

Legislative Proposals

88th Texas Legislature



Legislative Proposals: 88th Texas Legislature

Table of Contents

I. Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring

- a. Eligibility Requirement for ID Verification
- **b.** Confidentiality of Fraud Detection and Prevention Information
- c. Bank Freeze Authority for Fraud
- d. Prohibition on Filing a New UI Claim Until Repayment
- e. Increase 15% UI Fraud Penalty
- **f.** Fraud Provisions for Identity Theft
- g. Modify Definition of Last Work for Initial Claim
- h. Expand Participation in Claimant Reemployment Services
- i. Child Labor/ Appeals / Commission Appeals

Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring

1	LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION
2	Identity (ID) Verification Requirement
3	
4	Program Affected:
5	Unemployment Insurance
6	<u>Title</u> :
7	ID Verification Requirement
8	Recommendation:
9 10 11 12 13	Amend Texas Labor Code §207.021 by adding a condition stating an individual is eligible for benefits only if the individual complies with Commission requirements to verify their identity. Labor Code § 207.021 provides eligibility conditions that must be met by an individual in order to receive benefits.
14	Rationale:
15 16 17 18 19	TWC currently uses a patchwork of Labor Code §207.021(a)(1) (Reporting) and (a)(2) (Claim for benefits) and Commission Rule §815.20 (3)(A) (Establishing a correct SSN) and (3)(C) (Furnishing claim information to the Commission) to withhold benefit payments until an individual's identity has been verified.
20 21 22 23	Amending §207.021 to state that, among other eligibility criteria, an individual is eligible for benefits only if they have verified their identity as required by the Commission will result in a clear means by which to administer identity verification.
24	Confidentiality to Federal UC Law
25 26	Regional US Department of Labor staff reviewed the concept of this proposal and raised no issues with respect to Federal-law conformity.
27	Fiscal Impact:
28 29 30 31 32	TWC anticipates no fiscal impact. The new section of law will change the "Law Reference" used on identity determinations. It does not add a new issue or reason code and does not add a new determination. The text change to the Law Reference can be made and tested by UI staff without AD&M involvement.
33 34 35 36 37	TWC's Unemployment Insurance and Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring (FDCM) divisions currently require claimants who meet a "High-Risk" threshold to verify their identity. This proposal provides a clear requirement under the law for holding a claimant ineligible for failing to do so.
38	Contact:
39	Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

- Sec. 207.021. Benefit Eligibility Conditions
- (a) Except as provided by Chapter 215, an unemployed individual is eligible to receive benefits for a benefit period if the individual:
 - (1) has registered for work at an employment office and has continued to report to the employment office as required by rules adopted by the commission;
 - (2) has made a claim for benefits under Section 208.001;
 - (3) is able to work;
 - (4) is available for work;
 - (5) is actively seeking work in accordance with rules adopted by the commission;
 - (6) for the individual's base period, has benefit wage
 credits:
 - (A) in at least two calendar quarters; and
 - (B) in an amount not less than 37 times the individual's benefit amount;
 - (7) after the beginning date of the individual's most recent prior benefit year, if applicable, earned wages in an amount equal to not less than six times the individual's benefit amount;
 - (8) has been totally or partially unemployed for a waiting period of at least seven consecutive days; and
 - (9) participates in reemployment services, such as a job search assistance service, if the individual has been determined, according to a profiling system established by the commission, to be likely to exhaust eligibility for regular benefits and to need those services to obtain new employment, unless:
 - (A) the individual has completed participation in such a service; or
 - (B) there is reasonable cause, as determined by the commission, for the individual's failure to participate in those services.
 - (10) has verified their identity as required by the Commission, including electronically, by phone, in person, or through a third-party vendor.

LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION

2 Confidentiality of Fraud Detection and Prevention Information

3 **Program Affected:**

- 4 Unemployment Insurance
- 5 **Title**:

1

6 Confidentiality of Fraud Detection and Prevention Information

7 **Recommendation:**

- 8 Modify Texas Labor Code §301.085 to provide an Open Records Act
- 9 exception for TWC's fraud detection and prevention related information.

10 **Rationale**:

- 11 During the pandemic, TWC received numerous requests concerning
- 12 contracts, data, and protocols relating to our fraud fighting efforts. Release
- of information related to fraud detection and prevention in the
- 14 Unemployment Insurance (UI) system could provide a blueprint for how to
- 15 defraud TWC and thwart the Office of Investigations fraud fighting efforts.
- 16 Therefore, it is imperative that this information remain protected from these
- 17 requests.
- 18 Currently, Labor Code §301.085 provides an exception for unemployment
- 19 compensation information. It is recommended that an additional provision be
- 20 added to this section which would except TWC fraud related contracts, data,
- 21 and protocols from disclosure under Texas Government Code Chapter 552,
- 22 the Texas Open Records Act.
- 23 The Texas Open Records Act exceptions found in Texas Government Code
- 24 sections 552.108 (Certain Law Enforcement, Corrections, and Prosecutorial
- 25 Information) and 552.139 (Confidentiality of Government Information
- 26 Related to Security or Infrastructure Issues for Computers) may provide a
- 27 useful template for crafting a similar exception for TWC fraud.

28 Conformity to Federal Law:

- 29 Staff anticipates no conformity issues to Federal UC law. Nothing in the
- 30 proposal runs counter to the minimum requirements related to the
- 31 confidentiality of UC information as provided for in 20 CFR 603.

32 Fiscal Impact:

- No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated.
- 34 TWC's Open Records and Communications departments will be impacted by
- 35 this proposal. Staff will be able to reference the Texas Labor Code restriction
- 36 when responding to inquiries for information related to fraud detection and
- 37 prevention activities. Staff will need to be advised of the new law and how it
- 38 applies.

39

40 This analysis assumes time spent training staff on the new provision can be

41 absorbed within current resources.

- 1 While TWC fully supports transparency in government, this exception is
- 2 necessary for preventing the release of certain detailed information which, if
- 3 made public, could be used by criminal enterprises to circumvent the fraud-
- 4 prevention protocols we have worked so hard to put in place.

5 **Contact:**

6 Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

- Sec. 301.085. Unemployment Compensation, and Job Matching Services Information, and Fraud Detection Information; Offense; Penalty
- (a) In this section:

- (1) "Job matching services information" means information in the records of the commission that pertains to the commission's job matching services provided to employers and job seekers through the Internet, workforce centers, or other means.
- (2) "Unemployment compensation information" means information in the records of the commission that pertains to the administration of Subtitle A, including any information collected, received, developed, or maintained in the administration of unemployment compensation benefits or the unemployment compensation tax system.
- "Fraud detection information" means information including contracts, records, data, protocols, technology, manuals, instructions, investigative materials, crossmatches, mental impressions, and communications that may reveal the methods or means by which the commission detects, investigates, adjudicates, or seeks civil or criminal enforcement of fraud detection and prevention.
- (b) Consistent with federal law, the commission shall adopt and enforce reasonable rules governing the confidentiality, custody, use, preservation, and disclosure of unemployment compensation information. The rules must include safeguards to protect the confidentiality of identifying information regarding any individual or any past or present employer or employing unit contained in unemployment compensation information, including any information that foreseeably could be combined with other publicly available information to reveal identifying information regarding the individual, employer, or employing unit, as applicable.
- (b-1) The commission shall adopt and enforce reasonable rules governing the confidentiality, custody, use, preservation, and disclosure of job matching services information. The rules must include safeguards to protect the confidentiality of identifying information regarding any individual or any past or present employer or employing unit contained in job matching services information, including any information that foreseeably could be combined with other publicly available information to reveal identifying information regarding the individual, employer, or employing unit, as applicable.
- (c) Unemployment compensation information, and job matching services information, and fraud detection information are not

- public information for purposes of Chapter 552, Government Code.
- (d) Unless permitted by this subchapter or commission rule, a person commits an offense if the person solicits, discloses, receives, or uses, or authorizes, permits, participates in, or acquiesces in another person's use of, unemployment compensation information or job matching services information that reveals:

- (1) identifying information regarding any individual or past or present employer or employing unit; or
- (2) information that foreseeably could be combined with other publicly available information to reveal identifying information regarding any individual or past or present employer or employing unit.
- (e) An offense under Subsection (d) is a Class A misdemeanor.

