1 2	CHAPTER 809. CHILD CARE SERVICES									
3 4 5	ADOPTED RULES WITH PREAMBLE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE TEXAS REGISTER. THIS DOCUMENT WILL HAVE NO SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES BUT IS SUBJECT TO FORMATTING CHANGES AS REQUIRED BY THE TEXAS REGISTER.									
6 7 8 9	ON <b>JUNE 14, 2018</b> , THE TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION ADOPTED THE BELOW RULES WITH PREAMBLE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE <i>TEXAS REGISTER</i> .									
10 11 12	Estimated date of publication in the <i>Texas Register</i> : June 29, 2018 The rules will take effect: July 4, 2018									
13 14 15 16	The Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) adopts the following new section to Chapter 809, relating to Child Care Services, <i>without</i> changes, as published in the March 2, 2018, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> (43 TexReg 1236):									
17 18	Subchapter C. Eligibility for Child Care Services, §809.55									
19 20 21 22	TWC adopts amendments to the following sections of Chapter 809, relating to Child Care Services, <i>without</i> changes, as published in the March 2, 2018, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> (43 TexReg 1236):									
23 24 25 26 27	Subchapter A. General Provisions, §809.2 Subchapter B. General Management, §809.13 and §809.19 Subchapter D. Parent Rights and Responsibilities, §809.71, and §809.75 Subchapter E. Requirements to Provide Child Care, §809.93									
28 29 30 31	TWC adopts amendments to the following section of Chapter 809, relating to Child Care Services, <i>with</i> changes, as published in the March 2, 2018, issue of the <i>Texas Register</i> (43 TexReg 1236):									
32 33	Subchapter D. Parent Rights and Responsibilities, §809.78									
34 35 36	PART I. PURPOSE, BACKGROUND, AND AUTHORITY PART II. EXPLANATION OF INDIVIDUAL PROVISIONS WITH COMMENTS AND RESPONSES									
37	PART I. PURPOSE, BACKGROUND, AND AUTHORITY									
38	The purpose of the adopted Chapter 809 amendments is to include changes resulting from the									
39	federal Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) final rules published September 30, 2016,									
40	and the CCDF Final Rule Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) published December 14, 2016, by									
41 42	the US Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families (ACF).									
43	The federal Child Care and Dayslanment Pleak Crart (CCDDC) Act requires state lead a service									
44 45 46	The federal Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG) Act requires state lead agencies to ensure that once a child is determined eligible for CCDF-subsidized services, the child shall be considered eligible and shall receive services for a minimum of 12 months before eligibility can									

- 1 be redetermined. The December 15, 2015, Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) issued by
- 2 ACF, the federal administrator of the CCDBG Act, allows terminating care before 12 months
- 3 only in situations in which:
- 4 --a change in family income caused the family's income to exceed 85 percent of the state median
- 5 income (SMI); or
- --a parent experiences a loss of work or cessation of attendance at a job training or educational program that is not a temporary change as defined in NPRM §98.21(a)(1)(ii).

- 9 As the CCDBG Act and the guidance published in the NPRM required states to demonstrate
- compliance with the 12-month eligibility requirements by October 1, 2016, TWC adopted rules
- 11 September 6, 2016, to be effective October 1, 2016. Subsequently, in the final rules, ACF revised
- 12 its initial position in response to comments, adding new limited circumstances in which a lead
- agency may discontinue assistance before the next scheduled redetermination. Given that TWC's
- rules predated the CCDF final rules, ACF's additional factors were not included in current
- 15 Chapter 809 rules; to be consistent with federal law and to ensure that Texas receives the benefit
- of any additional federal flexibility, TWC must add these new additional criteria to Chapter 809
- 17 rules. Specifically, care may be discontinued where there has been:
- --excessive unexplained absences, which continue after sufficient notice to the parent and
- 19 provider; or
  - --intentional program violations that invalidate prior determinations of eligibility, including nonpayment of the family co-payment.

212223

20

- Termination for Excessive Unexplained Absences
- New 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) states that lead agencies may terminate care in circumstances in
- 25 which there have been "excessive unexplained absences" as defined by the state. Section
- 98.21(a)(5)(i) also requires that before terminating care for excessive absences, multiple attempts
- must be made to contact the family and the provider, including notification of possible discontinuation of assistance. Additionally, the preamble to the CCDF final rules include
  - discontinuation of assistance. Additionally, the preamble to the CCDF final rules includes the following guidance:

29

30 31

32

33

Regarding termination due to excessive unexplained absences, we stress that every effort should be made to contact the family prior to terminating benefits. Such efforts should be made by the Lead Agency or designated entity, which may include coordinated efforts with the provider to contact the family.

343536

37

38

39

40

41

42

If a State chooses to terminate for this reason, the Lead Agency must define how many unexplained absences would constitute an "excessive" amount and therefore grounds for early termination. The definition of excessive should not be used as a mechanism for prematurely terminating eligibility and must be sufficient to allow for a reasonable number of absences. It is ACF's view that unexplained absences should account for at least 15 percent of a child's planned attendance before such absences are considered excessive. This 15 percent aligns generally with Head Start's attendance policy and ACF will consider it as a benchmark when reviewing and monitoring this requirement.

43 44 45

Termination for Intentional Program Violations

New 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(iii) allows states to terminate care for "intentional program violations that invalidate prior determinations of eligibility." ACF further clarified in the CCDF FAQ that states have flexibility to define nonpayment of parent share of cost as an intentional program violation. Additionally, 45 CFR §98.45(k)(3) states that a lead agency's sliding fee scale shall provide for "affordable family co-payments that are not a barrier to families receiving assistance under this part." Therefore, if lack of payment becomes a common occurrence, and lead agencies are frequently ending assistance to families for not making co-payment, the lead agency may want to reexamine its sliding fee scale to ensure that it is not in violation of this requirement by being a barrier to assistance.

# PART II. EXPLANATION OF INDIVIDUAL PROVISIONS WITH COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

(Note: Minor editorial changes are made that do not change the meaning of the rules and, therefore, are not discussed in the Explanation of Individual Provisions.)

#### SUBCHAPTER A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

TWC adopts the following amendments to Subchapter A.

#### §809.2. Definitions

Consistent with 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i), TWC defines how many unexplained absences constitute an "excessive" amount, and would therefore be grounds for early termination, by proposing to amend §809.2 to define "excessive unexplained absences" as more than 40 unexplained absences in a 12-month eligibility period.

Paragraphs have been renumbered as needed.

**Comment**: Several commenters requested clarification of the definition of "excessive unexplained absences" with regard to "Z" days (missed attendance recordings) counting toward the determination, as well as how to determine if an absence can later be "explained."

Response: TWC clarifies that because termination does not occur until after 40 unexplained absences accrue, absences that are due to documented chronic illness or disability or a court-ordered custody or visitation agreement may be removed from the accrual count. Additionally, if the parent or provider calls in a timely manner to explain why attendance recording was missed and is correcting the issue (i.e., the parent timely requests a replacement attendance tracking card or the provider requests to replace faulty equipment), those absences may be removed from the accrual, per local Board policy. Both parents and providers share responsibility for reporting attendance and for documenting reasons for absences; providers must ensure that the attendance recording device is available, connected and working properly, and parents are obligated to report attendance using the attendance recording device or through the 1-800 number. Parents also have the opportunity to revise attendance reporting within 6 days to correct instances of "Z" days where no attendance is reported. Parents may also call the Agency's Child Care Services unit to report the issue. The limit of 40 unexplained absences before termination takes into consideration reasonable amount of general absences (including

absences coded as "I", "A," or "C") for non-chronic conditions before a child's authorization for care is disrupted. Although not every missed attendance recording, or "Z" day, can justifiably be removed from the absence count, TWC emphasizes it is critical that the reporting system be used and reasons for absences be provided.

**Comment**: One commenter asked for a detailed explanation of the statement, "intentional program violations that invalidate prior determinations of eligibility" as it was discussed in the preamble.

**Response**: New 45 CFR 98.21(a)(5)(iii) allows lead agencies the option to discontinue assistance before the next redetermination in limited circumstances, including the presence of "intentional program violations," and allows lead agencies to define what actions rise to this definition. TWC uses §809.19(d) to identify failure to pay the parent share of cost as an intentional program violation that may invalidate a previous determination of eligibility and allow for termination of child care services.

### SUBCHAPTER B. GENERAL MANAGEMENT

TWC adopts the following amendments to Subchapter B.

