	1
1	
2	
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
4	BEFORE THE
5	TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION
6	AUSTIN, TEXAS
7	
8	PUBLIC MEETING)
9	FOR THE TEXAS) WORKFORCE COMMISSION)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	COMMISSION MEETING
15	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2019
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	BE IT REMEMBERED THAT at 8:30 a.m. on
21	Tuesday, the 26th day of February, 2019, the above-entitled
22	matter came on for hearing at the Texas Workforce
23	Commission, TWC Building, 101 East 15 th Street, Room 244,
24	Austin, Texas, before RUTH R. HUGHS, Chair; JULIAN ALVAREZ
25	and ROBERT D. THOMAS, Commissioners.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	PAGE PROCEEDINGS, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2019
3	
4	AGENDA ITEM NO. 2 3 Les Trobman
5	AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
6	Sherri Miller
7	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
8	AGENDA ITEM NO. 5
9	Jennifer Turner
10	AGENDA ITEM NO. 6 8 Sherri Miller
11	AGENDA ITEM NO. 7 8
12	Sherri Miller
13	AGENDA ITEM NO. 9 9 Randy Townsend & Chris Nelson
14	AGENDA ITEM NO. 10
15	Jason Stalinksy & Chuck Ross
16	AGENDA ITEM NO. 11 15 Jason Vaden & Allison Wilson
17	AGENDA ITEM NO. 15
18	
19	AGENDA ITEM NO. 1626 Ed Serna
20	AGENDA ITEM NO. 1719
21	Adjournment
22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
23	
24	
25	
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2019
3	(8:30 a.m.)
4	AGENDA ITEM NO. 2
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning. Yes, we
6	have a Robert Williams here. State your name and
7	affiliation for the record.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. My name is
9	Robert Williams, and I left my glasses, I think.
10	CHAIR HUGHS: Good morning, Mr. Williams.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: I've got them. And I am
12	representing President Gene Collins of the Texas Coalition
13	of Black Democrats, and also, the Office of Representative
14	Ron Reynolds on re-funding Project RIO. I would first like
15	to apologize to the board for not contacting you before. I
16	really wanted to get this information before you, but you
17	know the way that it goes in politics, things kind of pop up
18	and move quickly and that's kind of where we are.
19	Representative Reynolds had written up a
20	House Resolution 387. I believe you have it there. I would
21	like to read that for the audience. The resolution reads:
22	House Resolution Number 387 by Reynolds. Whereas reducing
23	recidivism is a key to controlling cost in the correction
24	system, and whereas in the 1980s Texas launched Project Re-
25	Integration of Offenders, better known as Project RIO, to
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	prepare inmates to participate in the workforce following
2	their release. Coordinated by the Texas Workforce
3	Commission and the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.
4	The initiative provided job assessment
5	specialists to train inmates for employment. Upon release
6	former prisoners received referrals to Project RIO through
7	their parole officers. By 1980 the program had a pool of
8	more than 12,000 employers willing to hire former offenders.
9	And whereas, the National Institute of
10	Justice, a program of the United States Department of
11	Justice, praised Project RIO as one of the most ambitious
12	state government programs for placing parolees in jobs. It
13	published an extensive report citing marked improvement in
14	the percentage of former inmates securing employment and a
15	significant reduction in the percentage of high-risk
16	parolees re-incarcerated.
17	And whereas, considerable hard evidence has
18	shown that when inmates find decent jobs soon after release,
19	they are less likely to resume criminal behavior. And
20	Project RIO has played a vital role in preparing offenders
21	for a successful transition to life beyond prison walls.
22	Now, therefore, be it resolved that the House
23	of Representatives of the 86th Texas Legislature hereby
24	express support for the full reinstatement of Project Re-
25	Integration of Offenders, and be it further resolved that
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	the chief clerk forwarded an official copy of this
2	resolution to the executive directors of the Texas
3	Department of Criminal Justice and of the Texas Workforce
4	Commission.
5	The Texas Coalition of Black Democrats are
6	fully committed to criminal justice reform. We are at the
7	capital right now pushing forward our agenda. It seems to
8	be one of the most fertile times, I believe, in history for
9	bi-partisan support on this issue. After I found out about
10	Project Rio it simply made sense. We already had the
11	template there.
12	There are some organizations in Texas that
13	are doing what I call spot treatment reinstatement. But our
14	goal is always comprehensive criminal justice reform, which
15	is what Project Rio did.