1	LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION
2	Bank Freeze Authority for Fraud
3	
4	Program Affected:
5	Unemployment Insurance/Finance - Collections
6	<u>Title</u> :
7	Bank freeze authority for fraud.
8	Recommendation:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Amend the overpayment provisions of Texas Labor Code §213.059 to allow for collection of fraudulent claimant overpayments by bank levy. Texas Labor Code §§212.006 and 214.002 currently authorizes TWC to collect benefit overpayments by offsetting future benefit payments, claimant repayment, notice of assessment, and bonding. For unpaid tax debts, TWC has the authority to freeze/levy employer bank accounts to collect the unpaid amount. Using this method of collection to recover fraudulently paid unemployment benefits would be a last resort, following attempted collection through the Federally mandated Treasury Offset Program.
18	Rationale:
19 20 21 22	Similar to Treasury Offset Program authority under Labor Code §214.009, TWC could increase the collection of overpayments resulting from fraud by amending TUCA's overpayment provisions to allow for collection of fraudulent claimant overpayments by bank levy under §213.059.
23 24 25 26 27	If TWC elects to move forward with adding ID theft determinations for imposters, then it would be prudent to also consider allowing collection of these amounts by bank freeze if the imposter's identity is ascertainable. Additional authority requiring bank cooperation with providing account information would also be assistive.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	A threshold, established by the Commission in rule, could be considered to safeguard against TWC seizing personal essentials for living such as rent or medication. For example, Wisconsin statute precludes levying the first \$1,000 in the claimant's account. Another point of reference could be Senate Bill (SB) 644, Senator Zaffirini Vice Chair Senate Natural Resources and Economic Development Committee, from the 87th legislative session. SB 644 did not pass committee, but it sought to amend the Texas Property Code by exempting from seizure the amount on deposit in an account to the monthly equivalent of 250% of the federal poverty guidelines for a family of four, except for court-ordered alimony, child support, or spousal maintenance. At the time, Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring (FDCM) calculated that amount to be approximately \$5,500.

Conformity to Federal Law:

- 1 Staff anticipates no conformity issues to Federal UC law. States have wide
- 2 discretion with respect to methods of recovering unemployment benefit
- 3 overpayments.

Fiscal Impact:

- 5 TWC anticipates a total five-year cost of \$60,810, in programming costs,
- 6 which could be absorbed using existing resources. These costs include
- 7 creating a notice to customers, working with DCS to produce sample letters,
- 8 and developing code infrastructure to manage recovering funds from a
- 9 freeze/levy process. These costs could be absorbed using existing resources.
- 10 Staff anticipates that this proposal, if enacted, would result in a positive cash
- 11 flow to the unemployment compensation fund since it provides for an
- 12 additional avenue to recoup unemployment benefit overpayments. TWC is
- 13 not able to calculate the amount of debt we would collect because we do not
- 14 know how many customers' bank accounts have less than the threshold
- amount (\$5000) that would be exempt from collection. TWC will not be able
- 16 to collect from individuals who have a low account balance.

Position	FY 2024 hours	FY 2024 Cost	5-Year \$ Impact
Accounts Examiner IV	40	\$5,561	\$5,561
Programmer V	60	\$10,275	\$10,275
Systems Administrator V	40	\$7,787	\$7,787
Database Administrator IV	18	\$9,341	\$9,341
Manager IV	18	\$8,330	\$8,330
Subtotal, Salaries & Wages		\$41,294	\$41,294
Other Operating Expenses*		\$345	\$345
Retirement, OASI, Insurance (32.52%)		\$13,429	\$13,429
Agency payroll contribution		\$619	\$619
Indirect costs		\$5,123	\$5,123
Subtotal, Appropriated Cost		\$47,381	\$47,381
Subtotal, Unappropriated Cost		\$13,429	\$43,429
Total Cost		\$60,810	\$60,810

^{17 *}Assumes AD&M work is conducted by telework staff.

18 **Contact:**

19 Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

Sec. 212.006. RECOVERY OF BENEFITS PAID. (a) Benefits paid to a claimant that are not in accordance with the final decision shall be:

- (1) refunded by the claimant to the commission; or
- (2) in the discretion of the commission, deducted from future benefits payable to the claimant under this subtitle.
- (b) Benefits paid that are not in accordance with the final decision are also collectible in the manner provided by Sections $\underline{213.031}$, $\underline{213.032}$, $\underline{213.033}$, $\underline{213.035}$, and $\underline{213.051}$ for the collection of past due contributions.
- (b-1) Fraudulently obtained benefits and associated penalties received and assessed per section 214.003 that are not in accordance with the final decision are also collectible from a financial institution in the manner provided by section 213.059. By rule, the Commission shall prescribe a base amount which is exempt from levy to recover a benefit overpayment and penalty imposed under section 214.003. Section 207.075(b) is not applicable to benefits and penalties recovered under this section.
- (c) The commission shall accept payment for benefits refunded by a claimant under Subsection (a)(1) by personal check, cashier's check, money order, debit card, electronic check, or electronic funds transfer. The commission shall accept payment through the mail and by Internet, as applicable. The commission may adopt rules to accept forms of payment not listed in this subsection.

SECTION 2. Section 214.002, Labor Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 214.002. LIABILITY FOR IMPROPERLY OBTAINING BENEFITS. (a) A person who has received improper benefits is liable for the amount of the improper benefits. The commission may recover improper benefits by:

- (1) deducting the amount of the improper benefits from any future benefits payable to the person;
 - (2) collecting a refund from a claimant; or
- (3) collecting the amount of the improper benefits for the compensation fund in the same manner provided by Sections $\underline{213.031}$, $\underline{213.032}$, $\underline{213.033}$, $\underline{213.035}$, and $\underline{213.051}$ for the collection of past due contributions.
- (4) collecting fraudulently obtained benefits and associated penalties received and assessed per section 214.003 that are not in accordance with the final decision are also collectible from a financial institution in the manner provided by section 213.059. By rule, the Commission shall prescribe a base amount which is exempt from levy to recover a benefit overpayment and penalty imposed under section 214.003. Section

 $\underline{207.075}$ (b) is not applicable to benefits and penalties recovered under this subsection.

- (b) In this section, "improper benefit" means the benefit obtained by a person:
- (1) because of the nondisclosure or misrepresentation by the person or by another of a material fact, without regard to whether the nondisclosure or misrepresentation was known or fraudulent; and
 - (2) while:

- (A) any condition imposed by this subtitle for the person's qualifying for the benefit was not fulfilled in the person's case; or
- (B) the person was disqualified from receiving benefits.
- (c) The commission shall accept payment for benefits refunded by a claimant under Subsection (a)(2) by personal check, cashier's check, money order, debit card, electronic check, or electronic funds transfer. The commission shall accept payment through the mail and by Internet, as applicable. The commission may adopt rules to accept forms of payment not listed in this subsection.

The Texas Workforce Commission shall adopt rules for the administration of Sections 212.066 and 214.002, Labor Code, as amended by this Act.

1 **LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION** 2 Prohibition on Filing a New UI Claim Until Repayment 3 4 **Program Affected:** 5 Unemployment Insurance 6 Title: 7 Prohibition on filing a new UI claim until repayment fraudulently received benefit overpayments and associated penalties. 8 9 **Recommendation:** Prevent an individual from filing a new, valid initial claim for unemployment 10 benefits until any previous fraudulent overpayments and penalties have 11 12 been repaid to TWC. 13 Rationale: 14 Under the Texas Labor Code, an individual that has committed fraud is not precluded from filing a new claim for benefits as soon as their current benefit 15 year expires. This means an individual who has committed fraud is able to 16 17 file a new claim, and potentially commit fraud again, without repaying the prior fraudulent overpayment. In most cases, this results in the individual's 18 19 current benefit payments being used to repay their previous fraud 20 overpayment. 21 This means that employer taxes from the individual's new base period are repaying the individual's fraudulent overpayment. In essence, Texas 22 23 employers are financing an individual's fraudulent attempts to steal 24 unemployment benefits. 25 It is important that penalties be included in any proposed legislation. 26 Currently, an individual is not required to repay their 15% penalty prior to 27 filing a new claim. This amount is also exempted from benefit offset meaning 28 no incentive exists to repay the penalty. 29 Not only does this situation create a "revolving door" for fraud, where one 30 fraudulent claim pays off another, but it affects prosecutions as well. TWC's Fraud Prosecutions Unit (FPU) will spend its resources building and referring 31 32 a case, only to have the amount of fraud reduced through benefit offsetting. 33 If the claimant files enough claim certifications that the amount of fraud is reduced below the State Jail Felony (SJF) threshold, this can lead to the 34 35 prosecutor dismissing the case. Because most cases are referred as criminal theft, most prosecutors are unwilling to pursue amounts TWC has already 36

37 recovered.