### §809.13. Board Policies for Child Care Services

Based on ACF's clarification in the CCDF FAQ that states have flexibility to define nonpayment of parent share of cost as an intentional program violation, TWC proposes to amend §809.13(c)(3) to require Local Workforce Development Boards (Boards) to include in their parent share of cost policies an explanation that failure to pay the parent share of cost is a program violation that is subject to early termination of child care. The Board's policy also must include an assessment of what constitutes affordability when frequent terminations occur pursuant to §809.19(d) - (e).

**Comment**: Several comments were received requesting additional information on the criteria for determining the affordability of the parent share of cost.

**Response**: Boards have flexibility in establishing their parent share of cost policy. Boards are encouraged to review the labor market, housing costs and economic conditions in their workforce areas, and other factors relevant in determining general affordability when establishing this policy. If a Board finds that excessive terminations are occurring due to failure to pay the parent share of cost, the Board must reevaluate its policy of affordability of care in the local area and determine whether local economic conditions have changed in order to determine if the sliding fee scale in the parent share of cost policy is a barrier to assistance.

**Comment:** One comment was received regarding §809.13(c)(3)(A), asking TWC to clarify that termination of care due to failure to pay the parent share of cost is subject to local flexibility.

**Response**: Although board policies may vary in considering the circumstances and the factors in place to mitigate the issue before termination of services, boards must terminate

care when an intentional violation of program rules related to paying parent share of cost has occurred.

**Comment**: One comment was received regarding keeping all Board-required policies within §809.13, Board Policies for Child Care Services.

**Response**: TWC understands the concern; however, §809.19(d) and §809.78(d) are intended to provide additional information that must be taken into consideration when developing the policy discussed in §809.13. For consistency with terminology, §809.78(d) is amended to remove "develop procedures to" in the rule. The rule now begins with "Boards shall ensure..."

# §809.19. Assessing the Parent Share of Cost

ACF noted in the CCDF FAQ that 45 CFR §98.45(k)(3) states that a lead agency's sliding fee scale shall provide for "affordable family co-payments that are not a barrier to families receiving assistance under this part." Therefore, if lack of payment becomes a common occurrence, and lead agencies are frequently ending assistance to families for not paying their co-payment, the lead agency may want to reexamine its sliding fee scale to ensure that it is not in violation of this requirement by being a barrier to assistance.

Consistent with ACF guidance, §809.19(a)(1)(B) is amended to include a reexamination of the sliding fee scale if the Board finds a pattern of frequent terminations due to lack of co-payments. Additionally, §809.19(a)(1)(C) is amended to require Boards to set a parent share of cost that is affordable to all eligible families in the workforce area and not a barrier to families receiving assistance.

- New §809.19(d) is added to provide necessary criteria to the process for terminating child care for failure to pay the parent share of cost, including requirements for:
- --evaluating a family's financial circumstances for possible reduction of the parent share of cost
   before an early termination for nonpayment of parent share of cost;
  - --determining general affordability of the parent share of cost;
- 32 -- maintenance of a list of all terminations due to failure to pay the parent share of cost;
- 33 -- the Board's definition of what constitutes frequent terminations; and
  - -- the Board's process for assessing the general affordability of its parent share of cost schedule.

New §809.19(e) is added to require Boards to reexamine their sliding fee scales if there are frequent terminations of care for lack of payment of the parent share of cost, and to adjust the fee schedule to ensure that fees are not a barrier to assistance for families at certain income levels.

New §809.19(f) is added to state that if a Board does not have a policy to reimburse providers when the parent fails to pay the parent share of cost, the Board has the option to require parents to repay the provider before being eligible for future child care services.

- The current provision that prohibits a child's future eligibility when a parent owes a parent share of cost repayment to a Board if the Board has a policy in place that reimburses providers for parents' unpaid fees is retained. Given the ability to terminate care before 12 months when a
- FR-Ch.809 Program Violations (6.14.18) ADOPTED

parent fails to pay the parent share of cost, the financial risks associated with reimbursing providers will be substantially lower and more limited.

Subsections and paragraphs are relettered and renumbered as needed.

**Comment**: Several comments were received regarding §809.19(d)(2) and identifying the general criteria for determining affordability of a Board's parent share of cost.

 **Response**: Boards have flexibility in establishing their parent share of cost policy. Boards are encouraged to review the labor market, housing costs and economic conditions in their workforce areas, and other factors relevant in determining general affordability when establishing this policy. If a Board finds that excessive terminations are occurring due to failure to pay the parent share of cost, the Board must reevaluate its policy of affordability of care in the local area and determine whether local economic conditions have changed in order to determine if the sliding fee scale in the parent share of cost policy is a barrier to assistance.

**Comment**: Several comments were received regarding §809.19(d)(3) and how to maintain a list of all terminations due to failure to pay the parent share of cost that includes family size, income, family circumstances, and the reason for termination.

**Response**: TWC is scheduling upgrades in The Workforce Information System of Texas (TWIST) to reinstate several termination codes to help track terminations and to assist Boards in identifying patterns of frequent terminations.

**Comment**: Several comments were received regarding §809.19(d)(4), which allows Boards to define what constitutes frequent terminations of care related to nonpayment of parent share of cost, and what parameters are used to determine the general affordability of a Board's parent share of cost schedule.

Response: Boards have flexibility in establishing their parent share of cost policy, including what constitutes frequent terminations of care related to nonpayment of parent share of cost. Boards are encouraged to review the labor market, housing costs and economic conditions in their workforce areas, and other factors relevant in determining general affordability and frequent terminations of care when establishing this policy. If a Board finds that excessive terminations are occurring due to failure to pay the parent share of cost, the Board must reevaluate its policy of affordability of care in the local area and determine whether local economic conditions have changed in order to determine if the sliding fee scale in the parent share of cost policy is a barrier to assistance.

**Comment:** One commenter asked if the requirement to evaluate and document a family's financial situation for extenuating circumstances that may affect affordability of the assessed parent share of cost, and a possible temporary reduction before the Board or its contractor may terminate care, must only happen if a parent requests a parent share of cost reduction.

**Response**: The Board must attempt to evaluate and document a family's financial situation for extenuating circumstances that may affect the family's ability to pay the assessed share of cost each time a parent is reported for failure to pay the share of cost.

**Comment**: One commenter asked if Boards can limit the number of parent share of cost reductions allowed in a 12-month eligibility period.

**Response**: The Board must assess the family's financial situation for extenuating circumstances each time the parent fails to pay the parent share of cost. Evaluations cannot be limited to a certain number of times per year. However, Board policy may establish how many parent-initiated parent share of cost reduction requests a family may make within an eligibility period.

 **Comment:** Two commenters indicated that the evaluations and possible reduction of a parent share of cost based on a family's extenuating circumstances will increase Board expenditures for those Boards that have a policy of reimbursing providers for unpaid parent share of cost and may cause an undue burden on Boards that are already overenrolled.

**Response**: Reexamining and adjusting the sliding fee scale to ensure that parent fees are not a barrier to assistance for families at certain levels is a federal requirement. Board policy may establish how many parent-initiated parent share of cost reduction requests a parent is allowed to make within an eligibility period, however an assessment of the family's financial situation for extenuating circumstances must be completed each time the family is reported for non-payment.

**Comment**: One comment was received regarding §809.19(f) and whether late fees that a provider charges are included as fees that the Board can require a family to pay to the provider, along with any unpaid parent share of cost, before the family can be redetermined eligible for future child care services.

**Response**: No, late fees are not a part of the monthly cost of care assessed with the child care services eligibility determination. Late fees charged are outside the scope of child care services and are directly assessed to the parent by the provider. A provider may stipulate that a parent cannot return the child to care until late fees are paid, but for eligibility for future child care services, the repayment addressed in Board policy relates only to unpaid parent share of cost.

**Comment:** One commenter asked if it is allowable to inform parents in writing to notify child care services in advance of the monthly parent share of cost due date if the parents have difficulty paying their parent share of cost.

**Response**: Under §809.71(16), relating to parent rights, a Board shall ensure that the Board's child care contractor informs the parent in writing that the parent has the right to receive written notification of possible termination of child care services for failure to pay the parent share of cost, pursuant to §809.19(d). Although Boards have the flexibility

to request that parents notify child care services in advance of the new month if the parents anticipate having difficulty in paying the parent share of cost, terminating child care due to a failure to notify the Board in advance would create a new category of intentional program violation and is not one of the types of intentional program violations defined in federal statute at §98.21(a)(5) or child care services rules at §809.13(c)(3)(A). An evaluation of the family's financial circumstances must still take place to determine any extenuating factors that may have affected the family's ability to pay. Additionally, if a failure to pay the parent share of cost is identified, the termination notice must still be sent to the family before care can be terminated.

