college providing training outside of its
18		local taxing district.
19		iour maing district.
20	(4)	Private partner A person, sole proprietorship, partnership,
21	(.)	corporation, association, consortium, or private organization that enters
22		into a partnership for a customized training project with:
22 23		ST J
24		(A) a public community college;
24 25		
26		(B) a technical college;
27		
28		(C) TEEX; or
29		
30		(D) a community-based organization only in partnership with the
31		public community and technical colleges or TEEX.
32		
33	(5)	Public community college A state-funded, two-year educational
34		institution primarily serving its local taxing district and service area in
35		Texas and offering vocational, technical, and academic courses for
36		certification or associate's degrees.
37	(6)	
38	(6)	Public technical college A state-funded coeducational institution of
39		higher education offering courses of study in vocational and technical
10		education, for certification or associate's degrees.
11 12	(7)	Toyog Engineering Eutengien Compies (TEEV) A higher advection
12 13	(7)	Texas Engineering Extension Service (TEEX) A higher education agency and service established by the Board of Regents of the Texas
+3 14		A&M University System.
14 15		ACM OHIVEISHY SYSTEM.
. ,		

1 2 3 4		(8) Trade union An organization, agency, or employee committee in which employees participate and which exists for the purpose of dealing with employers concerning grievances, labor disputes, wages, rates of pay, hours of employment, or conditions of work.		
5 6 7		(9)	Train inclu	ning provider An entity or individual that provides training, ding:
8 9			(4)	a muhlia aammunitry aalla say
10			(A)	a public community college;
11			(B)	a technical college;
12			` '	
13			(C)	TEEX;
14			(D)	
15 16			(D)	a community-based organization only in partnership with the
16 17				public community college or technical college or TEEX; or
18			(E)	a person, sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation,
19			()	association, consortium, governmental subdivision, or public or
20				private organization with whom a public community or technical
21				college or TEEX has subcontracted to provide training.
21 22 23	2002.2	T I	C41	- F J
23 24	8803.3	. Use:	S 01 the	e Fund.
24 25	(a)	The	Skills l	Development Fund may be used by a grant recipient as start-up or
26	(41)			funds for the following purposes:
27				
28 29		(1)	to de	velop customized training projects for businesses and trade unions;
30 31		(2)	to one	onsor small and medium-sized business networks and consortiums
32		(2)	-	ne purpose of developing customized training.
33			101 11.	te purpose of developing edistornized training.
34	(b)	TEE	X train	ning activities shall focus on projects that are statewide or are not
35		avai	lable f	rom a local public community and junior college district, a local
36				ollege, or a consortium of public community and junior college
37				n developing such projects, TEEX may participate in a consortium
38				ommunity and junior college districts or with a technical college
39		that	provid	es training under Texas Labor Code, Chapter 303.
40 4.1	(a)	Task		and the second in the next in the second sec
41 42	(c)			college training activities shall focus on projects that are not rom a local public community college, except in the technical
+2 43				cal service area, and shall be encouraged to focus on projects that
1 3			tatewi	
45		a10 B	** 1	 -
46	(d)	The	Skills 1	Development Fund may not be used:

1			
2 3		(1)	to pay the training costs and related costs of an employer that relocates the employer's worksite from one place in Texas to another;
4			·
5 6		(2)	for the purchase of any proprietary or production equipment required for the training project of a single local employer;
7			
8		(3)	for wages for trainees; or
9		(4)	
10		(4)	to pay for trainee or instructor travel costs or trainee drug tests.
11		- TOTA	
12	(e)		Skills Development Fund may not be used to pay for the lease of
13 14			pment if any one of the following four criteria is characteristic of the transaction:
15			
16		(1)	The lease transfers ownership of the equipment to the lessee at the end
17		` '	of the lease term;
18			,
19		(2)	The lease contains a bargain purchase option;
20		` '	
		(3)	The lease term is equal to 75% or more of the estimated economic life
22		(-)	of the leased equipment; or
21 22 23			
24		(4)	The present value of the minimum lease payments at the inception of
24 25		(.)	the lease, excluding executory costs, equals at least 90% of the fair
26			value of the leased equipment.
27			, who of the remove of the business
28 29	SUBCHAI	PTER	R B. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION
30	8803.1	1. Gr	ant Administration.
31	3		
32	Gra	nt rec	cipients must enter into an agreement with the Agency to comply with
33			requirements that include, but are not limited to:
34	001		requirements that merads, out are not immed to.
35		(1)	submitting all required reports, including financial and performance
36		(-)	reports, in the format and time frame required by the Agency;
37			reports, in the format and time frame required by the figures,
38		(2)	maintaining fiscal data needed for independent verification of
39		(2)	expenditures of funds received for the customized training project;
40			expenditures of railias received for the eastonized duming project,
41		(3)	cooperating and complying with Agency monitoring activities as
42			required by Chapter 800, Subchapter H, of this title (relating to Agency
43			Monitoring Activities); and
44			nioning routinos, and
4 5		(4)	submitting contract completion reports:
15		(7)	saomani contract completion reports.

1 2 3 4		(A)	The final payment is contingent upon the executive director's, or designee's, determination that a project has met the training objectives, outcomes, and requirements (an attrition rate of up to 15% of the total number of trainees in the contract is allowed).
5 6 7 8		(B)	The final payment of the contract will be withheld for 60 days after the completion of training and after receipt by the Agency of verification from the employer that the trainees are employed.
9 10 11	§803.12. Lir	nitatio	ons on Awards.
12 13 14			ay impose any or all of the following limitations on the amount of under any specific grant:
15	(1)	A lin	nit of \$500,000 for the training project of a single employer;
16 17 18 19	(2)		nit of 10% of the grant amount for the allowable purchase of any rietary or production equipment required for the training project;
20 21 22	(3)		nit of 10% for administrative costs related to direct training for the ing project of a single employer; or
23 24 25	(4)		nit of 15% for administrative costs related to direct training for the ing project of entities other than a single employer.
26 27	§803.13. Pro	ogram	Objectives.
28 29 30	The follo Fund:	wing a	are the program objectives in administering the Skills Development
31 32 33	(1)		nsure that funds from the program are spent in all areas of this state expand the state's capacity to respond to workforce training needs;
34 35 36	(2)	To do Boar	evelop projects in workforce areas through collaboration with the eds;
37 38 39 40	(3)	wage simil	evelop projects that, at completion of the training, will result in es equal to or greater than the prevailing wage of persons with ar knowledge and experience in that occupation in the local labor tet for the participants in the customized training project;
41 42 43 44 45	(4)	wher	rioritize the processing of grant requests from workforce areas the unemployment rate is higher than the state's annual average inployment rate; and

1 2 3		(5)	To sponsor creation and attraction of high-value, high-skill jobs for the state that will facilitate the growth of industry and emerging occupations.
4 5 6		(6)	To the greatest extent practicable, the Agency will award Skills Development Fund grants as follows:
7 8 9			(A) Approximately 60% of the funds may be for job retention training; and
10 11			(B) The remaining funds may be for training for job creation.
			(b) The remaining runds may be for training for job eleation.
12 13	§ 803.1	4. Pro	ocedure for Requesting Funding.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(a)	work incur publi exec	robtaining the review and comments of the Board in the applicable force area(s), where there is a significant impact on job creation or mbent worker training, a private partner or a trade union, together with a community or technical college or TEEX, shall present to the utive director, or designee, a proposal requesting funding for a smized training project or other appropriate use of the fund.
21 22 23 24 25 26	(b)		X, or the public community or technical college that is a partner to a ng proposal for a grant from the Skills Development Fund, may be non-
26 27 28	(c)		raining proposal shall not duplicate a training project available in the force area in which the private partner or trade union is located.
29 30 31 32	(d)	-	osals shall disclose other grant funds sought or awarded from the acy or other state and federal entities for the proposed job training act.
33 34 35	(e)	for th	icants shall indicate whether they are submitting concurrent proposals ne Skills Development Fund and the Texas Enterprise Fund. For the oses of this subsection, "concurrent proposal" shall mean:
36 37 38 39		(1)	a proposal for the Skills Development Fund that has been submitted and is pending at the time an applicant submits a proposal for the Texas Enterprise Fund; or
10 11 12 13		(2)	a proposal for the Texas Enterprise Fund that has been submitted and is pending at the time an applicant submits a proposal for the Skills Development Fund.
14 15 16	(f)	Prop	osals shall be written and contain the following information:

1		(1)	The number of proposed jobs created and/or retained;
2 3		(2)	A brief outline of the proposed training project, including the skills
4		(2)	A brief outline of the proposed training project, including the skills acquired through training and the employer's involvement in the
5			planning and design;
6			planning and design,
7		(3)	A brief description of the measurable training objectives and outcomes;
8		(3)	A office description of the measurable training objectives and outcomes,
9		(4)	The occupation and wages for participants who complete the
10		(+)	customized training project;
11			customized training project,
12		(5)	A budget summary, disclosing anticipated project costs and resource
13		(3)	contributions, including the dollar amount the private partner is willing
14			to commit to the project;
15			to commit to the project,
16		(6)	A signed agreement between the private partner or trade union and the
17		(0)	public community or technical college or TEEX outlining each entity's
18			roles and responsibilities if a grant is awarded;
19			Totes and responsionates it a grant is awarded,
20		(7)	A statement explaining the basis for the determination that there is an
		(')	actual or projected labor shortage in the occupation in which the
22			proposed training project will be provided that is not being met by an
21 22 23			existing institution or program in the workforce area;
24			T I G
25		(8)	A comparison of costs per trainee for the customized training project
26		(-)	and costs for similar instruction at the public community or technical
27			college or TEEX;
28			
29		(9)	A statement describing the private partner's or trade union's equal
30		, ,	opportunity employment policy;
31			
32		(10)	A list of the proposed employment benefits;
33			
34		(11)	An indication of a concurrent proposal as required by subsection (e) of
35			this section; and
36			
37		(12)	Any additional information deemed necessary by the Agency to
38			complete evaluation of a proposal.
39			
40	§803.1	5. Pro	ocedure for Proposal Evaluation.
41			
42	(a)		executive director, or designee, shall evaluate each proposal considering
43			urposes listed in §803.3(a) of this subchapter, the program objectives
44 			l in §803.13 of this subchapter, and procedures in §803.14 of this
45			hapter, along with the prevailing wage for occupations in the local labor
46		mark	et area, the financial stability of the private partner, the regional

1 2		economic impact, and any other factors unique to the circumstances that the Agency determines are appropriate.
3	(1-)	The Armondon I and Good and Decad in the could be the second of the seco
4 5	(b)	The Agency shall notify the Board in the applicable workforce area when the Agency is evaluating a proposal so as to inform the Board of potential
6		workforce activities in the workforce area.
7		worktoree denvines in the worktoree area.
8	(c)	If the Agency determines that a proposal is appropriate for funding through
9	· /	the Skills Development Fund, the executive director, or designee, shall enter
10		into a contract with the grant recipient on behalf of the Agency.
11		
12		PTER C. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION AFTER AWARD OF
13	CONTRA	CT
14	0000	
15	§803.3	1. Notice to Local Workforce Development Board.
16	Th.	A concrete all informs the Decard in the condicable weathforce once of final
17 18		Agency shall inform the Board in the applicable workforce area of final isions made regarding Skills Development Fund grants in the workforce area.
19	dec	isions made regarding Skins Development Fund grants in the workforce area.
20	8803.3	2. Waivers.
21	8003.3	2. Waivels.
22	The	executive director, or designee, may suspend or waive a section of this
23		pter, not statutorily imposed, in whole or in part upon a showing of good cause
24		a finding that the public interest would be served by such a suspension or
25		ver.
26		