38 It should also be noted that requiring a claimant to repay fraudulently

39 obtained benefits or endure a penalty period in which they cannot file for

40 multiple years is common amongst unemployment systems in other states.

1 Confidentiality to Federal UC Law

- 2 Regional US Department of Labor staff reviewed the concept of this proposal
- 3 and raised no issues with respect to Federal-law conformity. We also note
- 4 that Arizona, Connecticut, Idaho, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, New
- 5 Hampshire, Ohio, and Utah appear to have a similar provision in their laws.

Fiscal Impact:

6

7

8

TWC anticipates a total five-year cost of \$55,597 that could be absorbed using existing resources.

Position	FY 2024 hours	FY 2024 Cost	5-Year \$ Impact
Programmer V	350	\$20,550	\$20,550
Database Administrator IV	52.5	\$9,341	\$9,341
Manager IV	52.5	\$8,330	\$8,330
Subtotal, Salaries & Wages		\$38,221	\$38,221
Other Operating Expenses*		\$276	\$276
Retirement, OASI, Insurance (32.52%)		\$12,429	\$12,429
Agency payroll contribution		\$573	\$573
Indirect costs		\$4,098	\$4,098
Subtotal, Appropriated Cost		\$43,168	\$43,168
Subtotal, Unappropriated Cost		\$12,429	\$12,429
Total Technology Personnel Cost		\$55,597	\$55,597

- 10 *Assumes AD&M work done by telework staff.
- 11 Staff anticipates a potential positive cash flow to the unemployment
- 12 compensation fund through additional recoupment of overpaid benefits
- 13 currently not available under the statute. This would be from individuals who

- 1 voluntarily repay their fraudulent overpayment so that they would not be
- 2 prevented from filing an unemployment claim in the future.

3 Assumptions:

4

5

6 7

8

9

- The prohibition on filing a new initial claim only applies to unpaid unemployment benefit overpayments, and penalties, that are due to fraud. Any non-fraud overpayment is not included.
- This applies to new Initial Claims after the bill is effective.
- Believe new provisions could be seamlessly rolled into the UI Modernization efforts

10 **Contact:**

11 Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

- Sec. 207.021. Benefit Eligibility Conditions
- (a) Except as provided by Chapter 215, an unemployed individual is eligible to receive benefits for a benefit period if the individual:
 - (1) has registered for work at an employment office and has continued to report to the employment office as required by rules adopted by the commission;
 - (2) has made a claim for benefits under Section 208.001;
 - (3) is able to work;

- (4) is available for work;
- (5) is actively seeking work in accordance with rules adopted by the commission;
- (6) for the individual's base period, has benefit wage
 credits:
 - (A) in at least two calendar quarters; and
 - (B) in an amount not less than 37 times the individual's benefit amount;
- (7) after the beginning date of the individual's most recent prior benefit year, if applicable, earned wages in an amount equal to not less than six times the individual's benefit amount;
- (8) has been totally or partially unemployed for a waiting period of at least seven consecutive days; and
- (9) participates in reemployment services, such as a job search assistance service, if the individual has been determined, according to a profiling system established by the commission, to be likely to exhaust eligibility for regular benefits and to need those services to obtain new employment, unless:
 - (A) the individual has completed participation in such a service; or
 - (B) there is reasonable cause, as determined by the commission, for the individual's failure to participate in those services.
- (10) has repaid any fraudulently obtained unemployment compensation benefits and associated penalties received and assessed per section 214.003.

1	LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION
2	Increasing the 15% UI Fraud Penalty
3	
4	Program Affected:
5	Unemployment Insurance
6	<u>Title</u> :
7 8	Increasing the 15% UI Fraud Penalty <u>Recommendation:</u>
9 10 11 12 13	Amend Texas Labor Code §214.003 to add an additional fraud penalty to the current 15% federally required penalty. It is recommended that proceeds from this additional penalty would be placed into the Labor Code §203.202, Special Administrative Fund (Fund 165). It is also recommended that §203.202 be amended to allow for use of Fund 165 for fraud prevention.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Rationale: When TWC administratively determines a claimant has committed eligibility fraud under Labor Code §214.003, the claimant forfeits the right to the fraudulently obtained benefits as well as any remaining benefits in the claimant's benefit year. The claimant is also assessed a federally required administrative penalty of 15% of the benefits fraudulently obtained. Federal law requires this 15% penalty revenue to go into the unemployment trust fund, however, any penalty assessed in excess of the 15% can be used at the State's discretion.
24 25 26 27 28 29	This recommendation would provide a new revenue stream for TWC to combat fraud and worker misclassification by funding additional staff and new fraud fighting technology as well as other tools. During the pandemic TWC was able to leverage federal fraud grants to cover additional staffing and technology, however, this funding was one time and is not anticipated in the future. An additional revenue stream would fill this gap.
31 32	Staff is still working on determining an appropriate penalty amount.
33	Conformity to Federal Law:
34 35 36 37	Staff anticipate no issues with respect to conformity to Federal law. Staff also notes that only seven of the 52 jurisdictions reporting to the US Department of Labor have only the minimum 15 percent penalty required by Federal law. The remaining states and territories have additional penalty requirements in their UC statutes.

Fiscal Impact:

TWC anticipates a total five-year cost of \$55,597. TWC anticipates costs associated with this bill can be absorbed using current resources. The IT estimate is 400+ programmer hours because this involves complex Revenue and Trust Management reporting, it affects Treasury Offset Program, and the current process was not originally built for expansion.

Position	FY 2024 hours	FY 2024 Cost	5-Year \$ Impact
Programmer V	400+	\$20,550	\$20,550
Database Administrator IV	60	\$9,341	\$9,341
Manager IV	60	\$8,330	\$8,330
Other Operating Expenses *		\$276	\$276
Retirement, OASI, Insurance (32.52%)		\$12,429	\$12,429
Agency payroll contribution		\$573	\$573
Indirect costs		\$4,098	\$4,098
Subtotal, Appropriate Cost		\$43,168	\$43,168
Subtotal, Unappropriated Cost		\$12,429	\$12,429
Total Technology Personnel Cost		\$55,597	\$55,597

^{*}Assumes AD&M work is conducted by telework staff.

Staff anticipates an increase in resources available to the Agency for fraud deterrence activities which is funded by the perpetrators of fraud and by employers through their Federal unemployment taxes or State General Revenue.

Assumptions:

- The total fraud penalty will be 50%. 15% is the federally mandated amount that is deposited to the unemployment compensation fund and 35% is the additional penalty that is deposited to Fund 165 and used for fraud deterrence activities.
- If the penalty amount is not repaid in full but rather incrementally, the funds will first go toward the 35% additional penalty that is deposited to Fund 165. Once the 35% penalty is repaid, additional penalty repayments will be attributable to the 15% federal amount that is deposited to the unemployment compensation fund.

Based on a three-year average, the 35% penalty could result in an additional \$1.3 million per year in penalties, if applied only to the fraud

payments classified as "willful" weeks. However, if applied to both administrative and willful weeks, the additional 35% penalty increases to \$1.8 million that would be used for fraud deterrence activities. See chart for penalty amounts.