**Comment:** One commenter asked if a family's inability to pay the parent share of cost automatically qualifies the family for a fee reduction, and about the level of effort that child care services staff would have to invest in gathering the documents to assess if a parent qualifies for a fee reduction. Additionally, the commenter asked how the delinquent share of cost will be resolved if a parent share of cost is already past due and a future reduction is applied.

 One commenter asked whether, if the family refuses to provide documentation to allow Boards to complete this evaluation, it will suffice for Boards to document their attempts and the family's noncooperation.

**Response**: Section 809.19(c)(3) requires Boards to establish a policy on assessment of a parent share of cost, to include information that failure to pay is a program violation subject to early termination of child care services. In their local policy, Boards have flexibility in providing direction on how to proceed if a family is not cooperative or responsive to the attempts to evaluate the family's financial situation for extenuating circumstances, pursuant to §809.19(d)(1). There are many possible ways a past due parent share of cost could be reduced or resolved, depending on when the non-payment is reported. TWC is issuing guidance on how to resolve a past due share of cost and a future fee reduction.

**Comment**: One commenter suggested that Boards should consider not retaining, but rather, changing their policies to require parents to repay the provider. Another commenter asked if the rule is reverting to not reimbursing providers, or if they can still reimburse providers and terminate care before the eligibility end date.

**Response**: The Child Care Services rules allow Boards to develop this policy. If a Board has a policy to reimburse providers for unpaid parent share of cost, the Board may attempt to recoup that money directly from the parents. If the Board does not have a policy to reimburse providers for the unpaid share of cost, it can still develop a policy to require that parents repay the unpaid share of cost of care directly to the provider before being eligible for future child care services. Failure to pay the parent share of cost is an intentional program violation that is subject to termination of services before the 12-month eligibility end date.

**Comment:** One commenter asked how many unpaid parent share of cost infractions are allowed before a Board can terminate care for this reason and how quickly the termination notice and appeal notice must be mailed after nonpayment of parent share of cost has occurred.

**Response**: Pursuant to §809.19(c)(3), Boards must develop a policy on assessment of the parent share of cost, to include information that failure to pay is a program violation, subject to early termination of child care services. Boards may include in their local policy the number or frequency of parent-initiated requests for a parent share of cost reduction. However, an assessment must occur each time the parent fails to pay the parent share of cost to determine if there are any extenuating circumstances. If a parent fails to pay the parent share of cost, there are no extenuating circumstances and the parent did not initiate a request for a parent share of cost reduction (per local Board policy), this is considered an intentional program violation and care must be terminated. Once the decision to terminate care has been reached, the termination letter and appeal notice must be mailed to the family, consistent with §809.74(a)(1).

 **Comment**: One Board commented that §809.19(d)(4) requires Boards to establish a policy that must include, "... its process for assessing the general affordability of Board's parent share of cost schedule..." indicating that this Board's policies do not also include procedures, since any changes would require approval from the Board of Directors.

**Response**: TWC clarifies that the requirement is that Boards have a policy in place to assess the general affordability of the Board's parent share of cost schedule. This policy can include a requirement that the process is established by the contractor, or the Board can include specific requirements in Board policy that the Board wants the contractor to follow.

#### SUBCHAPTER C. ELIGIBILITY FOR CHILD CARE SERVICES

TWC adopts the following amendments to Subchapter C.

### §809.55. Waiting Periods for Reapplication

Current rules at §809.78(a)(3) establish a 12-month waiting period for children who exceed 65 absences. However, to add clarity, waiting period information is being moved to this stand-alone section. New §809.55 is added to require a mandatory waiting period of 60 calendar days before a family can reapply or be placed on a waiting list for child care services if care is terminated due to excessive unexplained absences, as described in §809.78(a)(1), or unpaid parent share of cost, as described in §809.19(d).

Furthermore, to more closely align with ACF guidance, the standard of 65 absences set forth in \$809.78(a)(3) is changed to more than 40 unexplained absences in a 12-month eligibility period. Adding this clarification will prevent immediate reapplication for services when care is terminated.

However, to ensure full alignment between Child Care Services rules and the Choices program requirements, the mandatory waiting period will not apply to individuals who, during the 60-calendar day waiting period:

--become Choices participants who require child care to participate in the Choices program; or --are on Choices sanction status and require child care to demonstrate participation in Choices.

1 2

**Comment**: One commenter expressed appreciation of reducing the waiting period for reapplication from 12 months to 60 days.

**Response**: TWC appreciates the comment.

**Comment**: Several commenters asked for clarification if the 60-day waiting period applies to the entire family or just the individual child. Another commenter asked for clarification regarding the waiting period for reapplication as it applies to termination of child care due to failure to pay the parent share of cost.

**Response**: Section 809.55(a) states, "A parent is ineligible to reapply for child care services or to be placed on the waiting list for services for 60 calendar days if the *parent's eligibility* or the *child's enrollment* is terminated . . . "

The 60-day waiting period applies to each individual child when care is terminated due to excessive unexplained absences. However, failure to pay parent share of cost will terminate the eligibility period for the entire family. Section 809.78(a)(1) is updated to clarify that termination of care occurs for the child due to excessive unexplained absences.

**Comment:** One commenter asked whether a child on a 60-day sit out who has a sibling in care must still sit out of care for 60 days before being placed back on the waiting list or can be brought back into care after 60 days. If the child is only out for 60 days, this will require tracking and could impact the number of children who are brought into care in the future.

**Response**: The 60-day sit out applies to the child, and the child must be out of care for 60 days before the child can be placed back on the waiting list. Some Boards may have a Board-established priority group for siblings of children already in care; however, the child must still sit out for 60 calendar days before being placed in that priority group.

**Comment**: Two commenters had questions related to the waiting period for reapplication as it applies to current and former Choices participants.

**Comment**: One commenter had a question on families (income-eligible and/or Choices) that were previously on a 12-month waiting period for absences exceeding 65 days within an eligibility period and how those customers can return to care, specifically, if receipt of Temporary Assistance for Needy Families and participation in Choices allow the family to reengage with child care services sooner.

**Response**: During the transition period between the old rule and the new rule, if the family is currently in a waiting period for re-application, the time frame will be reduced from the previous 12-month waiting period to the approved 60 calendar days. Families will not have their eligibility agreement interrupted during the current eligibility period, but when a consequence is applied, the new consequence timeframes will be applicable. Additional guidance will be provided through a WD Letter and updates to both the Child Care Services Guide and the Choices Guide.

7 8 9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

**Comment:** One commenter asked if being placed on the waiting list and reapplying for services are the same thing, given that §809.55(a) states that "a parent is ineligible to reapply for child care services or to be placed on the waiting list for 60 calendar days if the parent's eligibility or the child's enrollment is terminated . . . "

12 13 14

15

**Response**: A family cannot be placed on the waiting list or have an eligibility determination completed until 60 calendar days have passed, if the parent's eligibility or the child's enrollment is terminated.

16 17 18

19

20

21

If a child or family is ineligible to be recertified based on the previous rules and is in a waiting period for reapplication, that time frame will be reduced from the previous 12month waiting period to the approved waiting period of 60 calendar days. Families will not have their eligibility agreements interrupted during the current eligibility period, but when a consequence is applied, the new consequence time frames will be applicable.

22 23 24

# SUBCHAPTER D. PARENT RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

TWC adopts the following amendments to Subchapter D.

25 26 27

28

29

30

# §809.71. Parent Rights

New 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) states that lead agencies may terminate care in circumstances in which there have been "excessive unexplained absences," as defined by the state, and requires that before terminating care for excessive absences, multiple attempts be made to contact the family and provider, including notification of possible discontinuation of services.

31 32 33

34

35

Based on this guidance within the CCDF final rules, §809.71 is amended by adding paragraphs (15) and (16) to require that a parent must receive written notification that child care services may be terminated within a 12-month eligibility period if:

- 36 --a child has excessive unexplained absences, pursuant to §809.78(a)(1); or 37
  - -- the family fails to pay the parent share of cost, pursuant to new §809.19(d).

38 39

40

**Comment:** One commenter asked for clarification of "multiple attempts," which must be made to contact the family and provider, including notification of possible discontinuation of assistance.

