| 1 2 3 | Amendments to Chapter 821 Texas Payday Rules Policy Concept |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 | Background |
| 5 | The Texas Payday Act (Texas Labor Code, Title 2, Subtitle C, Chapter 61, Payment of |
| 6 | Wages) does not prohibit the withdrawal of a wage claim. Texas Workforce Commission |
| 7 | (TWC) Chapter 821 <u>Texas Payday Rules §821.43</u> was adopted by TWC's three-member |
| 8 9 | Commission (Commission) to establish a process for claimants to withdraw wage claims. |
| 10 | Rule §821.43 currently allows a claimant to withdraw a wage claim under the following |
| 11 | circumstances: |
| 12 | • A wage claim has been filed, but the wage determination order is not yet final |
| 13 | $(\S 821.43(a)(1)).$ |
| 14 | • A wage determination order has become final, but the claimant certifies that the wage |
| 15 | claim is fully satisfied (§821.43(a)(2)). |
| 16 | T |
| 17 | Issue |
| 18 | Per Rule §821.43, a claimant may withdraw a wage claim whether or not it has become |
| 19 | final. When a withdrawal request is submitted and approved, TWC no longer enforces |
| 20 | any orders issued (including administrative penalties) and releases all liens and freezes. It |
| 21 22 | is as if the claimant never filed the wage claim. |
| 23 | In consultation with staff, the Executive Director (ED) has determined that §821.43(a)(2) |
| 24 | creates legal challenges by implying that an order that has become final may be altered or |
| 25 | set aside by the wage claimant. |
| 26 | set uside by the wage claimant. |
| 27 | Because a claimant may not alter or set aside a claim after the Commission decision is |
| 28 | final, TWC no longer accepts a wage claim withdrawal submitted pursuant to |
| 29 | §821.43(a)(2). Instead, in cases in which a wage claim decision has become final and the |
| 30 | claimant wants TWC to halt collection action, the claimant may file a Satisfaction of |
| 31 | Payment Declaration. |
| 32 | |
| 33 | Typically, such a change would become effective when the underlying rule has been |
| 34 | amended. However, because §821.43(a)(2) has been identified to conflict with the statute |
| 35 | and TWC is now aware of this issue, the ED believes that the best course of action is to |
| 36 | decline to follow the administrative rule rather than to permit the conflict to continue. |
| 37 | |
| 38 | Recommendation |
| 39 | Staff recommends amending §821 to conform with statute by clarifying that a wage claim |
| 40 | may be withdrawn only when the order has not yet become final. |
| 41 | |
| 42 | To provide context, the preamble to such an amendment would clarify that a Satisfaction |
| 43 | of Payment Declaration differs from a withdrawal in that TWC will still pursue |
| 44 | administrative penalties on the claim and the employer will still be credited with a |
| 45 | violation. TWC's Collections and Civil Actions department will, however, cease actions |

to collect on wages ordered, since the claimant will have declared that these wages have been paid to their satisfaction.

Decision Point – Bad Faith Administrative Penalty

Texas Payday Law §61.053 provides that if a claims examiner, a wage claim appeal tribunal, or the Commission find that an employer acted in bad faith in not paying wages in accordance with the Texas Payday Law, an administrative penalty may be assessed against the employer. Texas Payday Rule §§821.44(a)(1) and (2) stipulate that an employer has acted in bad faith when the employer acts with the knowledge that the failure to pay wages is in violation of the Texas Payday Law or Rules or in reckless disregard for the requirements of the law or rules.

Per Regulatory Integrity Division guidance, "knowledge" refers to an employer's awareness or understanding of the law. An employer who knows there are state payday laws, fails to pay wages owed to an employee, and understands that his failure to pay is against the law acts in bad faith under the first prong of the bad faith rule.

"Reckless disregard" means that the employer acts without regard to or with indifference to the consequences of his actions or the harm that might be caused by the conduct. An employer who fails to pay wages owed to an employee without making an effort to determine what the Texas Payday Law requires, and without considering whether its failure to pay would violate the law, acts in bad faith under the second prong of the bad faith rule.

To comport with this guidance, a bad faith administrative penalty is never assessed as part of the first issuance to an employer of a preliminary wage determination order (PWDO) ordering wages. In this instance, the PWDO contains the following warning:

Penalty Warning Statement:

It has been determined that the employer violated the provisions of the Texas Payday Law when the claimant's earned wages were not paid in accordance with the law. If it is determined that an employer has acted in bad faith, the Commission may assess an administrative penalty for failure to pay wages as required by law. In this case, no penalty is assessed.

Staff also provides a copy of the Texas Payday Law and Rules to the employer when the PWDO is rendered. This puts the employer on affirmative notice of the requirements of the statute and the rule.

The bad faith penalty provisions of <u>Texas Payday Law §61.053</u> are permissive. As such there may be latitude for the Commission to issue the assessment as a conditional penalty.

An example would be where a subsequent violation of the Texas Payday Law by the employer resulted in a finding of bad faith, and a penalty is assessed. Collection of the penalty could be conditioned on non-payment of the ordered wages to the Commission by a set time limit after the order has become final. In cases where the wages are paid to the Commission before the set time, the penalty would not attach, so there would be no collection activity to enforce with respect to a penalty. In this circumstance, the subsequent violation would still be counted as a wage claim filed against the employer and the finding of bad faith would remain since the decision had become final, but there would be no administrative penalty.

1 2

In the case where the wages were not paid to the Commission by the set time, the subsequent violation would be counted as a wage claim filed against the employer; the finding of bad faith would remain since the decision had become final; and the administrative penalty would attach. TWC would take collection activity against the employer for the wages and the penalty.

Staff seeks direction regarding whether to pursue application of a conditional penalty as described herein. Such an approach would involve policy and administrative considerations. Additional legal research will be required to more fully explore any bar to its application.