Year	15% Penalty on Willful OP amount only	35% Penalty on Willful amount only	15% Penalty on both Admin and Willful amount	35% Penalty on both Admin & Willful amount
2019	\$529,347.00	\$1,235,143.00	\$720,971.55	\$1,682,267
2018	\$562,698.00	\$1,312,962.00	\$742,736.55	\$1,733,052
2017	\$678,455.85	\$1,583,063.65	\$857,107.95	\$1,999,919
3 Year Average	\$590,166.95	\$1,377,056.22	\$773,605.35	\$1,805,079.15

Year	Administrative OP amount	Willful OP amount
2019	\$ 1,277,497.00	\$ 3,528,980.00
2018	\$ 1,200,257.00	\$ 3,751,320.00
2017	\$ 1,191,014.00	\$ 4,523,039.00
3 Year Average	\$1,222,922.67	\$3,934,446.33

*Note: Staff used a 3-year average because in 2015 and 2016, the fraud overpayment amounts are considerably higher and not representative of the normal work done by the department. During those years, staff were redirected to work on a large backlog of cases that had already been partially completed to the point that the first fraud vote was cast. Staff were redirected to working the backlog of "second vote" cases, which are quicker to complete and therefore the overpayment amounts are higher than a normal year. Used data from before the pandemic because fraud determinations decreased when staff were reassigned to processing the increased number of claims and handling other issues.

Contact:

Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

- Sec. 214.003. Forfeiture or Cancellation of Benefits Paid and Remaining Benefits; Penalty
 - a. If, by willful nondisclosure or misrepresentation of a material fact, whether the nondisclosure or misrepresentation is made by the person or for the person by another, a person receives a benefit when a condition imposed by this subtitle for the person's qualifying for the benefit is not fulfilled or the person is disqualified from receiving the benefit:
 - 1. the person forfeits the:

- A. benefit received; and
- B. rights to benefits that remain in the benefit year in which the nondisclosure or misrepresentation occurred; and
- 2. the commission shall require the person to pay a penalty in an amount equal to $\frac{1550}{1}$ percent of the amount forfeited under Subdivision (1)(A).
- b. If a person attempts to obtain or increase benefits by a nondisclosure or misrepresentation as provided by Subsection (a), the commission may cancel the person's right to benefits that remain in the benefit year in which the nondisclosure or misrepresentation occurred.
- (b-1) If the commission is able to ascertain the identity of a person or persons who intentionally or knowingly make a materially false statement or misrepresentation in order to obtain benefits in the name of another person, the person or persons forfeit the benefits received, the benefits are in overpayment, and the commission shall require the person or persons to pay a penalty in an amount equal to 50 percent of the amount forfeited. Benefits forfeited and penalties ordered under this section are subject to joint and several liability.
 - c. A forfeiture, cancellation, or penalty imposed under this section is effective only after the person has been afforded an opportunity for a fair hearing before the commission or its duly designated representative.
 - d. A person who is assessed a penalty by the commission under Subsection (a)(2) is liable for the amount of the penalty. The commission may collect the penalty in the same manner as provided by Sections $\underline{212.006}$ (a)(1) and (d), $\underline{213.031}$, $\underline{213.032}$, $\underline{213.033}$, $\underline{213.035}$, $\underline{213.051}$, and $\underline{214.002}$ (a)(2) and (4) $\underline{213.051}$ for the collection of past-due contributions and benefit overpayments.
 - 1. The commission shall deposit $\underline{15}$ percent of a penalty assessed under Subsection (a) (2) or (b-1) in the unemployment compensation fund established under Section 203.021.
 - 2. The commission shall deposit 35 percent of a penalty assessed under Subsection (a) (2) or (b-1) in the unemployment compensation special administration fund

(a)

The

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

Sec. 203.201. SPECIAL ADMINISTRATION FUND. unemployment compensation special administration fund is a special fund.

The special administration fund consists of: (b)

all interest and penalties collected under this subtitle, other than a penalty assessed under Section 214.003(a)(2) or (b-1) to be deposited per section 214.003(d)(1); and

(2) any amounts received under any surety bond for losses sustained by the special administration fund.

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Sec. 203.202. USE OF SPECIAL ADMINISTRATION FUND. (a) Money in the special administration fund may be spent in accordance with this subtitle and may be used:

- (1) to pay the cost of reimbursing the benefit account in the compensation fund for benefits paid to former employees of this state that are based on service for this state, and the cost of construction and purchase of buildings and land necessary for that administration;
- (2) in the administration of Chapters 51, 61, and 62;
- (3) for payment of interest on advances from the federal trust fund;
- (4)as a revolving fund to cover expenditures that are necessary and proper under this subtitle and for which federal funds have been requested but not received, subject to the charging of the expenditures against the federal funds when received;
- to refund a penalty as provided by Section (5) 203.203; and
- (6) subject to the provisions of Chapter 2107, Government Code, to pay persons who contract with the commission to collect delinquent unemployment taxes, penalties, and interest owed under this subtitle-; and
- (7) to cover expenditures related to fraud prevention and investigation, misclassification of workers, and the collection of fraudulently obtained benefits.
- (b) Money in the special administration fund may not be spent in any manner that would permit its substitution for, or a corresponding reduction in, federal funds that would, in the absence of that money, be available to finance expenditures for the administration of this subtitle.
- The commission by a resolution entered in its minutes may authorize to be charged against the special administration fund any expenditure the commission considers proper in the interest of good administration of this subtitle if the

resolution states that no other funds are available for the expenditure. $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

1	LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION
2	Fraud Provisions for Identity (ID) Theft
3	
4	Program Affected:
5	Unemployment Insurance
6	<u>Title</u> :
7	Fraud Provisions for ID theft
8	Recommendation:
9 10	Modify Texas Labor Code Chapter 214 to create additional authority to issue administrative fraud determinations for identity theft claims with penalty.
11	Rationale:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Labor Code §214.003 anticipates that the Agency knows the identity of the individual that committed benefits fraud. In other words, the individual is lying/misrepresenting or someone is lying/misrepresenting on their behalf to obtain benefits they are not entitled to. This is clear because this section focuses on whether a condition for receiving benefits has not been fulfilled and allows for a fair hearing before benefits are forfeited. As a result, TWC must treat cases of identity fraud as invalid claims rather than expressly fraudulent. This means if TWC is able to identify the imposter, it has no administrative recourse to penalize the imposter, only a criminal referral.
21 22 23 24 25	TWC is required to work with DOL-OIG on fraudulent schemes, and we are grateful for their assistance as TWC does not have the ability to pursue these schemes which typically cross state and international borders. However, these determinations would prove beneficial in instances where the imposter is ascertainable, particularly where an individual is involved.
26 27 28 29 30	An example would be a friend who assists an individual with filing for unemployment benefits and steals the claimant's filing information in the process. Often the friend will tell the claimant they did not receive benefits even though the individual is qualified, but then continue to file and receive benefits under false pretenses.
31 32 33	Considering the extraordinary amount of identity theft which took place with CARES Act payments, many states have grappled with how to handle this administratively.
34 35 36	This legislation will provide TWC with an additional administrative means to stop identity fraudsters and put fraudsters on notice of the ramifications of their actions. TWC would still seek prosecution when appropriate.
37	Conformity to Federal Law:
38 39	Staff anticipate no conformity issues to Federal law. Wisconsin UC law contains a similar provision which we understand to be quite successful.
40	

Fiscal Impact:

- 1 No fiscal implication to the State is anticipated. Staff will need to be trained
- 2 on the new law and how it should be administered. This analysis assumes
- 3 time spent training staff on the new provision can be absorbed within
- 4 current resources.
- 5 FDCM's Office of Investigations will be impacted by this proposal. Staff will
- 6 be able to issue an ID Theft determination against the individual who
- 7 committed fraud by filing a claim using someone else's ID. The fraud
- 8 determination and any resulting overpayment of benefits would be applied to
- 9 the fraudster and TWC would be able to pursue collection from the fraudster.
- 10 Additionally, staff anticipates a potential positive cash flow to the
- 11 unemployment compensation fund through additional recoupment of
- 12 overpaid benefits and penalties currently not available under the statute.
- 13 TWC is not able to calculate the amount of theft from the trust fund that we
- 14 would collect because we do not know how many fraudsters the agency
- would be able to positively identify and how many of those would either
- 16 repay the stolen benefits and penalties to the state. FDCM will check with
- 17 DOL to determine if benefits could be offset from future filings from an
- 18 imposter claim. The agency also anticipates that ID theft administrative
- 19 fraud determinations could deter future imposter claims which would
- 20 positively impact the trust fund.