41 42 43

44 45

**Response**: Section 809.78(d)(1) instructs Boards to develop procedures to ensure that before terminating care for excessive unexplained absences, written notice is provided to the parent and provider at 15 and then 30 cumulative absences within a 12-month

eligibility period. Boards have flexibility to determine what constitutes multiple attempts as long as the required two attempts identified in §809.78(d)(1) are documented.

**Comment:** One commenter asked about parent rights and notification requirements during the transition to terminating care during an eligibility period, and the effective dates of the notification, as it relates to implementing termination of care immediately and a parent's right to appeal.

**Response**: Section 809.71, Parent Rights, will be implemented in a manner so that families will not have their eligibility agreement interrupted during the current eligibility period. If a consequence must be applied, due to failure of the parent to meet the current eligibility agreements identified at initial determination (such as accruing more than 65 absences), it will not be applied during the current eligibility period. The parent will continue care, consistent with the agreement and notifications provided to the parent at initial eligibility determination. At the conclusion of that eligibility period, when the consequence is applied, the new consequence timeframes will be applicable.

 Boards will not need to disrupt a current eligibility period to notify parents of the rule changes. Boards will implement the rule changes at the beginning of each family's new eligibility period. Boards retain the responsibility to notify parents of the right to appeal if the parent's eligibility or child's enrollment is denied, delayed, reduced, suspended or terminated, pursuant to §809.74(a)(1)

## §809.75. Child Care during Appeal

Section 809.75 is amended by adding new subsection (b) to prohibit continuation of child care during an appeal if child care is terminated due to excessive unexplained absences, pursuant to §809.78, or nonpayment of parent share of cost, pursuant to §809.19.

Subsections are relettered as needed.

No comments were received for this section.

# §809.78. Attendance Standards and Notice and Reporting Requirements

New 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) states that lead agencies may terminate care within a 12-month eligibility period in circumstances in which there have been excessive unexplained absences as defined by the state. Additionally, the preamble to the final rules states the following:

The definition of excessive should not be used as a mechanism for prematurely terminating eligibility and must be sufficient to allow for a reasonable number of absences. It is ACF's view that unexplained absences should account for at least 15 percent of a child's planned attendance before such absences are considered excessive. This 15 percent aligns generally with Head Start's attendance policy and ACF will consider it as a benchmark when reviewing and monitoring this requirement.

- 1 Section 809.78(a)(1) is amended to require Boards to notify parents regarding attendance
- 2 standards and possible termination of child care services during the 12-month eligibility period
- 3 when there have been "excessive unexplained absences."
- 4 Consistent with federal guidance in the preamble and 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) regarding the 15
- 5 percent attendance standard, §809.78(a)(1)(A) and (B) are removed, and §809.78(a)(2) is
- 6 amended to define acceptable attendance standards as no more than 40 unexplained absences
- 7 within a 12-month eligibility period (which is 15 percent of a standard 260- to 262-calendar–day
- 8 child care year, as recommended in the preamble of the CCDF final rule).
- 9 Current §809.78(a)(2) is amended to align with new federal rules at 45 CFR Part 98 regarding excessive unexplained absences.

Current §809.78(a)(3) is amended because 65 absences in a 12-month period is no longer an applicable standard. Additionally, the current 12-month waiting period for children who exceed 65 absences within an eligibility period is eliminated. This rule will be superseded by the ability to terminate care immediately after 40 absences, as well as by the reinstatement of a mandatory waiting period set forth in §809.55.

Section 809.78(a)(3)(A) - (C) are added to define "unexplained absences."

Section 809.78(c) is amended to explain that absences due to court-order visitation, chronic illness or a disability do not count toward the definition of "excessive unexplained absences" as described in §809.78(a).

Although 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) permits states to terminate care within a 12-month eligibility period for excessive unexplained absences, it also requires that before terminating care for excessive unexplained absences, multiple attempts must be made to contact the family and provider, including notification of the possible discontinuation of assistance.

Consistent with 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) and preamble guidance, §809.78(d) is added to require Boards to develop procedures to ensure that before terminating care for excessive unexplained absences pursuant to §809.78, the child care contractor makes multiple attempts to contact the family and the child care provider to determine why the child is absent and to explain the importance of regular attendance. The Board's procedures also must require documentation of attempts to provide notice to the parent and the child care provider of each child's general absences and the potential for termination of services, at reasonable times or through established communication channels, at a minimum when a child has reached five consecutive absences, and when a child reaches 15 and 30 general absences cumulatively within a 12-month eligibility period.

Subsections have been relettered as needed.

**Comment**: A recommendation to clarify whether in §809.78(a)(1) failure to meet attendance standards resulting in termination applies to the child or the family.

**Response**: TWC agrees with this recommendation and added the phrase "the child due to" to §809.78(a)(1) so the phrase now reads: "Failure to meet attendance standards described in paragraph (2) of this subsection may result in termination of care for the child due to excessive unexplained absences pursuant to subsection (d) of this section."

**Comment**: A recommendation was received to add "chronic" to the phrase "documented illness" in §809.78(a)(3)(A) to maintain consistency with the exceptions to unexplained absences discussed in §809.78(c).

**Response**: TWC agrees with this recommendation and added "chronic" to the phrase in §809.78(a)(3)(A).

**Comment**: Nine comments were received about §809.78(d)(1) regarding how and when the five consecutive absences will be counted and expressed concern about administrative burdens on staff, as well as concern for placing the responsibility on providers to report this attendance so that contractors can act in a timely manner as the fifth consecutive absence accrues.

**Response**: Based on the number and nature of comments received, as well as the unintended burden placed on providers to report absences, TWC is persuaded to remove the requirement of the written notification at five consecutive absences from the proposed rules. The written notification requirement at 15 and 30 absences is retained. Additionally, the parent will still receive the written termination notice 15 days before termination of services as well as the appeal notification.

**Comment:** Four comments were received regarding amending the requirement for "written notices" in §809.78(d)(1), specifically the requirements that the contractor must follow before terminating services. The comments referenced administrative and fiscal burdens that would occur with the increased written correspondence, as well as the staff time to research absences at those time frames. Additionally, some Boards use other methods, such as auto-dialers or automatic notification systems that log contact attempts.

**Response**: TWC encourages Boards to make full use of alternative formats to provide written notices that may reduce the fiscal burden. However, with the recommendation to remove written notification to parents at five consecutive absences, TWC retains the requirement to send written notification at 15 and 30 absences.

**Comment**: Several comments were received that recommended changes to TWIST to assist with appropriate tracking and monitoring of attendance standards.

**Response**: TWC is implementing a TWIST enhancement to assist with the tracking and monitoring of attendance standards.

**Comment**: Comments were received asking to define "multiple attempts" relating to §809.78(d)(2), which states that Boards shall ensure that the child care contractor

documents multiple attempts to determine why the child is absent and to explain the importance of regular attendance before termination of services.

**Response**: Section 809.78(d)(1) instructs Boards to develop procedures to ensure that before terminating care for excessive unexplained absences, written notice is provided to the parent and provider at 15 and then 30 cumulative absences within a 12-month eligibility period. Boards have flexibility to determine what constitutes multiple attempts as long as the required two attempts identified in §809.78(d)(1) are documented.

 **Comment**: Several comments were received regarding the absence notification letters and whether the current Board-defined time frames will suffice. Comments were also received regarding the content of the letters and whether it is appropriate and permissible to add language on the possibility of discontinuation of care in each of the letters. An additional comment was received regarding when to send the termination notice and the appeal notification. One comment suggested sending notification letters at 15 and 30 unexplained absences, rather than 15 and 30 general absences. Finally, one comment suggested sending an additional letter at 40 absences to inform the parent that one more unexplained absence will result in termination of care.

**Response**: The purpose of the notification letters is to determine if the absences are explained due to documented chronic illness or court ordered visitation, pursuant to \$809.73(a)(3)(A). The assessment of whether an absence is explained or unexplained must be completed before determining if care should end. The Board's attempts to notify the parent of the potential for termination of care must occur consistent with \$809.78(d)(1), which requires notification letters to go out at 15 and 30 absences, and consistent with \$809.71(a)(9) which requires the parent to receive written notification at least 15 calendar days before termination of child care services.

**Comment**: One commenter asked for a definition of "established communication channels." Another commenter asked about the requirement to contact providers when a child accrues absences.