16	And so, we are working towards making that
17	happen. The Texas Black Caucus is in full support of
18	refunding Project Rio, but I would have to tell you that I
19	have spoken with some ex-offenders who have who are
20	actually participated in Project RIO towards the end and
21	were didn't find it to be a very successful program. And
22	I believe that is because it switched from the care of the
23	Texas Workforce Commission over to the Texas Department of
24	Criminal Justice. Right? It's a program to decrease
25	recidivism. The Texas Department of Criminal Justice gets
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	paid to have people in jail, not to make sure they don't
2	come back in. So that just didn't work out very well.
3	And as we go through this thing, and just a
4	suggestion, I think one of the key components that made it
5	so successful in its early going, is that there were
6	designated people assigned to their clients. They didn't do
7	that in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice System when
8	it switched over to them.
9	Some of these ex-offenders that I have spoken
10	with even yesterday are those who have fought their way
11	through, besides the collateral damage that they come across
12	on, well, you're an ex-felon, you can't apply for a school
13	loan. You can't apply to go to college. You can't get a
14	loan to get any of those things they still fought
15	through. They are have bachelor's and master's degrees
16	today. And it is my opinion that those are the type people
17	that would resonate well with the clients that they would
18	serve.
19	And so, I guess I'm saying that if it is
20	refunded, I think there needs to be an emphasis on
21	specifically hiring those who would best serve these
22	individuals to achieve the goals that we want. And that is
23	reducing recidivism, because you reduce recidivism you
24	reduce mass incarceration. You reduce mass incarceration,
25	you reduce the need for private prisons. You get people
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	back home with their families.
2	So, I thank you very much for the time you've
3	allowed me.
4	CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you, MR. Williams. All
5	right, I'll now turn the meeting over to Commissioner Thomas
б	to preside over Agenda Items 3 through 7.
7	AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
8	Discussion, Consideration and Possible Action
9	Regarding Whether to Assume Continuing Jurisdiction on
10	Unemployment Compensation Cases, Wage Claim Cases, and/or
11	Tax Liability Cases and Reconsideration of Unemployment
12	Compensation Cases, Wage Claim Cases, and/or Tax Liability
13	Cases, if Any
14	No Discussion.
15	AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
16	Discussion, Consideration and Possible Action
17	Regarding Tax Liability Cases Listed on the Texas Workforce
18	Commission Docket 9.
19	The Commission considered and took action on
20	all tax liability cases listed on Docket 9
21	AGENDA ITEM NO. 5
22	Discussion, Consideration and Possible Action
23	Regarding Fair Housing Cases listed on the Texas Workforce
24	Commission Docket 9.
25	No Discussion.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	AGENDA ITEM NO. 6
2	Discussion, Consideration and Possible Action
3	Regarding Higher Level Appeals in Wage Claim Cases Listed on
4	the Texas Workforce Commission Docket 9.
5	The Commission considered and took action on
6	all wage claim cases listed on Docket 9.
7	AGENDA ITEM NO. 7
8	Discussion, Consideration and Possible Action
9	Regarding Higher Level Appeals in Unemployment Compensation
10	Cases Listed on the Texas Workforce Commission Docket 9.
11	The Commission considered and took action on
12	all unemployment compensation cases listed on Docket 9.
13	AGENDA ITEM NO. 8
14	CHAIR HUGHS: All right, we are back in
15	session. Item 8, Discussion, Consideration and Possible
16	Action Regarding the Acceptance of Pledges for Board
17	Contract Year 2019 Child Care Matching Funds. Travis
18	Weaver. Good morning.
19	MR. WEAVER: Good morning, Chair Hughs,
20	commissioners, MR. Serna. For the record, Travis Weaver,
21	Workforce Development. Annually local workforce development
22	boards submit local pledges to secure federal childcare
23	funds pursuant to Commission Rule 800.73. Today's
24	acceptance will complete the pledge process for BCY '19.