Contact:

21

23

22 Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

- Sec. 214.003. Forfeiture or Cancellation of Benefits Paid and Remaining Benefits; Penalty
 - a. If, by willful nondisclosure or misrepresentation of a material fact, whether the nondisclosure or misrepresentation is made by the person or for the person by another, a person receives a benefit when a condition imposed by this subtitle for the person's qualifying for the benefit is not fulfilled or the person is disqualified from receiving the benefit:
 - 1. the person forfeits the:

- A. benefit received; and
- B. rights to benefits that remain in the benefit year in which the nondisclosure or misrepresentation occurred; and
- 2. the commission shall require the person to pay a penalty in an amount equal to $\frac{1550}{1}$ percent of the amount forfeited under Subdivision (1)(A).
- b. If a person attempts to obtain or increase benefits by a nondisclosure or misrepresentation as provided by Subsection (a), the commission may cancel the person's right to benefits that remain in the benefit year in which the nondisclosure or misrepresentation occurred.
- (b-1) If the commission is able to ascertain the identity of a person or persons who intentionally or knowingly make a materially false statement or misrepresentation in order to obtain benefits in the name of another person, the person or persons forfeit the benefits received, the benefits are in overpayment, and the commission shall require the person or persons to pay a penalty in an amount equal to 50 percent of the amount forfeited. Benefits forfeited and penalties ordered under this section are subject to joint and several liability.
 - c. A forfeiture, cancellation, or penalty imposed under this section is effective only after the person has been afforded an opportunity for a fair hearing before the commission or its duly designated representative.

1 **LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION** 2 Redefine "Last Work" to Stop Fraud & Claim Abuse 3 4 **Program Affected:** 5 Unemployment Insurance 6 Title: 7 Modify the Definition of Last Work for Initial Claims 8 **Recommendation:** Amend Texas Labor Code §208.002 to define "last work" and "person for 9 whom the claimant last worked" to mean an employer with a liable TWC Tax 10 account. 11 12 Rationale: 13 TWC is required to investigate a claimant's job separation from their last 14 work when determining if the claimant is qualified for benefits. Texas Labor code §208.002 defines last work as an employer with a liable TWC Tax 15 16 account, or an account under another state unemployment law, or an 17 employer for which the claimant worked 30 hours during a week. 18 The 82nd Legislature in 2011 amended the provisions of §208.002, based on 19 a TWC legislative recommendation, to add the 30-hour provision as an 20 integrity measure to prevent unscrupulous individuals from avoiding a 21 disqualifying job separation. Prior to 2011, the statute allowed any entity, regardless of whether it was a covered employer or the duration of the work, 22 23 to serve as a claimant's last employer. Since that time, the evidence has 24 shown that the amendments were insufficient to close the loophole in the 25 statute. 26 The 30-hour element allows an opportunistic individual to "create" a new last 27 employer when they suspect the separation from their actual last employer 28 would be disqualifying. In some situations, an individual may work for a person, such as their parent or a neighbor, for 30 hours prior to filing, then 29 30 state they were laid off due to lack of work. In other instances, the individual 31 may entirely fictionalize the employer so that their side of the story would be 32 the only evidence for TWC to consider. An individual must have at least one liable employer in their base period to file a claim, and that employer would 33 34 have been vetted by TWC when setting up the tax account. 35 This loophole is exploited by individuals as well as imposters. Identity imposters know that they can not only impersonate the individuals filing for 36 37 benefits, but also the last employer as well. Texas makes this easy for them 38 as they don't have to set up a tax account, instead they simply need to state 39 they worked 30 hours in one week for any (fictitious) person prior to being 40 laid off. The imposter would be in control of all responses to a claim with no real employer to verify the employment for the SSN. 41

- 1 While the owner of the SSN may have other legitimate base period
- 2 employers in the claim, those legitimate employers will not be contacted
- 3 until after the claim has begun to pay, at least a month after the claim is
- 4 filed. This situation is analogous to the large amount of fraud TWC
- 5 experienced in the Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) program
- 6 where TWC was unable to tie claimants to past employers and have full
- 7 employer participation in the UI process.
- 8 PUA, while key to helping many thousands of Texans not covered by the
- 9 traditional unemployment insurance program, was rife with fraud precisely
- 10 because it disconnected benefit receipt from the linkage to an employer
- which has a vested interest in participating in the eligibility assessment
- 12 process.

28

30

Conformity to Federal Law:

- 14 Staff anticipates no issues with respect for Federal-law conformity. The US
- 15 Department of Labor (DOL) provides states significant latitude in the criteria
- 16 that states use in determining which employer or employers are used
- 17 when adjudicating a claimant's last employer for claim eligibility purposes.
- 18 DOL does not include LEU provisions in its "Comparison of State
- 19 Unemployment Laws," so we reached out to other states to see how they
- 20 determine the claimant's last employer. In many cases, states have either a
- 21 duration of work requirement or a percent of base period wages paid by the
- 22 employer that aligns to that state's definition of covered employment, i.e.
- 23 the same effect as this proposal. Additionally, in those states which identify
- 24 a percentage of base period wages, the state adjudicates each job
- 25 separation if multiple base period employers have to be combined to reach
- 26 that threshold.

27 **Fiscal Impact**:

- TWC anticipates a total five-year cost of \$40,614. TWC anticipates costs
- 29 associated with this bill can be absorbed using current resources.

Position	FY 2024 hours	FY 2024 Cost	5-Year \$ Impact
Programmer V	150	\$10,275	\$10,275
Database Administrator IV	22.5	\$9,341	\$9,341
Manager IV	22.5	\$8,330	\$8,330
Subtotal, Salaries & Wages		\$27,946	\$27,946
Other Operating Expenses*		\$207	\$207
Retirement, OASI, Insurance (32.52%)		\$9,088	\$9,088

Agency payroll contribution	\$419	\$419
Indirect costs	\$3,074	\$3,074
Subtotal, Appropriated Cost	\$31,646	\$31,646
Subtotal, Unappropriated Costs	\$9,088	\$9,088
Total Technology Personnel Cost	\$40,734	\$40,734

- 1 *Assumes AD&M work will be done by telework staff.
- 2 Assumptions:

4

5

6

- The definition for last work only applies to initial claims filed after the bill is effective.
- To meet the definition of last work, the employer must have a liable TWC Tax account or a liable account in the other state.

7 **Contact:**

8 Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Jason Stalinsky

Sec. 208.002. Initial Claim; Last Work

- (a) When used in connection with an initial claim, "last work" and "person for whom the claimant last worked" refers to +
 - (1) the last person for whom the claimant actually worked, if the claimant worked for that person for at least 30 hours during a week; or
 - (2) the employer, as defined by Subchapter C, Chapter 201, or by the unemployment law of any other state, for whom the claimant last worked.
- (b) The commission shall mail a notice of the filing of an initial claim to the person for whom the claimant last worked before the effective date of the initial claim. If the person for whom the claimant last worked has more than one branch or division operating at different locations, the commission shall mail the notice to the branch or division at which the claimant last worked.
- (c) Mailing of a notice under this section to the correct address of the person, branch, or division for which the claimant last worked constitutes notice of the claim to the person.