Response: "Established communication channels" is a broad description to encompass the various methods that Boards may use to communicate with parents and providers. It is important to have communication with both the parent and the provider to identify any additional information about the child's absence that might change the "unexplained" determination. Consistent with §809.92(b)(4), providers shall follow attendance reporting and tracking procedures required by the Commission under §809.95, the Board, or if applicable, the Board's child care contractor. This provision has not changed and Boards retain the flexibility to have child care providers report absences at designated timeframes.

**Comment**: One commenter asked if, after contacting the provider, it is determined that the child was not absent, but the attendance was not recorded, the "absence" still accrues toward the allowable absence limit.

**Response**: If the attendance-recording device was functioning and available at that provider, but the parent did not use the device (that is, failed to record attendance for a day), that absence may accrue toward the absence limit.

**Comment:** One commenter asked if court-ordered visitation, chronic illness, or disability must be supported by documentation provided by the parent. Additionally, a commenter inquired about how to document missed attendance recording on or near the date of a doctor visit documented by a doctor's note and finally a request for clarification that the responsibility remains on the parent to ensure that an absence was due to an illness is documented correctly in the attendance recording system.

 **Response**: Section 809.78(a)(3)(A) clarifies that unexplained absences include "any absence that is not due to a child's documented chronic illness or disability, or to a court-ordered custody or visitation agreement." TWC allows Boards the flexibility to determine the level of detail required to show the dates of the documented chronic illness, disability, or court-ordered custody or visitation agreement consistent with TWC policy reflected in §809.78(a)(3)(A). Parents maintain the responsibility to use the attendance recording system correctly, pursuant to §809.78(a)(5).

**Comment**: One commenter requested clarification on whether Boards are still able to terminate care when a child is absent for five consecutive days. Another commenter asked if outreach must continue at 10 total absences in a month.

**Response**: No, Boards are only able to terminate care due to absences when the child has more than 40 unexplained absences. Boards can terminate at the 41st unexplained absence, after attempts to notify the family and determine the reason for the child's absences are documented and the appropriate termination and appeal notices are provided. The Board's attempts to notify the parent of the potential for termination of care must occur consistent with §809.78(d)(1), which requires notification letters to go out at 15 and 30 absences. Boards have flexibility to determine additional notices that may be sent as long as the required two attempts identified in §809.78(d)(1) are documented.

**Comment**: Several commenters suggested that these changes to the number of allowable absences should apply at each family's recertification of eligibility, not in the middle of an eligibility period.

**Response**: TWC will issue a WD Letter that will address the implementation of the new rules as it relates to eligibility periods for children. Families will not have their eligibility agreement interrupted during the current eligibility period, but when a consequence is applied, the new consequence timeframes will be applicable.

**Comment**: One commenter asked TWC to confirm if termination of care due to excessive unexplained absences is subject to local flexibility.

**Response**: No, termination of care due to excessive unexplained absences is not subject to local flexibility, pursuant to 45 CFR §98.21(a)(5)(i) and §809.78(a)(2). Parents must be notified of how many absences constitutes excessive unexplained absences and that failure to adhere to the absence limitations may result in termination of care if absences are unexplained. Boards do retain the flexibility to locally establish processes for determining why a child is absent and explaining the importance of regular attendance.

**Comment**: One commenter asked whether, if the child has fewer than 40 absences and all parent share of cost payments have been made that were due and the family is redetermined to be eligible for the program and has another 12 months of eligibility authorized at the time the eligibility redetermination is completed, the contractor needs to continue to monitor absences and parent share of cost payments between the date the eligibility is determined and the date the new eligibility period starts, to ensure that there is no attendance standards violation in the interim.

**Response**: Once a new eligibility period is established, any absences accrued or parent share of cost violations after the new eligibility determination is made, but before the current eligibility period ends, will not affect the new eligibility period. TWC is updating the Child Care Services guide to address counting absences for children.

**Comment:** One commenter identified an increased cost to Boards to implement the changes. Specifically, multiple attempts to contact parents and providers to inquire about absences will increase staff time to handle the communication implied in these interactions.

**Response**: Attempting to notify the parent and provider of a child's excessive unexplained absences is a federal requirement. Boards currently send notification letters to parents due to a child's absences once certain amounts of absences have accrued. In the proposed rule, the Board's attempts to notify the parent of the potential for termination of care must occur consistent with §809.78(d)(1), which requires notification letters to go out at 15 and 30 absences, and consistent with §809.71(a)(9) which requires the parent to receive written notification at least 15 calendar days before termination of child care services.

# SUBCHAPTER E. REQUIREMENTS TO PROVIDE CHILD CARE

TWC adopts the following amendments to Subchapter E.

#### §809.93. Provider Reimbursement

40 Section 809.93(b) is amended to remove "and §809.78(a)."

No comments were received for this section.

#### COMMENTS WERE RECEIVED FROM:

- 45 Ann Haines, Workforce Solutions East Texas
- 46 Teresa Watson, Workforce Solutions Heart of Texas

- 1 Julie Craig, Workforce Solutions Texoma
- 2 Marla Moon, Workforce Solutions Northeast Texas
- 3 Shawn Garrison, Workforce Solutions Alamo
- 4 Lisa Colyer, Workforce Solutions of West Central Texas
- 5 Shannon Richter, Workforce Solutions Rural Capital Area
- 6 David Baggerly, Workforce Solutions Gulf Coast

TWC hereby certifies that the adoption has been reviewed by legal counsel and found to be within TWC's legal authority to adopt.

10 11

12

13

- The rules are adopted under Texas Labor Code §301.0015 and §302.002(d), which provide TWC with the authority to adopt, amend, or repeal such rules as it deems necessary for the effective administration of TWC services and activities, and Texas Human Resources Code §44.002,
- 14 regarding Administrative Rules.

15

- The adopted rules affect Texas Labor Code, Title 4, particularly Chapters 301 and 302, as well as
- 17 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2308.

1		CHAPTER 809. CHILD CARE SERVICES					
2 3	Subchapter A. GENERAL PROVISIONS						
4 5	§809.2. Definitions.						
6 7 8 9	The following words and terms, when used in this chapter, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.						
10 11	(1)	Attending a job training or educational programAn individual is attending a job training or educational program if the individual:					
12 13 14		(A) is considered by the program to be officially enrolled;					
15 16		(B) meets all attendance requirements established by the program; and					
17 18 19 20		(C) is making progress toward successful completion of the program as determined by the Board upon eligibility redetermination as described in §809.42(b).					
21 22 23	(2)	ChildAn individual who meets the general eligibility requirements contained in this chapter for receiving child care services.					
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	(3)	Child care contractorThe entity or entities under contract with the Board to manage child care services. This includes contractors involved in determining eligibility for child care services, contractors involved in the billing and reimbursement process related to child care subsidies, as well as contractors involved in the funding of quality improvement activities as described in §809.16.					
31 32 33	(4)	Child care servicesChild care subsidies and quality improvement activities funded by the Commission.					
34 35 36	(5)	Child care subsidiesCommission-funded child care reimbursements to an eligible child care provider for the direct care of an eligible child.					
37 38 39	(6)	Child experiencing homelessnessA child who is homeless as defined in the McKinney-Vento Act (42 U.S.C. 11434(a)), Subtitle VII-B, §725.					
40 41 42 43 44 45	(7)	Child with disabilitiesA child who has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, has a record of such an impairment, or is regarded as having such an impairment. Major life activities include, but are not limited to, caring for oneself; performing manual tasks; walking; hearing; seeing, speaking, or breathing; learning; and working.					
46	(8)	Educational programA program that leads to:					

1 2	(A) a high school diploma;
3	
4	(B) a General Educational Development (GED) credential; or
5 6	(C) a postsecondary degree from an institution of higher education.
7   8	(9) Excessive unexplained absencesMore than 40 unexplained absences within a
9	12-month eligibility period as described in §809.78(a)(3).
10	
11	(10)(9) FamilyTwo or more individuals related by blood, marriage, or decree of
12	court, who are living in a single residence and are included in one or more of
13 14	the following categories:
15	(A) Two individuals, marriedincluding by common-law, and household
16	dependents; or
17	dependents, or
18	(B) A parent and household dependents.
19	
20	(11)(10) Household dependentAn individual living in the household who is one of
21	the following:
22 23	(A) An adult considered as a dependent of the parent for income tax
24	<ul> <li>(A) An adult considered as a dependent of the parent for income tax purposes;</li> </ul>
25	purposes,
26	(B) A child of a teen parent; or
27	
28	(C) A child or other minor living in the household who is the responsibility
29	of the parent.
30 31	(12)(11) Improper paymentsAny payment of CCDF grant funds that should not
32	have been made or that was made in an incorrect amount (including
33	overpayments and underpayments) under statutory, contractual, administrative,
34	or other legally applicable requirements governing the administration of CCDF
35	grant funds and includes payments:
36	
37	(A) to an ineligible recipient;
38 39	(B) for an ineligible service;
40	(B) Tot all illeligible service,
41	(C) for any duplicate payment; and
42	(-) J F
43	(D) for services not received.
44	
45	(13)(12) Job training programA program that provides training or instruction
46	leading to:

1	
2	(A) basic literacy;
3	(D) English and fision over
4 5	(B) English proficiency;
6	(C) an occupational or professional certification or license; or
7	(e) an occupational of professional certification of ficense, of
8	(D) the acquisition of technical skills, knowledge, and abilities specific to an
9	occupation.
10	•
11	(14)(13) Listed family homeA family home, other than the eligible child's own
12	residence, that is listed, but not licensed or registered with, the Texas
13	Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) pursuant to Texas
14	Human Resources Code §42.052(c).
15	
16	(15)(14) Military deploymentThe temporary duty assignment away from the
17	permanent military installation or place of residence for reserve components of
18 19	the single military parent or the dual military parents. This includes deployed
20	parents in the regular military, military reserves, or National Guard.
21	(16)(15) ParentAn individual who is responsible for the care and supervision of a
22	child and is identified as the child's natural parent, adoptive parent, stepparent,
23	legal guardian, or person standing in loco parentis (as determined in
24	accordance with Commission policies and procedures). Unless otherwise
25	indicated, the term applies to a single parent or both parents.
26	
27	(17)(16) Protective servicesServices provided when:
28	
29	(A) a child is at risk of abuse or neglect in the immediate or short-term future
30	and the child's family cannot or will not protect the child without DFPS
31	Child Protective Services (CPS) intervention;
32	
33	(B) a child is in the managing conservatorship of DFPS and residing with a
34 35	relative or a foster parent; or
35 36	(C) a child has been provided with protective services by DFPS within the
30 37	(C) a child has been provided with protective services by DFPS within the prior six months and requires services to ensure the stability of the
38	family.
39	ranniy.
40	(18)(17) ProviderA provider is defined as:
41	<u>(2-57</u> (2-7) 210-1201 12 P10-1201 12 B011110 B01
42	(A) a regulated child care provider as defined in §809.2(18);
43	
44	(B) a relative child care provider as defined in §809.2(19); or
45	•

1 2 2	(C) a listed family home as defined in \$809.2(13), subject to the requirements in \$809.91(b).
3 4	(19)(18) Regulated child care providerA provider caring for an eligible child in a
5	location other than the eligible child's own residence that is:
6	
7	(A) licensed by DFPS;
8	(D) 1 1 11 DEPG
9	(B) registered with DFPS; or
10 11	(C) operated and monitored by the United States military services.
12	(C) operated and monitored by the Officed States minitary services.
13	(20)(19) Relative child care providerAn individual who is at least 18 years of age
14	and is, by marriage, blood relationship, or court decree, one of the following:
15	s, -jg.,g.,
16	(A) The child's grandparent;
17	
18	(B) The child's great-grandparent;
19	
20	(C) The child's aunt;
21	
22	(D) The child's uncle; or
23	
24	(E) The child's sibling (if the sibling does not reside in the same household as
25	the eligible child).
26 27	(21)(20) Residing withUnless otherwise stipulated in this chapter, a child is
28	considered to be residing with the parent when the child is living with and
29	physically present with the parent during the time period for which child care
30	services are being requested or received.
31	services are being requested or received.
32	(22)(21) Teen parentA teen parent (teen) is an individual 18 years of age or
33	younger, or 19 years of age and attending high school or the equivalent, who
34	has a child.
35	
36	(23)(22) Texas Rising Star programA voluntary, quality-based rating system of
37	child care providers participating in Commission-subsidized child care.
38	
39	(24)(23) Texas Rising Star Provider A provider certified as meeting the TRS
40	program standards. TRS providers are certified as one of the following:
41	(A) 2 Stan Dua cuara Duavidani
42 43	(A) 2-Star Program Provider;
44	(B) 3-Star Program Provider; or
44	(D) 5-Star Frogram Frovider, or
46	(C) 4-Star Program Provider.
. 0	(5) 1 544 110 144 110 11401.

1		(0.5)	
2		<u>(25)</u>	WorkingWorking is defined as:
3			
4 5			(A) activities for which one receives monetary compensation such as a
			salary, wages, tips, and commissions; or
6 7			(B) participation in Choices or Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program
8			Employment and Training (SNAP E&T) activities.
9			Employment and Training (SNAT E&T) activities.
10	SUBCHAPTI	ER B.	GENERAL MANAGEMENT
11	бевещии	EK D.	
12	<b>§809.1</b>	3. Bos	ard Policies for Child Care Services.
13	300702		W W Z O 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
14	(a)	A Bo	ard shall develop, adopt, and modify its policies for the design and management
15		of the	e delivery of child care services in a public process in accordance with Chapter
16		802 o	of this title.
17			
18	(b)	A Bo	ard shall maintain written copies of the policies that are required by federal and
19		state 1	law, or as requested by the Commission, and make such policies available to
20		the C	ommission and the public upon request.
21			
22	(c)	At a i	minimum, a Board shall develop policies related to:
23			
24		(1)	how the Board determines that the parent is making progress toward successful
25			completion of a job training or educational program as described in §809.2(1);
26		(2)	maintagen as of a weiting list as described in \$900.19/b).
27		(2)	maintenance of a waiting list as described in §809.18(b);
28 29		(2)	assessment of a parent share of cost as described in \$900.10(a)(1) including
30		(3)	assessment of a parent share of cost as described in §809.19(a)(1), including:  (A)provisions for a parent's failure to pay the parent share of cost, including
31			the reimbursement of providers, when a parent fails to pay the parent share of
32			eost; as a program violation that is subject to early termination of child care
33			services within a 12-month eligibility period; and
34			(B)criteria for determining the affordability of the parent share of cost, as
35			described in §809.19(d) - (e);
36			
37		(4)	maximum reimbursement rates as provided in §809.20, including policies
38			related to reimbursement of providers that offer transportation;
39			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
40		(5)	family income limits as described in Subchapter C of this chapter (relating to
41			Eligibility for Child Care Services);
42			
43		(6)	provision of child care services to a child with disabilities under the age of 19
44			as described in §809.41(a)(1)(B);

1 2		(7)	minimum activity requirements for parents as described in §809.48 and §809.50;
3			
4 5		(8)	time limits for the provision of child care while the parent is attending an educational program as described in §809.41(b);
6			
7		(9)	Board priority groups as described in §809.43(a);
8			
9		(10)	transfer of a child from one provider to another as described in § 809.71(3);
10			
11		(11)	providers charging the difference between their published rate and the Board's
12			reimbursement rate as provided in §809.92(d);
13			
14		(12)	procedures for fraud fact-finding as provided in §809.111; and
15			
16		(13)	policies and procedures to ensure that appropriate corrective actions are taken
17			against a provider or parent for violations of the automated attendance
18			requirements specified in §809.115(d) - (e).
19			
20	§ <b>809.1</b>	9. As	sessing the Parent Share of Cost.
21			
22	(a)		child care funds allocated by the Commission pursuant to its allocation rules
23			erally, Chapter 800, General Administration, Subchapter B, Allocation and
24			ling, and specifically, §800.58, Child Care), including local public transferred
25			s and local private donated funds, as provided in §809.17, the following shall
26		apply	y.
27			
28		(1)	A Board shall set a parent share of cost policy that assesses the parent share of
29			cost in a manner that results in the parent share of cost:
30			
31			(A) being assessed to all parents, except in instances when an exemption
32			under paragraph (2) of this subsection applies;
33			
34			(B) being an amount determined by a sliding fee scale based on the family's
35			size and gross monthly income, including a possible reexamination of
36			the sliding fee scale if there are frequent terminations for lack of
37			payment pursuant to subsection (e) of this section, and which also may
38			consider the number of children in care;
39			
40			(C) being an amount that is affordable and does not result in a barrier to
41			families receiving assistance;
42			
43			(D)(C) being assessed only at the following times:
44			
45			(i) initial eligibility determination;
46			-