25	Today the staff requests commission acceptance of childcare
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 pledges for donations, transfers, and certifications of 2 expense in the amount of \$2,840,980. 3 CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments, commissioners? Do I have a motion? 4 5 COMM. ALVAREZ: I move that -- correction. б Sorry. I move that we accept childcare match in the amount 7 of \$2,840,980 for Board Contract Year 2019. 8 COMM. THOMAS: Second. 9 CHAIR HUGHS: All right, we are unanimous. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. WEAVER: Thank you. 12 AGENDA ITEM NO. 9 13 CHAIR HUGHS: Item 9, Discussion, 14 Consideration and Possible Action Regarding the Texas 15 Workforce Commission Appropriations Request (Including 16 Exceptional Items and Riders) for the Fiscal Years 2020 and 17 2021 Biennium. 18 MR. SERNA: No ma'am, we don't have --19 CHAIR HUGHS: Oh, actually I don't think we 20 have any. Sorry, there's nothing for Item 9. 21 MR. SERNA: No ma'am. We don't have anything 2.2 on that. 23 CHAIR HUGHS: Right. Okay. We'll move on to 24 Item 10. 25 AGENDA ITEM NO. 10 Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CHAIR HUGHS: Item 10, Discussion,
2	Consideration and Possible Action Regarding Policy Concepts
3	on Amendments to Texas Payday Rules, Withdrawal of Wage
4	Claims.
5	MR. ROSS: Good morning, Chair Hughs,
6	Commissioner Alvarez, Commissioner Thomas, Mr. Serna. For
7	the record, I am Chuck Ross, Deputy Director of the
8	Regulatory Integrity Division.
9	For this agenda item I will be requesting two
10	decisions from the commissioners. Today you have before you
11	today you have before you a policy concept to amend
12	Chapter 821 Texas Payday Rules. As currently written,
13	Commission Rule 821.43 allows a wage claimant to withdraw a
14	wage claim whether or not it has it has become final.
15	When a withdrawal request is submitted and approved, TWC no
16	longer enforces any orders issued, including administrative
17	penalties, and releases all liens and freezes.
18	Staff have determined that Section
19	821.43(a)(2) of the rule creates loophole challenges by
20	implying that a final order may be altered or set aside by
21	the wage claimant him or herself.
22	On January 14th of this year, the Regulatory
23	Integrity Division issued a letter addressing this issue by
24	creating a new process whereby a wage claimant may file a
25	satisfaction of payment declaration to halt collection
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	action on a final wage claim decision in lieu of a
2	withdrawal.
3	This morning staff seeks approval to move
4	forward with proposed rules as outlines in this policy
5	concept, specifically amending Chapter 821 to conform with
6	statute by clarifying that a wage claim may be withdrawn
7	only when the order has not yet become final. The preamble
8	to such an amendment would clarify that a satisfaction of
9	payment declaration differs from a withdrawal in that TWC
10	must still pursue administrative penalties in the claim as a
11	debt to the state as required by law, and that the employer
12	will still be credited with violation.
13	This is the first decision point.
14	CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you for that. Do we have
15	any comments or questions? Okay, and is there do you
16	want two separate motions?
17	MR. ROSS: I think that would be helpful for
18	clarity, ma'am.
19	CHAIR HUGHS: Okay. All right. Do we have a
20	motion on that portion?
21	COMM. ALVAREZ: I move that we approve the
22	recommended amendments to the Chapter 821 rules regarding
23	wage claim withdrawals.
24	COMM. THOMAS: Second.
25	CHAIR HUGHS: We are unanimous.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. ROSS: Thank you. The second point,
2	staff is also seeking a second decision with respect to
3	whether additional investigation and legal analysis should
4	be undertaken concerning a conditional bad faith penalty in
5	instances where a wage order is final, and the employer has
6	not paid the wages within a specified timeframe.
7	In such cases the penalty would be invoked,
8	and the agency would pursue collection actions. If the
9	wages were actually paid within the timeframe prescribed by
10	the agency, the agency would not pursue the penalty.
11	I'm here to answer any questions you may have
12	on this option.
13	CHAIR HUGHS: Are there any questions?
14	COMM. THOMAS: My only question is,
15	Commissioner Hughs, is this does this address the issues
16	and the questions that you had?
17	CHAIR HUGHS: Right. I do have a comment
18	that I appreciate the analysis, and I appreciate that we had
19	looked at how we can best work with employers to make sure
20	they are not penalized in the instances where they've
21	clearly tried to make the payments, but also be true to the
22	law. So, thank you for the analysis, and I'm satisfied with
23	the way it's been presented. Thank you for the question.
24	All right, is there a motion?
25	COMM. THOMAS: In light of that, I move to
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	accept staff recommendation.
2	COMM. ALVAREZ: I'll second that. But I do
3	have a comment.
4	Just for the record. Before I I maybe
5	should go back. But I do want to make a comment regarding
6	the second issue that you brought up, Chuck.