1 **LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION** 2 **Expand Participation in Claimant Reemployment Services** 3 4 **Programs Affected:** 5 Unemployment Insurance and Reemployment Services and Eligibility 6 Assessment (RESEA) 7 Title: 8 Amend the Texas Unemployment Compensation Act to Expand Participation in Claimant Reemployment Services. 9 **Recommendation:** 10 11 Modify Texas Labor Code §207.021(a)(9) to expand claimant participation in 12 certain reemployment services, such as RESEA, regardless of the claimant's 'likely to exhaust benefits' score and allow flexibility for claimants to be 13 14 scheduled for successive RESEA services. 15 Rationale: 16 Labor Code §207.021 provides eligibility conditions for benefit payments. 17 Current §207.021(a)(9) requires an individual to participate in 18 reemployment services only if they are determined likely to exhaust benefits 19 according to the Commission's profiling system. 20 21 Expanding the pool of claimants participating in RESEA, regardless of their 22 profiling score, would provide more unemployed Texans with valuable reemployment tools very early in their claim and soon after separating from 23 24 their previous employment. This would increase more claimants' likelihood to 25 return to work much sooner than they would have without receiving the 26 services. 27 28 In 2018, amendments to the Social Security Act permanently authorized the 29 RESEA program as part of Federal unemployment compensation law and implemented several significant changes including formula-based funding 30 and a series of requirements intended to increase the use and availability of 31 32 evidence-based reemployment interventions and strategies. The permanent RESEA program has four purposes: 33 1. Reduce UI duration through improved employment outcomes; 34 35 2. Strengthen UI program integrity; 36 3. Promote alignment with the vision of the Workforce Innovation and

4. Establish RESEA as an entry point to other workforce system partners.

37

38

Opportunity Act; and

3

4

5

6

7

Historically, RESEA targeted two populations: (1) UI claimants determined to be most likely to exhaust benefits; and (2) former U.S. military servicemembers receiving Unemployment Compensation for Ex-service Member (UCX) benefits. The recent permanent RESEA authorization

continues to target services to UI claimants identified as likely to exhaust UI benefits. However, provisions within the US Department of Labor's (DOL) annual appropriations have provided additional flexibility for states to target

8 9 any recipients of regular UI or UCX.

10 11

12

13

14

15

Ever since Congress established the RESEA program in Federal law, the US Department of Labor (DOL) has communicated to state UC agencies that they anticipate Congress's objective to be universal participation in the program for all claimants. This proposal would peremptorily conform the Texas Unemployment Compensation Act to Federal law if mandatory RESEA is ultimately required.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

- Texas' current RESEA grant is \$16,860,815. That is a significant increase since TWC began participating in the program. Over the past several Federal budget cycles, Congress has added more and more funding to RESEA; as such, we fully expect our RESEA grant to rise, perhaps exponentially. This proposal eliminates barriers in State law to full claimant participation in the
- 23 RESEA and will allow the Agency and our Board partners to fully maximize
- 24 this critical funding source to assist claimants in their efforts to return to 25 meaningful employment as quickly as possible.
- 26 **Conformity to Federal Law**
- 27 Staff anticipates no conformity issues to Federal UC law. Options for
- potential legislative language have been provided to the US Department of 28
- 29 Labor for their review and comment.

30 **Fiscal Impact**:

TWC anticipates a total five-year cost of \$43,335 which would be paid by 31 32 administrative funds provided by DOL already allocated through the RESEA grant as discussed below. 33

34 35

36

37

Additionally, staff anticipates a potential positive cash flow to the unemployment compensation fund through early reemployment of more claimants. Additional trust fund savings could be realized by stopping potentially fraudulent and ineligible claims early in the claims-taking cycle.

- 40 RESEA grants provided by USDOL allow for administrative funding for activities related to the feedback loop for UI claims examiners to act on and 41
- 42 adjudicate eligibility issues identified through the RESEA meetings. These
- costs associated to expanding access to RESEA for all UI claimants, 43

1

Position	FY 2024 hours	FY 2024 Cost	5-Year \$ Impact
Programmer V	150	\$10,275	\$10,275
Database Administrator IV	22.5	\$9,341	\$9,341
Manager IV	22.5	\$8,330	\$8,330
Subtotal, Salaries & Wages		\$27,946	\$27,946
Retirement, OASI, Insurance (32.52%)		\$9,088	\$9,088
Agency payroll contribution		\$419	\$419
Indirect costs		\$3,161	\$3,161
Subtotal, Benefits & Indirect		\$12,668	\$12,668
Total Technology Personnel Cost	195	\$40,614	\$40,614
Total Other Costs (work space, computers, and similar ancillary expenses)		\$2,721	\$2,721
Total Anticipated Implementation Costs		\$43,335	\$43,335

- 4 *Note: Estimated costs are likely to increase because this estimate
- 5 calculates salary costs using the 2021 (87th session) numbers as the 2023
- 6 (88th session) costs have not yet been released.

UI and Appellate Services (AS) Comments

- 8 Currently, UI, Appellate Services (AS), and Workforce (WF) partner to
- 9 ensure that TWC's RESEA program meets current DOL requirements. A
- 10 carefully tailored expansion of the population to target only those claimants
- 11 most in need of RESEA services is supported by UI and AS, and the
- 12 increased workload could be managed through existing resources. If the
- 13 ultimate outcome of any legislation is 100% claimant participation,
- 14 additional workload and cost would be placed upon both UI and AS. At the

claims-examiner level, that work is eligible for recovery through the RESEA grant; AS work would be associated to the UI Grant.

UI and AS staff conducted research on CY2018 data, as that year is considered to contain the most recent reliable profiling data. In CY2018, UI saw approximately 20,000 adjudication assignments for missed orientations from a total profiled population of approximately 125,000. This results in a ratio of adjudications per RESEA claimant of 16%. Each adjudication takes UI staff approximately 14 minutes to process, with one adjudicator FTE able to process approximately 7,500 such adjudications per year. Regarding AS impact, AS staff anticipates a 20% appeal rate for all adjudications adverse to the claimant. Each Hearing Officer FTE is considered able to handle approximately 1,500 hearings per year. As noted above, appellate work related to RESEA determinations adverse to the claimant are not funded through the RESEA grant.

Based on the data above, if RESEA were extended to 100% of the claimant population for FY2024, an increase of approximately 680,000 RESEA participants could occur (FY2024 claim projection less profiled RESEA population). Such an increase would more than quadruple relevant workloads in both UI and AS.

Contact:

24 Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring – Chuck Ross

2 with DOL. 3 Sec. 207.021. BENEFIT ELIGIBILITY 4 CONDITIONS. (a) Except as provided by Chapter 215, an 5 unemployed individual is eligible to receive benefits for a 6 benefit period if the individual: 7 (9) participates in reemployment services, such as a job search assistance service, $\frac{\mathrm{if}}{\mathrm{including}}$ but not limited to 8 9 situations where the individual has been determined, according 10 to a profiling system established by the commission, to be 11 likely to exhaust eligibility for regular benefits and to need 12 those services to obtain new employment, unless: 13 (A) the individual has completed participation 14 in such a service; or 15 (B) there is reasonable cause, as determined by 16 the commission, for the individual's failure to participate in 17 those services. 18 ************************************ 19 20 21 Sec. 207.021. BENEFIT ELIGIBILITY 22 CONDITIONS. (a) Except as provided by Chapter 215, an 23 unemployed individual is eligible to receive benefits for a 24 benefit period if the individual: 25 (9) participates in reemployment services, such as a 26 job search assistance service, if the individual has been 27 determined, according to a profiling system established by the 28 commission, to be likely to exhaust eligibility for regular 29 benefits and to need those services to obtain new employment, 30 unless: 31 (A) the individual has completed participation 32 in such a service; or 33 (B) there is reasonable cause, as determined by 34 the commission, for the individual's failure to participate in 35 those services.

Staff are currently vetting two proposed versions of statutory amendments

1

- 1	LEGISLATIVE RECOMMENDATION
2	CHILD LABOR APPEAL
3 4	Amend Texas Labor Code §51.033, Administrative Penalty in Child Labor Cases
5	
6	Program Affected:
7	Child Labor/Appeals/Commission Appeals
8	<u>Title</u> :
9 10	Amend Texas Labor Code §51.033, Administrative Penalty in Child Labor cases.
11	Recommendation:
12 13 14 15 16	Amend <u>Texas Labor Code §51.033 to add an additional level of appeal to the Commission. Allow TWC's Labor Law Department's Child Labor Unit (CLU) to exercise this appeal authority to the Commission and for a Motion for Rehearing. Doing so would be consistent with the appeals process for the Wages claims Unemployment Insurance (UI) claims.</u>
17 18 19	Additionally, clarify that administrative penalty authority under §51.033(a) applies to sexually oriented businesses (SOBs) under Texas Labor code §51.016.
20	<u>Rationale</u> :
21	Appellate Authority
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Enforcement of Texas Labor code Chapter 51, Employment of Children, is carried out by CLU. Under §51.033, the Texas Legislature granted TWC the authority to issue administrative penalties for child labor violations. When CLU assesses a penalty, it issues a preliminary determination (PD) which includes the amount of the penalty assessed. The penalized employer then has 21 days from the date the PD is mailed to appeal. If an appeal is filed, a hearing will be held by a hearing officer in TWC's Commission Appeals department. After the hearing officer issues a decision, the employer may file a motion for rehearing within 14 days or file for judicial review. Appeal rights are limited to only the employer.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	The Texas Legislature has tasked TWC with ensuring that a child is not employed in an occupation or manner that is detrimental to the child's safety, health, or well-being. TWC Child Labor penalties are a significant enforcement tool for protecting the children of Texas. Currently, only one hearing officer hears and issues decisions on child labor cases. CLU has no ability to appeal that decision. This creates concerns as this can result in a single hearing officer being in the position of de facto policy making for the Commission, an authority expressly granted to the Commission under Texas Labor code §301.0015(a)(1).