of children in care that would result in a reduced parent share of assessment; and  (v) upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities following temporary changes described in \$809.51(a)(2) and upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities during three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and  (E)(D) not increasing above the amount assessed at initial eligibility determination or at the 12-month eligibility redetermination based of factor in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the additional child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section (a) (1) (2) (2) Parents who are one or more of the following are exempt from paying the parent share of cost:  (A) Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child described in \$809.45;  (B) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in \$809.47;  (C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in \$809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services child care pursuant to \$809.49 and \$809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's sor of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fa as defined in \$809.2.					
(iii) upon the addition of a child in care;  (iv) upon a parent's report of a change in income, family size, or nur of children in care that would result in a reduced parent share o assessment; and  (v) upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities following temporary changes described in \$809.51(a)(2) and upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities dring three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and  (E)(D) not increasing above the amount assessed at initial eligibility determination or at the 12-month eligibility redetermination based of factor in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the addition a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section (a)(1) (C)(iii) of this section (a)(1)					(ii) 12-month eligibility redetermination;
(iv) upon a parent's report of a change in income, family size, or nur of children in care that would result in a reduced parent share of assessment; and  (v) upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities following temporary changes described in §809.51(a)(2) and upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities during three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(cand upon three-month continuation of care period described in §809.52(cand upon three-month edition of factor in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the addition activities a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section upon three distributions and the paragraph (2) parents who are one or more of the following are exempt from paying the parent share of cost:  (A) Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child described in §809.45;  (B) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in §809.47;  (C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in §809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services child care pursuant to §809.49 and §809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (D) Parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2) this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's sort is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's factor in subsection and size o	3				(iii) upon the addition of a child in care;
(v) upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities following temporary changes described in \$809.51(a)(2) and upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities during three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon three-month continuation of care period described in \$809.51(c) and upon the addition of factor in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the addition a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section of factor in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the addition a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the addition a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section in \$809.45;  (A) Parents who are one or more of the following are exempt from paying the parent share of cost:  (B) Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child described in \$809.45;  (C) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in \$809.47;  (D) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in \$809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services ching a care pursuant to \$809.49 and \$809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (D) Parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2) this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's as of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's false as defined in \$809.2.	5 6 7				(iv) upon a parent's report of a change in income, family size, or number of children in care that would result in a reduced parent share of cos assessment; and
15 (E)(D) not increasing above the amount assessed at initial eligibility determination or at the 12-month eligibility redetermination based of factor in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, except upon the addition a child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section and child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section and child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section and child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section are child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section and child in care as described in subsection (a)(1)(C)(iii) of this section are child in care as described in subsection and child receiving are exempt from paying the parent share of cost.  (A) Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child described in \$809.45;  (B) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in \$809.47;  (C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in \$809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services ching care pursuant to \$809.49 and \$809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's soft cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fare as defined in \$809.2.  (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a sliding fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision of the same as or different from the provision of the same as or different from the provision of the same as or different from the provision of the same as or different from the provision of the same as or different from the provision of the same as or different f	9 10 11 12				following temporary changes described in §809.51(a)(2) and upon resumption of work, job training, or education activities during the three-month continuation of care period described in §809.51(c);
20 (2) Parents who are one or more of the following are exempt from paying the parent share of cost:  22 (A) Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child described in \$809.45;  25 (B) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in \$809.47;  28 (C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in \$809.52; or  31 (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services chi care pursuant to \$809.49 and \$809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  36 (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's s of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fa as defined in \$809.2.  40 (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a slidified scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based as a parent share of cost policy based on a slidified scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the team as or different from the provision of the cost is based on a slidified scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the same as or different from the provision of the cost is based solely on the same as or different from the provision of the cost is a cost in the cost	14 15 16 17 18			<u>(E)(I</u>	
(A) Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child described in §809.45;  (B) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in §809.47;  (C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in §809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services chi care pursuant to §809.49 and §809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's so of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fa as defined in §809.2.  (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a slidit fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision of the services of the same as or different from the provision of the services can be a subsection of the same as or different from the provision of the same a	20 21		(2)		
(B) Parents who are participating in SNAP E&T services or who are in SNAP E&T child care described in §809.47;  (C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in §809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services chi care pursuant to §809.49 and §809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's so of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fa as defined in §809.2.  (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a slidit fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision.	23 24			(A)	Parents who are participating in Choices or who are in Choices child can described in §809.45;
(C) Parents of a child receiving Child Care for Children Experiencing Homelessness as described in §809.52; or  (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services chi care pursuant to §809.49 and §809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's s of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fa as defined in §809.2.  (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsect (a) of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a slidit fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision	26 27			(B)	
32 (D) Parents who have children who are receiving protective services chi care pursuant to \$809.49 and \$809.54(c), unless DFPS assesses the parent share of cost.  34 parent share of cost.  35 (3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's so of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fareas as defined in \$809.2.  40 (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection (a) of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a sliding fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision.	29 30			(C)	
<ul> <li>(3) Teen parents who are not covered under exemptions listed in paragraph (2 this subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's so of cost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's fareas defined in §809.2.</li> <li>(b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection (a) of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a sliding fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision.</li> </ul>	32 33 34			(D)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
41 (b) For child care services funded from sources other than those specified in subsection (a) of this section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a sliding fee scale. The sliding fee scale may be the same as or different from the provision of the state o	36 37 38 39		(3)	this s	subsection shall be assessed a parent share of cost. The teen parent's share ost is based solely on the teen parent's income and size of the teen's family
45 Contained in subsection (a) of this section.	41 42 43 44	(b)	(a) of fee se	f this s cale. I	section, a Board shall set a parent share of cost policy based on a sliding

- (c) A Board shall establish a policy regarding reimbursement of providers when parents fail to pay the parent share of cost.
- (d) A Board shall establish a policy regarding termination of child care services within a 12-month eligibility period when a parent fails to pay the parent share of cost. The Board's policy must include:
  - (1) a requirement to evaluate and document each family's financial situation for extenuating circumstances that may affect affordability of the assessed parent share of cost pursuant to paragraph (2) of this subsection, and a possible temporary reduction pursuant to subsection (g) of this section before the Board or its child care contractor may terminate care under this section;
  - (2) general criteria for determining affordability of a Board's parent share of cost, and a process to identify and assess the circumstances that may jeopardize a family's self-sufficiency under subsection (g) of this section;
  - (3) maintenance of a list of all terminations due to failure to pay the parent share of cost, including family size, income, family circumstances, and the reason for termination, for use when conducting evaluations of affordability, as required under paragraph (4) of this subsection; and
  - (4) the Board's definition of what constitutes frequent terminations and its process for assessing the general affordability of the Board's parent share of cost schedule, pursuant to subsection (e) of this section.
- (e) A Board with frequent terminations of care for lack of payment of the parent share of cost must reexamine its sliding fee scale and adjust it to ensure that fees are not a barrier to assistance for families at certain income levels.
- (f) A Board that does not have a policy to reimburse providers when parents fail to pay the parent share of cost may establish a policy to require the parent to pay the provider before the family can be redetermined eligible for future child care services.
- (g)(d) The Board or its child care contractor may review the assessed parent share of cost for a possible temporary reduction if there are extenuating circumstances that jeopardize a family's self-sufficiency. The Board or its child care contractor may temporarily reduce the assessed parent share of cost if warranted by these circumstances. Following the temporary reduction, the parent share of cost amount immediately prior to the reduction shall be reinstated.
- (h)(e) If the parent is not covered by an exemption as specified in subsection (a)(2) of this section, then the Board or its child care contractor shall not waive the assessed parent share of cost under any circumstances.