7	The current application of the bad faith
8	penalty provisions assumes that the employers had the
9	opportunity to comply with the payday law, but instead
10	knowingly and recklessly disregarded the law. I can see no
11	good reason to give such an employer a further opportunity
12	to avoid penalization. Such would encourage unscrupulous
13	employers to see that bad faith, withholding of wages, as a
14	viable strategy for managing labor costs, and it would shift
15	greater pressures onto claimants who have rightful wage
16	claims. Those are my comments of record.
17	CHAIR HUGHS: Sounds like we're unanimous on
18	the vote. Thank you.
19	COMM. ALVAREZ: Yes.
20	MR. ROSS: Thank you.
21	AGENDA ITEM NO. 11
22	CHAIR HUGHS: Okay, Item 11, Discussion,
23	Consideration and Possible Action Regarding Shared Services
24	Funding for Texas Rising Star Child Care Providers.
25	MS. ARBOUR: Good morning, chair,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	Commissioners, Mr. Serna. Courtney Arbour, Workforce
2	Division.
3	Childcare Development Fund Regulations
4	require states to use a portion of the funds for activities
5	designed to improve the quality of childcare services. The
6	regulations emphasize the need for state to use quality
7	funds to help providers enhance their services and business
8	practices.
9	In recent weeks we've talked a lot about some
10	of the options for this, including shared services models.
11	In your notebook is a discussion paper which seeks approval
12	for a distribution of awards totaling 750,000 in CCDF
13	funding, and it lays out a distribution methodology that
14	would be used to include a \$7,500 base for each board, and
15	the remainder distributed based on the board's percentage of
16	TRS providers state-wide.
17	That said, in the last couple of business
18	days Texas Education Agency has confirmed that they will be
19	awarding a grant to TWC for \$140,000 for this same type of
20	services. So today staff recommends approval of \$610,000 in
21	in CCDF funding, to be coupled with the \$140,000 granted by
22	TEA for a total distribution to boards of \$750,000. And we
23	would request, as you consider this, that you also approve
24	the distribution methodology laid out in the paper, so that
25	we could apply it to both the CCDF funds totaling 610,000,
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 and also the 140,000 from TEA. 2 CHAIR HUGHS: Again, on the 140,000. Ι understand we're waiting on that time frame that we 3 4 anticipate will be this year. 5 MS. ARBOUR: I actually confirmed with their б staff yesterday. It will be four to six weeks it will be in 7 contract. We have a call scheduled this week to work out 8 the details of that agreement. 9 CHAIR HUGHS: All right, are there any 10 comments or questions on this one? Okay, go ahead. Take 11 them one at a time. Commissioner Thomas. 12 COMM. THOMAS: Courtney, I'm confused as to 13 the back and forth that happened around the 890,000 and 14 initial staff's discussion and request for that versus 15 within 24 hours how we got to this. And so, I just want to 16 make sure -- I'm comfortable with that other staff's 17 recommendation is, but I have no insight into how -- what 18 discussions, deliberations or whatever going on. And this 19 didn't seem to be the most effective one for me to thank you 20 to get my head around how to support the staff most 21 effectively and directly. So, I just need some 22 clarification of why are you not asking for 890,000 and what 23 happened to that? 24 MS. ARBOUR: Sure. We -- there are a few 25 different pricing models for shared services, and some we Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1 don't know about, because they've -- there're companies that 2 are present in Texas now, and companies in other states, 3 that are not. So, we started running numbers to see how 4 many providers we thought would be supported with this 5 versus the higher dollar amount.

6 We had a lot of conversation over the 7 weekend, because this -- again, this was a -- we learned on 8 Friday about the confirmation about the 140,000, so we had a 9 lot of conversations over the weekend. Because we were --10 we believe your intent was to do this on a pilot basis, we 11 thought it best to, after giving more thought, to make a 12 staff recommendation to keep it at the add -- the original 13 amount staff had proposed, which was 750,000. Knowing that should the commission wish to put out more funding for this 14 15 initiative in the future we could bring it back for separate 16 action.

17 COMM. THOMAS: Right. I guess my point is 18 you specifically included that in your email initiative. You initially said 750,000 plus the 140,000 it was 19 20 guaranteed that it was coming to us. This seems to be a 21 very critical initiative. It's -- we're under tremendous 22 pressure to try to get these funds into the marketplace to make a critical impact for childcare. Your specific initial 23 24 request was for 750,000 plus the 140,000, and I was prepared 25 to support that. I just don't know why that was removed Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	from my opportunity to discuss that today. How did that
2	happen?