- 1 All TWC hearing officers are unbiased, however, if CLU has concerns with the
- 2 effects a child labor decision may have on its statutory and Agency duties, it
- 3 currently has no avenue to raise these concerns and seek redress as it has
- 4 no appellate authority. Providing an additional level of appeal and motion for
- 5 rehearing authority to the Commission, which CLU can exercise, would
- 6 obviate these concerns.
- 7 Such a process already exists in <u>Texas Labor Code</u>, <u>Chapter 212</u>,
- 8 <u>Unemployment Insurance Dispute Resolution</u> and <u>Texas Labor Code</u>, <u>Chapter</u>
- 9 61, Subchapter D, Wage Claims where lower level and higher-level appeal
- 10 rights exist for both parties. Replicating this process would be relatively
- simple to integrate into the child labor penalty process as the Commission is
- 12 already set up to handle higher level appeals. Additionally, mirroring these
- 13 processes would also increase uniformity and add efficiencies to the process.
- 14 SB 315 and 766
- 15 During the 87th Texas Legislative Session, the Legislature passed, and the
- 16 Governor signed SB 315 and SB 766. As it pertains to TWC, these bills raised
- the minimum age of employment at a sexually orientated business from 18
- 18 to 21 years of age. <u>Texas Labor Code §51.016</u>, <u>Sexually Oriented</u>
- 19 Businesses, was updated to reflect this change. This change, however,
- 20 created uncertainties as it relates to TWC's administrative penalty authority
- 21 under §51.033.
- 22 <u>Texas Labor Code §51.002(1)</u> defines child as "an individual under 18 years
- of age." Section 51.033 (a) states: "If the commission determines that a
- 24 person who employs a child has violated this chapter...the commission may
- 25 assess an administrative penalty...." Since §51.016 creates a violation for
- 26 employment of an individual below the age of 21, it is unclear under this
- 27 new legislation if TWC retains its previous authority to penalize an SOB who
- 28 employs an individual between the ages of 18 and 21. Therefore, a
- 29 modification is recommended to Texas Labor Code §51.033 to clarify that, in
- 30 addition to statutory criminal penalties, TWC may assess an administrative
- 31 penalty against an SOB that employs an individual below the age of 21.
- 32 CLU believes this is consistent with the intent of SB 315 and 766 as some
- instances exist where a penalty would be appropriate rather than criminal
- 34 referrals such as minor documentation or record retention errors. In these
- instances, it is unlikely there would be resources available for law
- 36 enforcement to prosecute, but the situation would be appropriate for a
- 37 penalty.

Fiscal Impact:

- 39 Staff anticipates that the proposed operations would be absorbed by existing
- 40 resources.
- 41 Costs: There are an average of 2,600 child labor cases per fiscal year with
- 42 an average of 100 cases ruled as a violation. Of those 100 violation cases,

- 1 approximately 23 percent are appealed by the employer, which equals 23
- 2 child labor appeals per year. (Avg taken from 2001-2019 data)
- 3 Based on a review of prior appeal decisions, the division estimates the Child
- 4 Labor Unit (CLU) would appeal approximately 20 decisions per year.
- 5 Each hearing takes an average of 3 hours to complete, which is an additional
- 6 60 hours of Hearing Officer work per year. (180 minutes * 20 additional AT
- 7 hearings = 3,600 mins/60 mins = 60 hrs)
- 8 Technology costs would be limited to those associated with mailings for
- 9 hearing notices and decisions. There is no technology impact for adding
- another level of appeal as these are manually processed.
- 11 Note: In most instances, the Appeals Hearing office does not reverse the
- 12 violation but instead decreases the fine. The CLU would like the opportunity
- 13 to appeal the change.
- 14 **Contact:**
- 15 Misti Stirling, Fraud Deterrence and Compliance Monitoring

Sec. 51.033. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY. (a) If the commission a child labor investigator determines that a person who employs a child, or individual restricted by section 51.016(b), has violated this chapter or a rule adopted under this chapter, the commission investigator may assess an administrative penalty against that person as provided by this section subchapter.

(b) The penalty for a violation may be in an amount not to exceed \$10,000.

(c) The amount of the penalty shall be based on:

- (1) the seriousness of the violation, including the nature, circumstances, extent, and gravity of any prohibited acts;
 - (2) the history of previous violations;
 - (3) the amount necessary to deter future violations;
 - (4) efforts to correct the violation; and
 - (5) any other matter that justice may require.

(d) 51.034. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION ORDER. (a) If, after examination of a possible violation and the facts relating to that possible violation, the commission child labor investigator determines that a violation has occurred, the commission child labor investigator shall issue a preliminary determination order to the person charged with the violation. that states the facts on which determination is based, the fact that an administrative penalty is to be imposed, and the amount of the penalty.

- (e) Not later than the 14th day after the date the report is issued, the commission shall give written notice of the (b) The preliminary determination order shall state the facts on which the preliminary determination order is based, the occurrence of a to the person charged with the violation. The notice must include a brief summary of the alleged violation, the fact that an administrative penalty is to be imposed, and a statement of the amount of the recommended penalty—and.
- (c) The preliminary determination order must inform the person that the person has a right to a hearing on the occurrence of the violation, the amount of the penalty, or both the occurrence of the violation and the amount of the penalty.
- (f) Not later than the 21st day after the date on which the (d) The child labor investigator shall mail notice is mailed, the of the preliminary wage determination order to the person's last known address, as reflected by commission records.
- Sec. 51.0341. ESTABLISHMENT OF CHILD LABOR APPEAL
 TRIBUNALS. (a) The commission shall establish one or more impartial child labor appeal tribunals to hear and decide disputed preliminary determination orders if the commission determines that establishment of those tribunals is necessary to ensure prompt disposal of child labor cases on appeal.
- (b) Each child labor appeal tribunal shall be composed of a salaried examiner appointed by the commission.
- $\frac{\text{Sec. }51.0342.}{\text{INVESTIGATOR.}} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{EDETERMINATION BY CHILD LABOR}\\ \hline \textbf{INVESTIGATOR.} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{(a)} & \textbf{If the child labor investigator discovers}\\ \hline \textbf{in connection with a preliminary determination order or discovers}\\ \hline \end{tabular}$

additional information not previously available, the child labor investigator, within the period specified in section 51.035, may reconsider and reissue the preliminary determination order. An investigator's reissued preliminary determination order voids and replaces the order requiring correction.

- (b) A reissued preliminary wage determination order becomes final unless a party files an appeal from the reissued preliminary determination order within the period specified in section 51.035. The period to request an appeal shall begin on the date the examiner mails the reissued preliminary determination order.
- $\frac{\text{Sec. 51.035. REQUEST FOR HEARING ON PRELIMINARY ORDER. (a) A}{\text{person may make a written request a hearing before a child labor appeal tribunal to appeal a preliminary determination order made under Section 51.034.}$
- (b) The request for a hearing on the occurrence of the violation, hearing must be made in writing not later than the 21st day after the date the child labor investigator mails the notice of the preliminary determination order.
- Sec. 51.0351. PRELIMINARY ORDER FINAL IF HEARING NOT REQUESTED. If the person does not request a hearing to appeal a preliminary determination order within the period prescribed by Section 51.035, the order becomes the final order of the commission for all purposes, and the person is not entitled to judicial review of the order under this subchapter.
- Sec. 51.0352. PAYMENT REQUIRED IF HEARING NOT REQUESTED. (a) A person that does not request a hearing within the period prescribed by Section 51.035 to appeal a preliminary determination order shall pay the penalty amount of the penalty, or both the occurrence of the violation and the ordered to the commission not later than the 21st day after the date the commission mails notice of the order.
- (g) If the person requests a hearing, (b) Payment to the commission shall set a hearing and give constitutes payment to the employee for all purposes.
- Sec. 51.0353. NOTICE; TIME FOR HEARING. (a) A notice of regarding an administrative hearing conducted under this subchapter must be mailed by the hearing to the person wage claim appeal tribunal not later than the 21st day after the date a request for the hearing is received by the commission.
- (b) As soon as practicable, but not later than the 45th day after the date the hearing a notice is mailed, under Subsection (a), the commission tribunal shall conduct the hearing.—The
- Sec. 51.0354. HEARING PROCEDURES. (a) A hearing conducted under this subchapter is subject to the commission rules and hearings procedures used by the commission to determine a claim under Subtitle A, Title 4, but in the determination of a claim for unemployment compensation benefits.
- (b) The hearing is not subject to Chapter 2001, Government Code. The hearings examiner shall issue a decision.