1	(i)(f) If the parent share of cost, based on family income and family size, is calculated to						
2	be zero, then the Board or its child care contractor shall not charge the parent a						
3 4	minimum share of cost amount.						
5	(i)(g) A Board may establish a policy to reduce the parent share of cost amount assessed pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(B) of this section upon the parent's selection of a TRS-						
7 8	certified provider. Such Board policy shall ensure:						
9	(1) that the parent continue to receive the reduction if:						
10 11	(A) the TRS provider loses TRS certification; or						
12							
13 14	(B) the parent moves or changes employment within the workforce area and no TRS-certified providers are available to meet the needs of the parent's						
15 16	changed circumstances; and						
17	(2) that the parent no longer receives the reduction if the parent voluntarily						
18	transfers the child from a TRS-certified provider to a non-TRS-certified						
19	provider.						
20	•						
21 22 23	SUBCHAPTER C. ELIGIBILITY FOR CHILD CARE SERVICES						
24	§809.55. Waiting Period for Reapplication.						
25							
26 27	(a) A parent is ineligible to reapply for child care services or to be placed on the waiting list for services for 60 calendar days if the parent's eligibility or the child's						
28	enrollment is terminated due to:						
29							
30	(1) excessive unexplained absences under §809.78(a); or						
31							
32	(2) nonpayment of parent share of cost pursuant to a Board's established policy						
33	<u>under §809.19(d).</u>						
55							
34	(b) To ensure full alignment between Child Care Services rules and the Choices						
	program requirements, the provisions of subsection (a) of this section will not apply						
34 35 36							
34 35	to individuals who, during the 60-calendar day waiting period:						
34 35 36							
34 35 36 37 38 39							
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	to individuals who, during the 60-calendar day waiting period:						
34 35 36 37 38 39	to individuals who, during the 60-calendar day waiting period:  (1) become Choices participants who require child care to participate in Choices;						
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	to individuals who, during the 60-calendar day waiting period:  (1) become Choices participants who require child care to participate in Choices;						

- (13) be informed of the parent appeal rights described in §809.74; and
- (14) be informed of required background and criminal history checks for relative child care providers through the listing process with DFPS, as described in §809.91(e), before the parent or guardian selects the relative child care provider:
- (15) receive written notification pursuant to §809.78(d) of the possible termination of child care services for excessive absences, as described in §809.78(a)(1); and
- (16) receive written notification of possible termination of child care services for failure to pay the parent share of cost, pursuant to §809.19(d).

# §809.75. Child Care during Appeal.

- (a) For a child currently enrolled in child care, a Board shall ensure that child care services continue during the appeal process until a decision is reached, if the parent requests a hearing.
- (b) A Board shall ensure that child care does not continue during the appeal process if the child's enrollment is terminated due to excessive unexplained absences, pursuant to \$809.78(a), or nonpayment of parent share of cost, pursuant to \$809.19(d).
- (c)(b) The cost of providing services during the appeal process is subject to recovery from the parent by the Board, if the appeal decision is rendered against the parent.

#### §809.78. Attendance Standards and Notice and Reporting Requirements.

- (a) A Board shall ensure that parents are notified of the following:
  - (1) Parents shall ensure that the eligible child attends on a regular basis consistent with the child's authorization for enrollment and attendance standards described in paragraph (2) of this subsection. -Failure to meet monthly attendance standards described in paragraph (2) of this subsection may result in termination of care for the child due to excessive unexplained absences pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.
  - (A) result in suspension of care, at the concurrence of the parent; or
  - (B) be grounds for determining that a change in the parent's participation in work, job training, or an education program has occurred and care may be terminated pursuant to the requirements in §809.51(b).

1 2		(2)		ting attendance standards for child care services consists of <u>no more than</u> otal unexplained absences in a 12-month eligibility period.÷
3	_			
4 5			<del>(A)</del>	five consecutive absences during the month;
6		<del>(B)</del>	ten te	otal absences during the month.
8		(3)	Unavr	plained absences may include: If a child exceeds 65 total absences during
9		(3)		nost recent eligibility period, then the child is not eligible for care at the
10				eligibility determination and shall not be eligible for care for 12 months
11				the end of the most recent eligibility period.
12			11011	the cha of the most recent englothing period.
13			$\frac{\Delta}{\Delta}$ $\Delta$ ny	y absence that is not due to a child's documented <b>chronic</b> illness or
14		(2		pility, or to a court-ordered custody or visitation agreement;
15			aisac	omity, of to a court ordered custody of visitation agreement,
16		a	R) Any	y missed attendance recording that cannot be explained, except if the
17		73		dance reporting system is not available through no fault of the parent or
18				ider; or
19			<u> </u>	
20		((	C) Any	y denied or rejected attendance recording in which the parent does not
				act the Agency's Child Care Services unit to report the issue.
21 22 23			COIIC	act the rigordy's office out of services diffe to report the issue.
23				
		(4)	Noty	withstanding paragraph $(2)(3)$ of this subsection, child care providers may
24 25		( · /		a child's enrollment with the provider if the child does not meet the
26				ider's established policy regarding attendance.
27			r	7 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 -
28		(5)	Pare	nts shall use the attendance card to report daily attendance and absences.
29		(-)		
30		(6)	Pare	nts shall not designate anyone under age 16 as a secondary cardholder,
31		( )		ss the individual is a child's parent.
32				1
33		(7)	Pare	nts shall not designate the owner, assistant director, or director of the child
34		` /		facility as a secondary cardholder.
35				·
36		(8)	Pare	nts shall:
37		` /		
38			(A)	ensure that the attendance card is not misused by secondary cardholders;
39				
40			(B)	inform secondary cardholders of the responsibilities for using the
41				attendance card;
42				
43			(C)	ensure that secondary cardholders comply with these responsibilities; and
44				
45			(D)	ensure the protection of attendance cards issued to them or secondary
46				cardholders.

- (9) The parent or secondary cardholders giving the attendance card or the personal identification number (PIN) to another person, including the child care provider, is grounds for a potential fraud determination pursuant to Subchapter F of this chapter.
- (10) Parents shall report to the child care contractor instances in which a parent's attempt to record attendance in the child care automated attendance system is denied or rejected and cannot be corrected at the provider site. Failure to report such instances may result in an <u>unexplained</u> absence counted toward the attendance standards described in paragraphs (2) and (3) of this subsection.
- (b) Boards shall ensure that parents sign a written acknowledgment indicating their understanding of the attendance standards and reporting requirements at each of the following stages:
  - (1) initial eligibility determination; and
  - (2) each eligibility redetermination, as required in §809.42(b).
- (c) Boards shall ensure that absences due to a child's documented chronic illness or disability or court-ordered visitation are not counted in the number of <u>unexplained</u> absences in subsection (a)(2) and (3) of this section.
- (d) Boards shall ensure that before terminating care pursuant to §809.78(a)(1), the child care contractor:
  - (1) provides written notice to the parent and the child care provider at reasonable times through established communication channels of the child's absences and the potential termination of services, at a minimum is absent for 5 consecutive days, and when a child reaches 15, and 30 general absences cumulatively within a 12-month eligibility period; and
  - (2) documents that multiple attempts were made, as described in paragraph (1) of this subsection, to determine why the child is absent and to explain the importance of regular attendance.
- (e)(d) Where a child's enrollment has been ended by a provider in subsection (a)(4) of this section, Boards shall work with the parent to place the otherwise eligible child with another eligible provider.

#### SUBCHAPTER E. REQUIREMENTS TO PROVIDE CHILD CARE

#### §809.93. Provider Reimbursement.

(a) A Board shall ensure that reimbursement for child care is paid only to the provider.

- (b) A Board or its child care contractor shall reimburse a regulated provider based on a child's monthly enrollment authorization, excluding periods of suspension at the concurrence of the parent as described in §809.51(d) and §809.78(a).
- (c) A Board shall ensure that a relative child care provider is not reimbursed for days on which the child is absent.
- (d) A relative child care provider shall not be reimbursed for more children than permitted by the DFPS minimum regulatory standards for Registered Child Care Homes. A Board may permit more children to be cared for by a relative child care provider on a case-by-case basis as determined by the Board.
- (e) A Board shall not reimburse providers that are debarred from other state or federal programs unless and until the debarment is removed.
- (f) Unless otherwise determined by the Board and approved by the Commission for automated reporting purposes, the monthly enrollment authorization described in subsection (b) of this section is based on the unit of service authorized, as follows:
  - (1) A full-day unit of service is 6 to 12 hours of care provided within a 24-hour period; and
  - (2) A part-day unit of service is fewer than 6 hours of care provided within a 24-hour period.
- (g) A Board or its child care contractor shall ensure that providers are not paid for holding spaces open.
- (h) A Board or the Board's child care contractor shall not pay providers:
  - (1) less, when a child enrolled full time occasionally attends for a part day; or
  - (2) more, when a child enrolled part time occasionally attends for a full day.
- (i) The Board or its child care contractor shall not reimburse a provider retroactively for new Board maximum reimbursement rates or new provider published rates.
- (j) A Board or its child care contractor shall ensure that the parent's travel time to and from the child care facility and the parent's work, school, or job training site is included in determining whether to authorize reimbursement for full-day or part-day care under subsection (f) of this section.