3	MR. SERNA: I'm sorry. Allow me to
4	interject. Yesterday, I was briefed by the staff on this
5	initiative. I've been briefed previously, but, I mean,
6	prior to commission meeting we have a standing workforce
7	policy meeting. Staff mentioned to me the additional
8	140,000 above the 170,000. My instruction to staff was to
9	stick with the with the absolute original.
10	Our absolute original for this proposal was
11	\$750,000, it was a pilot. If the pilot's successful then we
12	would come back to the commission with the result and the
13	request for additional funding, or the continuation of this
14	funding.
15	When TEA indicated to us that they were
16	adding an additional 140,000, as it was explained to me
17	yesterday, I think staff jumped on the opportunity to just
18	add the additional 140,000. That would overcommit us,
19	because that's a one time. Infusion of funds. If the
20	project was successful, the pilot was successful, at the
21	original 750,000, then we would know that we could probably
	originar 750,000, chen we would know chat we could probably
22	sustain that amount without the extra 140,000. If we
22 23	
	sustain that amount without the extra 140,000. If we
23	sustain that amount without the extra 140,000. If we increased it above the original 750,000, then we maybe
23 24	sustain that amount without the extra 140,000. If we increased it above the original 750,000, then we maybe overcommitting ourselves, or having to scale back on a

1	last minute, it was my decision yesterday morning
2	instructing staff to just stick with the original 750,000.
3	Let's not be throwing more money in there and overextending
4	ourselves. So that's how we got to where we were, and was
5	about that fast, at about 10:30, 10:45 in the morning, so.
6	COMM. THOMAS: I appreciate that
7	clarification. So as a pilot by definition it is one-time
8	money. I understand the distinction you're making, but
9	since I understand also that there's been a desire to build
10	stronger metrics and accountability and need to be able to
11	get pilot monies out as in additional places,
12	particularly given that this is a \$7,500 base, that's
13	relatively small money for us to try to accomplish the
14	things we were trying to accomplish.
15	MR. SERNA: Right.
16	COMM. THOMAS: I guess then all I'd say is
17	from a process perspective, it would be helpful to me that
18	maybe if you had, and your staff had, a chance to kind of
19	evaluate some of these things, you know
20	MR. SERNA: Yes, sir. I understand. I
21	understand completely.
22	COMM. THOMAS: Before the recommendation was
23	made. I appreciate the effort to communicate it, it was
24	just happening very quickly, and I didn't have a chance to
25	process it with all the other things that were going on in
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	my office, and I've had my briefing. I was ready to go when
2	all of the sudden this changed and I didn't understand how
3	or what, and I didn't have the opportunity to get that
4	clarification. So, thank you for the clarification.
5	CHAIR HUGHS: Do you have any comments?
6	COMM. ALVAREZ: Yes, I do. Thank you.
7	Courtney, thanks for all your work on this. And so, I'm
8	glad to see this proposal moving forward. We've continued
9	to hear from providers for the need for shared back office
10	services. Shared services for Texas or Rising Star
11	providers can reduce cost and help increase the number of
12	quality providers. Again, I want to thank you for all the
13	hard work you've put into this pilot program.
14	CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you. I, too, appreciate
15	this. I know that you were not expecting that call on
16	Friday, and that you spent a lot of time with your staff
17	trying to figure out what is the right answer and what is
18	the best recommendation. Initially you all had determined
19	that 750,000 was the right number for a pilot, and I
20	continue to support that to the extent that that's still
21	where you think we should be.
22	I appreciate the email suggested, you know,
23	maybe more money is better, but ultimately, I agree that
24	erring on the side of not overcommitting and underdelivering
25	is probably better. And so, if we can start this pilot at
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

the amounts that you suggest, please know, I think it sounds 1 2 pretty clear from up here that we would all be willing to increase the amounts if we deem that that is appropriate and 3 4 necessary. 5 I also want to add that I think it's 6 important to have some measurable outcomes, so I'd like for 7 staff to develop -- what does the ROI look like? What are 8 we expecting to get back from this investment? Whether it's 9 an increase in TRS, or whatever that might look like I think 10 would be important to make sure we know going in, so we can 11 look for those things as we make decisions about investing 12 more money. 13 COMM. ALVAREZ: I agree with you. 14 MS. ARBOUR: Chair Hughs, we anticipate 15 asking the boards to work closely with the providers who 16 were given these opportunities, or license, through the 17 funding, and to track those that decide to pay for this on 18 an ongoing basis after the funding is no longer provided by TWC. 19 20 Additional we'll be working on a survey to 21 share with boards for the providers that receive this to 22 tell us their perceptions of value. Any dollar -- dollars that they believe were saved as a result of this, which is 23 24 difficult for some of our early care providers, but we'd 25 like to at least get their perceptions on the time and money Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	saved as a result of this.