Sec. 51.0355. CONSIDERATION OF PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION
ORDER. The child labor appeal tribunal may modify, affirm, or rescind a preliminary determination order.

(h) If it is determined after the Sec. 51.0356. ORDER AFTER HEARING. After a hearing that a penalty may be imposed, the commission child labor appeal tribunal shall enter a written order to that effect. for the payment of any penalty the child labor appeal tribunal assesses.

Sec. 51.036. NOTICE AND FINALITY OF ORDER.

- (a) The commission—child labor appeal tribunal shall notify mail to each party to the person in writing appeal notice of:
 - (1) the decision;
 - (2) the violation; and
- (3) the amount of the any penalty imposed by mailing the assessed.
- $\underline{\text{(b)}}$ The notice $\underline{\text{shall be mailed}}$ to $\underline{\text{the person at the person's }}\underline{\text{a}}$ party's last known address, as $\underline{\text{reflected}}$ shown by commission records.
- (c) The order of the child labor appeal tribunal becomes final 14 days after the date on which it is mailed unless reopened by the child labor tribunal or a party to the decision initiates a further appeal to the commission as provided by this subchapter.

 Sec. 51.0361. REMOVAL OR TRANSFER OF CLAIM PENDING BEFORE CHILD LABOR
- APPEAL TRIBUNAL. (a) The commission by order may remove to itself or transfer to another child labor appeal tribunal the proceedings pending before a child labor appeal tribunal.
- (b) The commission promptly shall mail to the parties to the proceedings a notice of the order under Subsection (a).
- (c) A quorum of the commission shall hear a proceeding removed to the commission under Subsection (a).
- Sec. 51.0362. COMMISSION REVIEW OF CHILD LABOR APPEAL TRIBUNAL ORDER. The commission may:
 - (1) on its own motion:
- (A) affirm, modify, or set aside an order issued under Section 51.036 on the basis of the evidence previously submitted in the case; or
- (B) direct the taking of additional evidence; or permit any of the parties affected by the order to initiate a further appeal before the commission.
- $\frac{\text{Sec. 51.0363. NOTICE OF COMMISSION ACTION. (a) The commission}}{\text{shall mail to each party to the appeal under Section 51.0362 notice}}$ of:
 - (1) the commission's decision;
 - (2) the violation;
 - (3) the amount of any penalty assessed; and
 - (4) the person's right to judicial review of the order.
- (b) The notice shall be mailed to a party's last known address, as shown by commission records.

Sec. 51.0364. FINALITY OF COMMISSION ORDER. An — The order of the commission becomes final 14 days after the date of mailing, the order is mailed unless, within 14 days after the before that date of:

(1) the mailing, the hearing is reopened by commission by order reopens the appeal; or

 $\underline{\mbox{(2)}}$ a party to the $\frac{\mbox{person}}{\mbox{person}}$ appeal files a written motion for rehearing.

(i) The notice of the commission's order must include a statement of the right of the person to judicial review of the order.

Sec. 51.037. JUDICIAL REVIEW. (a) A party who has exhausted the party's administrative remedies under this chapter, other (j) Not later—than the— a motion for rehearing, may bring a suit to appeal the order.

(b) The suit must be filed not later than the 30th day after the date the commission's final order is mailed., the person shall: $\frac{\text{(1)} \quad \text{pay}}{\text{(c)}}$ The commission must be made a defendant in the amount suit.

(d) The suit must be brought in the county of the penalty; person's residence. If the person is not a resident of this state, the suit must be brought in the county in this state in which the person has its principal place of business.

(2) pay the amount of the penalty and file a petition for judicial review contesting the occurrence of the violation, the amount of the penalty, or both the occurrence of the violation and the amount of the penalty; or

(3) without paying the amount of the penalty, file a petition for judicial review contesting the occurrence of the violation, the amount of the penalty, or both the occurrence of the violation and the amount of the penalty.

(k) Within the 30-day period, a person who acts under Subsection (j) (3) may:

(1) stay enforcement of the penalty by:

(A) paying the amount of the penalty to the court for placement in an escrow account; or

(B) giving to the court a supersedeas bond approved by the court that is for the amount of the penalty and that is effective until all judicial review of the commission's order is final; or

(2) request the court to stay enforcement of the penalty by:

(A) filing with the court a sworn affidavit of the person stating that the person is financially unable to pay the amount of the penalty and is financially unable to give the supersedeas bond; and

(B) giving a copy of the affidavit to the commission by certified mail.

(1) If the commission receives a copy of an affidavit under Subsection (k)(2), the commission may file with the court not later than the fifth day after the date the copy is received a contest to the affidavit. The court shall hold a hearing on the facts alleged in the affidavit as soon as practicable and shall stay the enforcement of the penalty on finding that the alleged facts are

true. The person who files an affidavit has the burden of proving that the person is financially unable to pay the amount of the penalty and to give a supersedeas bond.

(m) If the person does not pay the amount of the penalty and the enforcement of the penalty is not stayed, the commission may refer the matter to the attorney general for collection of the amount of the penalty.

- (n) Judicial review of the order of the commission:
 - 1. is instituted by bringing an action as provided by Subchapter E, Chapter 212; and
- (e) An appeal under this subchapter is under the substantial evidence rule being the standard of review. in the manner as applied to an appeal from a final decision under Subtitle A, Title 4.
- (f) (o) If the court sustains the occurrence of the violation, the court may uphold or reduce the amount of the penalty and order the person to pay the full or reduced amount of the penalty. If the court does not sustain the occurrence of the violation, the court shall order that a penalty is not owed.
- Sec. 51.038. PAYMENT TO COMMISSION; ESCROW PENDING REVIEW. (a) Not later than the 30th day after the date a commission order becomes final, the person required to pay a penalty shall:
 - (1) pay the amount to the commission; or
- (2) if the person files a petition for judicial review in a court of competent jurisdiction contesting the final order, send the amount to the commission for deposit in an interest-bearing escrow account.
- (b) When the judgment of the court becomes final, the court shall proceed under this subsection. If the person paid the amount of the penalty and if that amount is reduced or is not upheld by the court, the court shall order that the appropriate amount plus accrued interest be remitted to the person. The rate of the interest is the rate charged on loans to depository institutions by the New York Federal Reserve Bank, and the interest shall be paid for the period beginning on the date the penalty was paid and ending on the date the penalty is remitted. If the person gave a supersedeas bond and if the amount of the penalty is not upheld by the court, the court shall order the release of the bond. If the person gave a supersedeas bond and if the amount of the penalty is reduced, the court shall order the release of the bond after the person pays the amount.
- (q) The attorney general may bring a suit in a district court in Travis County to enforce a final order from which an appeal under this chapter has not been taken. In the suit and on the request of the attorney general, the court may order payment of attorney's fees and other costs of court.
- $\frac{\text{(r)}}{\text{Sec.}}$ Sec. 51.0385. DEPOSIT OF PENALTY. A penalty collected under this section shall be remitted to the comptroller for deposit in the general revenue fund.
- Sec. $51.\overline{034}\underline{039}$. INJUNCTION: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S ACTION. The attorney general may seek injunctive relief in district court against an employer who repeatedly violates the requirements established by this chapter relating to the employment of children.