2	But we believe seeing how many of them pay
3	for it out of pocket going forward, is a good indicator of
4	the value of what we've done.
5	CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you for that. Is there a
6	motion on this item?
7	COMM. THOMAS: Another quick follow up
8	question, please. What was the what were the concepts
9	for deliberation to come up with the 750,000? What were the
10	metrics that you all looked at to figure out whether 750,000
11	as a base amount was appropriate?
12	MS. ARBOUR: So as of the last count our TRS
13	number, I'm looking around to make sure, I believe it's
14	1,446 TRS providers in the state. The pricing models for
15	these are very different. One of the providers requires
16	that you it's confusing, but they require that you buy in
17	very large bulk, and they don't give you a per provider
18	cost, so we're not able to really efficiently cost that one
19	out. But we've heard from another vendor, or looked at
20	their pricing, and it's around \$1100 or \$1200 per year, per
21	provider. So, we used that one, because we can work with
22	hard numbers on that.
23	And the other one is around that cost we
24	believe also, that because the model is priced differently
25	its' hard to say. We used that \$1100 and looked to see what
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

-	
1	we could do with the 750,000, and I believe that takes us to
2	625. I didn't bring my phone up here for my calculator. I
3	believe that takes us to 625 providers that could benefit
4	should the boards choose that one pricing model.
5	It would be at or more. The number would be
6	the same or higher if they choose the other model that we're
7	most familiar with. And, again, vendors from out of state
8	may participate in this, and we can't really guess, but the
9	thought was there, that we're getting close to about half
10	the TRS providers having an opportunity to use it in this
11	first year. And we thought that that was probably a good
12	starting point.
13	COMM. THOMAS: I appreciate that. That makes
14	sense that you all brought a high level of rigor to your
15	analysis. Courtney, it's what you always do. So, I'm
16	saying doesn't make sense to me the distinction of making a
17	change of 140,000. So, the base analysis would not have
18	changed just because there was somebody that was willing to
19	offer dollars. Because you just confirmed the base analysis
20	had already been performed.
21	So I just want to be really clear, when we
22	hear the senate and the house talking to us about TRS, when
23	we hear them talking about child care, when I go to the
24	different workforce boards and talk to them about their
25	needs and the difficulties associated with TRS, and that
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	we've been talking for a very long time about shared
2	services and other ways, that my point is let's not
3	let's just make sure we're being very consistent. Since you
4	had a rigorous base and analysis to start from, the addition
5	of 140,000 from what you've basically just confirmed from,
6	and what your emails show from the Texas Education Agency,
7	didn't impact that.
8	And so, I just want to make sure I understand
9	the rational you provided. But if we're intellectually
10	honest with each other, I trust your fundamental underlying
11	work. That's what I'm trying to tell you. I think your
12	team is strong, and I think you're strong. Right?
13	So, as for what you know you need, I agree
14	with the chair emphatically to make sure that we have
15	metrics in ROI that are report that are both the base of
16	what you do, that are based upon the calculations and
17	analysis that you did to get this number going, and that
18	that be the basis of the how you measure the success of
19	this pilot, and that that information be provided to the
20	dais to help us understand how you intend to measure that
21	ahead of time.
22	MS. ARBOUR: Thank you for your comments.
23	CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you. Do we have a
24	motion?
25	COMM. ALVAREZ: Yes. And, Courtney, there
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	are 1443 TRS providers, you were right.
2	MS. ARBOUR: Passed the test. Thank you.
3	COMM. ALVAREZ: You passed it, so I trust
4	you.
5	MS. ARBOUR: Good, thank you.
6	COMM. ALVAREZ: I move that we use the
7	750,000 in combined Preschool Development Grant and CCDF
8	Quality funds to implement and evaluate the effectiveness of
9	shared back-office services for Texas Rising Star certified
10	childcare providers with funding distributed to boards as
11	recommended by staff.
12	COMM. THOMAS: I affirm the amendment is to
13	add on the requirement that the measurement the metrics
14	be established on the front end and agree to and clearly
15	both quantitative and qualitative, to be able to assess the
16	success of this pilot.
17	COMM. ALVAREZ: I agree with it.
18	COMM. THOMAS: And I second it.
19	CHAIR HUGHS: All right. Does that present
20	any is that something that's okay. I know you
21	mentioned you mentioned that some of the money saved can
22	be you're going to be looking at what some of those
23	outcomes will be like, so does this amended language help
24	you to is that something you can work with, is my
25	question.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MS. ARBOUR: Yes.
2	CHAIR HUGHS: Yes, okay. Wonderful. Then we
3	are unanimous and thank you for the time you spent on that.
4	And I do appreciate Commissioner Thomas' comments about the
5	analysis that you all did to come to that 750,000 and
6	because it was thoughtful and well thought out and is a good
7	plan, I'm glad that we're going forward with it. Thank you.
8	MS. ARBOUR: Thank you.
9	AGENDA ITEM NO. 15
10	CHAIR HUGHS: All right. There is nothing
11	for Items 12, 13 and 14. Item 15, Discussion, Consideration
12	and Possible Action Regarding Enacted, Proposed or
13	Considered Federal Regulations, Executive Orders, or Federal
14	or State Enacted or Proposed Legislation. Tom McCarty.
15	MR. McCARTY: Good morning Chair Hughs,
16	Commissioner Alvarez, Commissioner Thomas. Tom McCarty with
17	external relations. We're monitoring two hearings each at
18	the at the federal level and the state level. At the
19	federal level at 1:00 p.m. today the use U.S. Senate
20	Veterans Affairs Committee will hold a hearing on the
21	legislative goals of disabled American veterans. And then
22	tomorrow, at 9:00 a.m. the U.S. Senate Veteran Affairs
23	Committee will hold a hearing on the legislative goals of
24	the American Legion.
25	Here at the state level we've got two
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	hearings; both of them are today, and they start at 8:00
2	this morning. The first one is House Human Services
3	Committee, Debbie Carlson's going to be is at that
4	hearing as a resource witness for House Bill 285 by
5	Representative Springer, which relates to work requirements
6	and employment and training services for certain persons
7	receiving benefits under the SNAP program.
8	And then the second hearing we're watching is
9	the House Appropriation sub-committees on Articles 6, 7 and
10	8. They're having a formal meeting to discuss budget
11	recommendations for Article 7, which is our article.
12	We'll keep you posted on those, and I'm
13	available if you have any questions.
14	CHAIR HUGHS: Any questions? Thank you,
15	Tom.
16	MR. McCARTY: Thank you.
17	COMM. THOMAS: Thank you, Tom.
18	AGENDA ITEM NO. 16
19	CHAIR HUGHS: Item 16, Report and Update by
20	the Executive Director and Staff Regarding Administrative
21	Matters, Including Internal Policies and Procedures,
22	Customer and board Service Issues, Status of the Current or
23	Potential Project Assignments, Organizational Matters and
24	Responsibilities of the Agency's Divisions. Which I usually
25	skip and just say, do we have any updates, Ed?
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

MR. SERNA: No, ma'am. I appreciate it. 1 2 Just to let you know that staff continues to provide 3 information to the legislature to respond to legislative request as well as staff, to testify on bills as asked, and 4 5 of course, we testify on bills. б We did have a very successful meeting with 7 Senator West on his request at Senate Finance. He also 8 posed some challenges to us concerning our historically 9 underutilized business, or hub expenditures. And we're 10 working to improve those numbers based on the senator's 11 feedback, but the senator was very appreciative of the 12 information that we gave him concerning the populations that 13 he was interested in, and our service to those populations, 14 as well as some proposed programs. 15 So, we had a very good meeting with Senator 16 West and his staff. 17 COMM. ALVAREZ: Ed, can I have -- are you 18 distributing those comments, or your response to Senator 19 West, to the commission? 20 MR. SERNA: You should have received copies 21 of them, but I will make sure that if you haven't gotten 22 them that you already have, because it was a one-pager front 23 and back. 24 COMM. ALVAREZ: Okay. We may have gotten it. 25 I apologize. Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. SERNA: We kind of fudged on the one-
2	pager piece by doing front and back.
3	COMM. ALVAREZ: All right.
4	COMM. THOMAS: Ed, I read it, it was really
5	good.
6	COMM. ALVAREZ: Thank you, Ed.
7	MR. SERNA: Thank you, sir.
8	COMM. THOMAS: It was really good,
9	colleagues. I know you may not have both got a chance to
10	look at it yet, it was just fairly recent, but I wanted to
11	tell you thank you for addressing the senator's comments so
12	effectively and thoroughly. And, quite frankly, thank you
13	so much for working hard with the staff to figure out what
14	was really being asked to make sure we could try to be
15	responsive.
16	MR. SERNA: Right, yes sir. And just as a
17	side note, you may recall in the Senate Finance Hearing I
18	committed to the senator that we would have something to him
19	on the 15th. We did reach out to his office. We tried to
20	schedule something with the 15th and he was unavailable, and
21	the earliest we could schedule it was last week.
22	When I met with the senator, I did tell him
23	that I had reached out by my deadline and he chuckled and
24	said: Yeah, I know. We weren't available. So, he was good
25	with it. I just wanted to make sure he knew we were meeting
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	our deadlines. That's all I had, ma'am.
2	CHAIR HUGHS: Busy times. Thank you for
3	that, and for all the staff's work during session. I know
4	we're busy with lots of responses that we're getting back to
5	different offices about. I really appreciate all the hard
6	work being put in.
7	So, is there a motion to adjourn?
8	COMM. THOMAS: I've got an issue I'd like to
9	take up with our executive director real quick.
10	CHAIR HUGHS: Okay.
11	COMM. THOMAS: Ed, I wanted to talk to you
12	and ask for your help and staff's help on Texas CREWS. I
13	understand that the college and career advising tool that
14	was developed in partnership with the Texas Higher Education
15	Coordinating Board is in need of update and has been for a
16	while. And I as I understand it, if I've understood from
17	your staff and my staff, that's a legislative imperative to
18	maintain that, keep it updated, if I've got that correct.
19	But regardless, it's imperative that we
20	continue to elevate and improve college and career
21	consulting resources for students and parents and
22	particularly for our students who are struggling, students
23	who are economically disadvantaged, students with
24	disabilities, or students in foster care. To assist
25	students, all students, but particularly those students.
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	MR. SERNA: Yes, sir.
2	COMM. THOMAS: In making meaningful and
3	achievable college and career plans as set out by Tri-Agency
4	report. So would you please undertake to find out where the
5	status is of getting that critical tool updated, and taking
6	the lead on helping us get that done?
7	MR. SERNA: Yes, sir. We've we relied on
8	outside sources for getting a lot of that done, and I think
9	we need to we need to take more of a driving force behind
10	actually doing what we need to do, because it is a critical
11	tool for us. And I will.
12	COMM. THOMAS: And just, did I get did I
13	understand it correctly, that that was legislatively
14	mandated to be maintained?
15	MR. SERNA: I believe it was. I'd have to
16	check, yes sir, but I think
17	COMM. THOMAS: Okay. Yeah. Nope. Got you
18	on that. Yeah. Okay. And then all right, that'd be
19	great. Thank you.
20	MR. SERNA: We will. We'll take the lead on
21	this.
22	COMM. THOMAS: Thank you, sir.
23	AGENDA ITEM NO. 17
24	CHAIR HUGHS: Anything else? All right, do I
25	have a motion to adjourn?
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	COMM. ALVAREZ: Commissioner?
2	COMM. THOMAS: I move to I move to
3	adjourn.
4	COMM. ALVAREZ: I'll second that.
5	CHAIR HUGHS: Thank you, we are adjourned.
6	Have a good day.
7	
8	(Proceedings concluded at 9:30:42 a.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF TEXAS)
3	COUNTY OF HARRIS)
4	I, Kimberly C. McCright, Certified Vendor and
5	Notary in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that
6	the above-mentioned matter occurred as hereinbefore set out.
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such
8	were reported by me or under my supervision, later reduced
9	to typewritten form under my supervision and control and
10	that the foregoing pages are a full, true and correct
11	transcription of the original notes.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13	and seal this 8th day of March, 2019.
14	(a / Kimboralu, G. MaGriabt
15	/s/ Kimberly C. McCright Kimberly C. McCright Certified Vendor and Notary Public
16	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC
17	1322 Space Park Drive, Suite C165 Houston, Texas 77058
18	281.724.8600
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Verbatim Reporting & Transcription, LLC 281.724.8600